

## For The Strong

Those who debated the jury trial revision bill last night and all those who believe in democracy have to realize that a democracy, whether here on the campus or in the nation is a government for the strong.

It is a government for all those who have faith in all men's potentiality to govern. It is for those who believe that each person in society is potentially responsible. It is for those who believe that men are rational.

It takes a great deal of courage to be an advocate of democracy. One must see a murderer, a rapist, a prostitute, a Faubus, even one's best friend being false, and still come up with the wellspring of faith that in each man the potentiality is there.

He must occasionally swallow what he believes the best thing to do at the moment in favor of the overriding principle that the people are most fit to govern, and that they have the possibility of doing so wisely.

It takes courage to believe these things, especially when one sees the democracy of some 160 years standing heading toward its own destruction.

Yet when one realizes that America has never been a true democracy and that it has still a long way to go before it is a true democracy one can feel easier about the present failure of the democratic process. Perhaps if men would commit themselves to the idea of a democracy the government of the people, for the people, by the people, in which the rights of each individual are protected—maybe, only maybe through time and education, the people of the United States would be truly free, and the oligarchy of the non-democrats from the people who slant news in the press to the people who would restrict one's right to serve on the jury by interest and interview will be no longer. The people are waiting.

## States Rights

Those who use states' rights as an argument for the preservation of segregation seem to forget that the states also have some responsibilities to the Constitution. Without fulfilling their obligations to and under the Constitution, the states can hold little claim to rights.

The Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and under the Constitution, segregation is unconstitutional. It is the obligation of the states to live up to their responsibility to the Constitution in complying with the 1954 Supreme Court decision. Yet in every state in the South, including North Carolina, the attitude has been how best to avoid that decision. It has been an attitude of closing the barn door after the horse has left, and maintaining with a good deal of vigor that the horse was still in. Thus, the South has been cast in the role of fool, and its leaders in the role of demagogue.

Even in North Carolina which has integrated on a small scale, there is no constructive plan of bringing about integration. In its stead there is only a plan that can bring a halt to the educational establishment if an integration crisis starts. This negative approach is surely not consistent with the state's responsibility to live up to the Constitution, and circumvention by token integration is even more insidious.

There needs to be a plan developed now whereby the state is tangibly moving toward integration with the goal in mind of having the state fully integrated by a certain year — that time most consistent with having the least amount of racial conflict.

The state has to its credit succeeded in avoiding the issue.

## Dancing

One of the first of Graham Memorial Director Howard Henry's innovations with respect to Graham Memorial programming has been to have free music, combo or juke-box, every Friday night in the Rendezvous Room.

Dancing has been dead on the University campus for quite awhile, but it can be resurrected if not as a substitute for the parked car, at least as a compliment to it.

The idea is a good one, and perhaps soon dancing will again be in vogue.

## The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Monday and examination periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8.50 per year.



Editor **CURTIS GANS**  
 Managing Editors **CHARLIE SLOAN**  
**CLARKE JONES**  
 Night Editor **O. A. LOPEZ**

# SP-The Candidates Speak-UP

## Dorm Men's V

Jim Hartung is the only UP candidate for the one year Legislature seat from Dorm Men's V. He was asked the following questions:

- (1) How would you better student-faculty relationships?
- (2) What do you think of class officers?
- (3) Are you for deferred rush?

### Jim Hartung

Hartung, a freshman from Chattanooga, Tenn., replied:

"It seems that through the years the relations between the students and faculty have drifted apart. The solution at the present is not known by anyone on the campus. The problem should be extensively studied in the future. Some possible solutions could be (1) faculty members inviting students to their homes as some do now and (2) a student-faculty basketball game, as the junior class is proposing, and other events that could bring a closer union. The fault lies in both the students and the faculty and a definite answer now would be impossible.

"I am for the trial period that class officers are going through this year. With a definite purpose they can serve in many ways. To do away with them could prove harmful to the campus.

"Deferred rush would have many disadvantages. It would put a strain on both the fraternity and the rushee. The temptations and unavoidable scenes of "dirty rush" would be uncontrollable. Also the new pledges help to balance the finances of the fraternity. Possibly a longer rush period would improve the situation."

## Dorm Men's VI

Candidates on the UP slate from Dorm Men's VI are Billy Hubbard and Dave Rockwell, one year Legislature seats, and Bob Bilbro and Carl Matheson, six-months seats.

- They were asked:
- (1) What do you think about University owned utilities?
  - (2) Are you for mixed honor councils?
  - (3) Quiet in the dormitories, how should it be enforced?

### Billy Hubbard

Billy Hubbard, 18, running for a year seat, a freshman from Raleigh, answered:

"As long as the University can administer to the students advantageously by offering the best utilities at the cheapest possible rate, this plan is best. Only if the University used its advantages to the disadvantage of the students would this plan be bad.

"Practically speaking I don't believe this would be a good idea. Plus the fact that the one council would be over worked, there might be a hesitancy to bring forth all the facts in a case before a mixed council.

"The best factor in gaining cooperation in the dorms is a strong, hard working dorm counselor. A thorough screening before the appointment of the dorm counselor, picking one who is willing to work sincerely and closely with the IDC, is the best way to gain good cooperation in the dorms."

### Dave Rockwell

Rockwell, a freshman from Connecticut, says:

"Personally I think a mixed honor council would be a very good practice. I do not feel that the problems encountered by the men—and women students of Carolina are such that two councils are needed. A mixed honor council might well prove to be more than just one consisting of men trying men. A more unbiased opinion could be obtained by having both men and women work together.

"In the new dorms, I don't think that there is as great a noise problem as there is in the older dorms. The outside hallways and separate entrances, provide for a much quieter atmosphere. The older dorms are considerably noisier. This problem of excessive noise is a serious one. The majority of the students causing the noise are the ones who don't care enough to study. Eventually their grades will eliminate them. In the meantime I think that each floor should elect someone to maintain order and if necessary levy fines. This may all sound very juvenile, but if the individuals are juvenile enough to make noise, they must return be treated in a manner comparable to their actions."

### Bob Bilbro

Running for the six months seat in this district, Bob Bilbro, 18, a freshman from Greenville, answered the questions, saying:

"I think University utilities are good so long as they are advantageous to the student; that is good service available at a cheaper rate than a private firm would offer. I hope this will always be the case, but should it become impossible for University utilities to undersell outsiders, then changes should be made.

"No, I do not favor mixed Honor Councils. Ideally the idea looks good, but from a practical standpoint there are numerous disadvantages. Women's cases have different backgrounds from those of men and there also might be hesitancy in presenting the complete case on either side. This would make a mixed council less efficient than the present system.

"Also one council would be overloaded with all cases. Each council now lingers into the wee hours of the morning and if all cases converged on one council the task would be tremendous.

"Quietness in the dorm is certainly desirable and possibly stronger enforcement would prove beneficial. I would favor channeling this effort through the IDC by strengthening and increasing the duties of the dorm counselors.

"Probably more consideration for one's dorm mates would render better conditions, too."

### Bob Thompson

Running for a six months seat is Bob Thompson, 20, a junior from Aurora. Thompson said:

"I feel that the University owned utilities should strive to give the best service at the lowest possible

This is the third in a series of articles covering the Student Legislature campaign district-by-district. The Daily Tar Heel will interview each candidate for legislature, asking each candidate three questions on issues that have been important on this campus in the past and are important at the present time. In each district, all candidates will be asked the same three questions from a list of some 15 questions to be asked all candidates. In this way The Daily Tar Heel hopes that both the candidates point of view and the major issues will be brought into focus. The series is being compiled by Dea Daniels and Stan Fisher, and continues today with Men's Dormitory Districts V and VI.

## Dorm Men's V

In Dorm Men's V a one seat is open in this district. Running for election to this seat on the Student Party ticket is Bill Porter, 21, a junior from Falls Church, Va. Porter is the incumbent for this district.

Porter was asked:

- (1) How would you better student faculty relationships?
- (2) What do you think of Class officers?
- (3) Are you for deferred rush?

### Bill Porter

Porter states, "(I) would try and inspire the faculty to improve the relationship by asking them (the students) to have the students to their homes for meals and general discussions."

"I am not in favor of class officers. In the past they have been unable to prove themselves basically for the following reasons: classes are too large to organize; only a minority graduate with class with which they enter; all of the students in the University have enough commitments to other groups without having to add another purposeless group to the ones already established; One of the stresses at Carolina is that students are not judged according to class. Class officers are strictly an honorary position and a farce at that.

"Let those capable people running for class officers run for an office in which they can apply their capabilities.

"I am for deferred rush. I feel that an entering freshman has enough problems ahead, in existence upon entering, and that student government should attempt to minimize these as much as possible."

## Dorm Men's VI

Four seats — two one year, and two six months — are open in this newly formed district. Running from this district on the Student Party ticket are Bob Nobles, Dave Jones, Bob Thompson, and Henry McPherson.

I regret that I am not well enough informed about the operation of the University owned utilities to give a more definite statement at this time.

"At the present time I feel that it is best to maintain two Honor Councils. In view of the fact that several changes have been made in the Honor Council, I feel that it is best to make sure that these changes have been fully understood before making further changes. In my opinion further changes at this time could very easily lead to confusion and misunderstanding.

"The elimination of three-man rooms, and the establishment of study rooms in the dormitories would help make the dormitories quieter—and a better place in which to study.

"With regards to the enforcement of quietness, I feel that the new system of dormitory courts within each dorm is the best solution to this problem."

### Henry McPherson

"Running for the remaining six months seat in the district is Henry McPherson. McPherson, 21, is a senior from High Point.

In reply to the questions posed, McPherson answered:

"The purpose of a utility is to perform a public service in an efficient manner at a minimum cost. University owned utilities, with the exception of telephone service which is improving, provide adequate service. As long as they continue to do this there should be no objection to them.

"I am opposed to mixed Honor Councils because I don't think they would be in the best interests of the student body. Separate Honor Councils would be more likely to get complete stories in many cases and would, therefore, be in a better position to make necessary decisions.

"Enforcement of quiet hours should be the duty of some group within each dorm which has been given the power to enforce its decisions. However, regardless of rules and groups for their enforcement, we will continue to have card games, bull sessions, jokes, and laughter which, even when kept within bounds, is distracting to persons trying to study. Perhaps provision of all night study rooms would help alleviate this problem."

## Letters To The Editor

Saturday morning before I left for Charlottesville I picked up a big bundle of Daily Tar Heels to give out at the game.

They went like hotcakes.

Fortunately for the day, a goodly number of students took advantage of the opportunity to see an away game and have a lot of fun at the same time.

While the situation was not as critical Saturday for the Tar Heels as it had been two years previously, I feel, and I am sure that "North Carolina's highest paid public servant" will back me up, that the cheering and moral support given by Tar Heel fans was

a contributing factor to victory.

In his letter, released through the University Club and submitted by me to the Daily Tar Heel, Mr. Tatum clearly stated that he wanted those students who had no classes on Saturday or who could make arrangements with their professors under the new cut system to attend the game.

Copies of this letter were distributed by the members of the University Club to their organization. Only half a sentence was quoted in the Daily Tar Heel. If anyone is guilty of creating an impression that it is a good thing to cut all classes indiscriminate-

Questions asked the candidates in this district are as follows:

- (1) What do you think about University owned utilities?
- (2) Are you for mixed Honor Councils?
- (3) Quiet in the dormitories — How should it be enforced?

### Dave Jones

Dave Jones, a junior from Fremont, candidate for a one year seat, answered:

"I am for the principle of University ownership, but I feel that too many of the employees and officials have 'found a hoe' and are not interested in doing the best job, because they are not interested in the principle that the University is for the students and not for them.

"Yes, and will vote for the again if given a chance. (On Honor Councils)

"A more vigorous IDC enforcement system with fines up to \$5 allowable. Dormitory and student government officials should, not ignore the noise because in so doing they ignore their responsibility."

### Bob Nobles

Bob Nobles, 20, a freshman from Panama City, Fla., and running for the other one year seat, said,

"There are several University owned utilities which are not functioning, in my opinion, to the interests of the student as much as it is possible for them to do so. This invites further investigation."

On Honor Council combination, he answered that he was for mixed Honor Councils, "Only insofar as mixed Honor Councils are not prejudicial to the upholding of justice and fair dealing. The greatest problem in this issue is the tremendous amount of misunderstanding. It is evident to me that many people do not understand by actions I have observed concerning questions on this subject.

People do not understand and they condemn that which they do not understand. I see in this proposal the seeds of corruption. I am perfectly willing and even eager to see as many violators as possible tried by one Honor Council. Yet, do not let us forget that coeds live under different rules than men at UNC.

"These rules which apply only to coeds, their violators should be tried only by coeds. The principle behind this argument for a single Honor Council has merit. Yet I see in it the possibility of a biased council trying violators of the Campus and Honor Codes. As long as there is this possibility, let us shun mixed Honor Councils to such an extent. I am not willing to sacrifice the guarantee of a trial by one's fellow citizens who live under the same rules for the mere sake of expediency. Let us take much time for thought on this question, for it is a vitally important one!

"The only practical way that quiet can be enforced in the dormitories is by mutual cooperation and consideration on the part of all the residents in that dorm. As this is not feasible with so many irresponsible students as we have today, the next best thing would be for each dorm to establish a set of rules and punishments concerning the quiet in the dorm, the violators of such rules to be tried by the Dormitory Council Court. How the DCC acts in this situation will determine the success of these rules. There is in most dorms, yet not all, a need for such action."

### Carl Matheson

Matheson is a candidate for the other six months seat in Dorm Men's VI. He is a senior from Taylorsville.

Concerning University owned utilities, Matheson said:

"The University controls the heat, water, electricity and telephones that we use daily. I think that, even though there is room for improvement, this is the best plan to follow. True, the interests of private enterprise are neglected, but economically we can't readily afford to do otherwise since the University owned utilities are tax free and University regulated.

He continued, "I am opposed to mixed honor councils largely because I feel that that would be less effective than the two separate bodies we have today. The presence of both sexes on an honor council would inhibit the testimony given before it for obvious reasons. Also, I feel that a man can never cope with the problems of a woman as well as another woman and vice versa. Our honor councils function efficiently and effectively as they are. Consequently I would oppose a change.

"Quiet hours are one of the major problems in our dorms all over campus. It is impossible for the IDC representative or the dorm officers to strictly enforce quiet hours as individuals, since they have scholastic obligations to themselves and social obligations to their fellow dorm residents that prevent them from acting as policemen.

"I think that the system of dormitory courts is the most logical way to combat the problem in the dorms that have the single, long hall. In the three new dorms I think that understanding and cooperation between the residents of each section, coupled with a practice of reporting chronic offenders to the IDC, is the most plausible solution."

## Radicleer

Cort Edwards I

(CONTINUED FROM YESTERDAY'S PAPER)

What is happening on this campus is not unique. It is happening all over, from San Francisco, to Bangor to Miami.

People from all walks of life are coming to college (for instance) and are trying to gain recognition and response from students, faculty members and other informal groups. But instead of getting what they are seeking, they meet strong opposition and their desires are thwarted; because of their inadequate means of building bridges, sentiment bridges!

When a person is denied recognition from these groups he has four alternatives: (1) withdrawing to his pre-college group (which entails going home weekends), (2) creating a new on-campus group of his own, (3) withdrawing into himself, and (4) simply growing up.

Those in categories two and four are strictly in the minority and are getting less and less each year.

This leaves two groups or alternatives: conformity (a group joiner, ivy leaguer, etc.) or withdrawal (the isolate). Neither of these two lead to emotional security. To be sure, some of the conformers get security in their conformity, but these people are not really mature. They are merely deluding themselves, for they cannot stand by themselves.

What is the answer to this problem?

We feel the only logical and acceptable answer lies in growing up. We also feel that the best way to mature lies in three processes: RE-EVALUATION, INTROSPECTION, and RAPPORT. Three very difficult things to subscribe to, but pre-requisites to maturity.

"If we are to survive," Arthur Schlesinger Jr. says, "We must have ideas, vision and courage. These things are rarely produced by committees. Everything that matters in our intellectual and moral life, begins with an individual confronting his own mind and conscience in a room by himself."

The first step is a Re-evaluation, a re-evaluation of those things that we have been taught at home, in high school, in our church, and in our college. It is high time for us to review the ideas/mores/foibles that have been impressed upon us and to think for ourselves.

We must take an objective viewpoint of religion, moral codes, norms of behavior, and prejudices and then decide for ourselves what is right and what is good. At the same time, we should respect other's opinions. We should learn not to condemn others for having a different viewpoint but rather discuss the situation with them so that both of you may be able to understand the other side of the story as well.

The teachings we have had imposed upon us until we reached maturity were not put there as irrevocable truths, but rather as guides until we were mature enough to make our own decisions. That is, to make decisions based on our intellect and not on our emotions.

For instance, we are taught to love our parents at all times. This is a good thing when we are dependent upon them, but in order to grow up we must cut the apron strings. We must learn to be much more self-reliant. The Bible tells us that we must honor our parents, not love them. They are two different concepts. It is not necessary to love something just because you feel obligated to it. Honor and respect is adequate.

The important thing to do is to sit down and figure out what you believe in, what more precepts you are going to follow, and why you feel this is right or this is wrong. We must view life not lethargically but rather as a positive dynamic force which demands our full participation as individuals.

A second factor leading to maturity is Introspection. We must look deeply inside of ourselves. We must find out what is there, what our capabilities are, what our limitations are, and what our behavioral deviations are. When we know ourselves then and only then can we trust ourselves and have confidence in ourselves.

Only until we have faith and confidence in ourselves, confidence based on experience gained from sound emotional and intellectual activity, are we capable of love, of faith in others, of gaining a sense of inner security and maturity.

Interrelated to both "re-evaluation" and "introspection" is the third and most important factor—the establishment of "rapport."

By rapport we mean a harmony of mutual feeling of friendliness, understanding, and trust between two people which is a direct outgrowth of an exchange of ideas above and beyond the dictates of social norms.

It almost seems to be an impossibility for people of opposite sexes to establish this type of mature relationship. The major reason for this lies in the mistrust each one has for the other. This sort of thing is disgraceful.

It is because people haven't been able to establish rapport that there are so many lonely people in this country. We use the term rapport to signify the optimum point or degree of sentiment bridges.

An example of the failure to establish rapport is shown by the phenomenon called the "mating gradient." The MG is the tendency for men to marry below their own level and for women to marry above. This sort of thing works out rather well for most people, but it leaves two groups socially isolated. The college educated woman and the non-educated man.

Where can a college educated woman turn to meet a man of a higher level (the level being one that is higher educationally, economically, and/or socially) if she hasn't already by the time she graduates? To be sure, there are some men of this category, but not nearly enough for the growing number of college educated women.

The lack of acceptable companionship at this level has led many of these women into a lonely existence. So lonely that eventually, they look for and find a means of escape. The types of escapes are several; among them lonely women can (and do) turn to the arts, to other women, to prostitution, to compromise marriages, to religious fanaticism, to suicide, and to maturity as an individual.

We feel, however, that very few of these women are adequately prepared to face up to this type of situation. For a girl to remain single after she is 21 (for instance) exposes her to the coldness of the world, and the pressures to marry from within her family group and among her friends.

We feel that if these women would establish rapport with the opposite sex, thereby building solid sentiment bridges, they would not only become more independent, they would also learn that living alone has many assets that another arrangement would not have. And, furthermore, they would find that with confidence in themselves they no longer have a need to escape.

Loneliness can be conquered. But it cannot be done alone. It can be conquered by the establishment of rapport and other sentiment bridges. In establishing these solid relationships people will be able to gain a happiness and a security that is so powerful and binding that they will NEVER have to violate their own integrity.



DAVE JONES