

Two Bills

Tomorrow night the Student Legislature will discuss two very important bills. The first of these deals with a \$3,995,04 appropriation to The Daily Tar Heel. The paper is now printing 1,000 papers more per day than ever before, and must have this money in order to continue this service. If the money is not allotted by the Student Legislature, there is a distinct possibility that we will be forced to discontinue publishing such items as the comics, Herblock and the Cross-Word Puzzle, as well as cutting way back on circulation.

The second measure would send letters to the heads of state of all countries which presently are or will be in the near future, involved in nuclear testing. As introduced by Reps. Jim Scott and Jim Crowmover of the Student Party, the bill represents one of the finest pieces of legislation to come from that body in the past several years. There is, as always, a movement led by isolationist representatives to keep the bill from being considered as a regular part of the agenda. It is time that the Student Legislature came to the realization that there are no issues "pertaining to students in their role as students"; but, only issues pertaining to citizens in their role as citizens. Certainly the question of survival in the event of nuclear war, and how to prevent such conflicts, is the utmost issue of our times. It is not beyond the realm or jurisdiction of the Student Legislature to consider questions pertaining to such areas. It will be a dark day for student government at this University if this bill is not considered tomorrow night.

And finally if reconsideration is moved on the bill to place the district system of electing judicial members on the ballot this fall, all legislators should vote for this. The absurd manner in which this case was handled by the Student Council has placed an undesired black mark on both the bill and its backers. Although this paper is against the passage of it by the student body, we will not in any way deny its right to a place on the ballot as a constitutional amendment.

Reader's Repository

Dear Editor:

In regard to a recent article written by Mr. Rick Heller, I must say that I don't think he was very thoughtful when he seemingly condemned one of your staff members for trying to boost the morals of the Carolina students. This staff member is Rusty Hammond.

Mr. Heller strikes me as the type who takes everything that is said seriously. He couldn't possibly have a sense of humor with such a disposition as this. As I read his article, I wondered if Mr. Heller ever thought that Rusty's column might be all in fun. Isn't this what the title "Sideswipes" suggests? I think so. Every day, students all over the campus are making remarks similar to those Rusty uses in his column; remarks having to do with the Honor Council, Woman's Residence Council, the football team, and many others. But does anyone really mean what he says about these institutions? Except for a few, I believe I can say "No." These remarks are typical of students on every campus in the nation, and I dare say that even Mr. Heller falls into this category. Yet he has the nerve to ridicule a member of the Daily Tar Heel staff for something he most likely does himself.

Mr. Editor, you may have noticed that Mr. Heller had everything to say against Rusty's idea of keeping the "Carolina Spirit" high, but not once did he give any suggestions as to how it could be better accomplished. Therefore, unless Mr. Heller has a better idea or thinks he can do a better job (both of which I doubt very seriously), I suggest that he keep his thoughts to himself.

Had Reaves

Margaret Gwathmey:

"It is not the policy of the Daily Tar Heel to print editorials on the front page. The responsibility for last week's Van Doren story must be attributed to one of three people: the staffer who wrote the story, the news editor or the managing editor. I merely gave the reporter an editorial statement on which he might base his questions and eventually a news story."

"Concerning editorial policy, you must be screaming naive to think that the editor or editors are going to consult the staff when expressing an editorial opinion. Commercial papers almost invariably reflect the opinions of their publishers. A college paper such as ours can only express the opinions of its editors when resorting to a statement such as, 'The Daily Tar Heel believes...'. If you do not agree with said editorial policy, may I remind you that there will be a small election this spring. Other than resorting to seeking the office of editor, the only channels of expression open to you are columns and letters to the editor. An editor is an editor is an editor."

"Your English is faulty. If there is one thing, other than an uninformative female, that irritates me, it is an uninformative female with a half-cooked cause whose adrenal glands are out of control."

Frank Crowther Associate Editor

Sources Of My Thoughts

"When I seek out the sources of my thoughts, I find they had their beginning in fragile Chance; were born of little moments that shine for me curiously in the past. Slight impulse that made me take this turning at the crossroads, trivial and fortuitous the meeting, and light as gossamer the thread that first knit me to my friend. These are full of wonder; more mysterious are the moments that must have brushed me with their wings and passed me by; when Fate beckoned and I did not see it, when new Life trembled for a second at the threshold; but the word was not spoken, the hand was not held out, and the Might-have-been shivered and vanished, dim as a dream, into the waste realms of non-existence."

"So I never lose a sense of the whimsical and perilous charm of daily life, with its meetings and words and accidents. Why, today, perhaps, or next week, I may hear a voice, and, packing up my Gladstone bag, follow it to the ends of the world."

From THE COMING OF FATE By Logan Pearsall Smith

"You Mean There's No Other Way To Keep Them From Going Communist?"



Herblock is away due to illness

Copyright 1959 The Pulitzer Publishing Co., St. Louis Post-Dispatch

Perspectives By Yardley

Jonathan Yardley

Students at this University have always shown a strong and lamentable tendency to deride both the University and its faculty. This tendency is both insupportable and ridiculous.

Dr. George S. Welsh, an associate professor of psychology here, has developed the Welsh Figure Preference Test, a psychological test designed to test personality traits and particularly relative creativity. This discovery is a major step in the field of psychological testing, and it is an achievement on which every student should be proud, for this is a man from our University who has distinguished himself internationally by his own creativity.

Dr. Welsh's example is followed by every department on the campus. Many people feel our chemistry department is the best in the nation; the statistics department is internationally known and is considered the best in the world by many informed statisticians; Phillips Hall is now equipped with an electric brain the likes of which can be seen in very few places; the English department is brilliantly staffed with leaders in Southern and national thought; the business school constantly receives national acclaim among those who are interested in business schools.

These few examples are only a few peas in a very large pod, and the fact that students seem so intent on denying the worth of these men and facilities is one most disturbing in contemporary education, for this trend does not only occur in Chapel Hill; it is most surely national. Yet the realization that Chapel Hill, to which the entire South looks for intellectual guidance, should have such an overwhelming amount of this stylish cynicism is most discouraging.

A look at Sunday's Daily Tar Heel should be enough to convince any student of the University's greatness; three of the thirteen stories on the front page announce the University's continual progress in many fields—"UNC Professor Devises New Psychological Test"; "Library Gets Prized Cop of Apokalypse"; and "Dean of Dental School Here Wins Nebraska Service Award."

Only the most skeptical could be in doubt after seeing stories of this nature gracing the pages of the paper day after day. But perhaps this is not a "beat generation" or a "generation of vipers" but a "generation of skeptics," for the refrains of complaint and disgust are as stereotyped and rhythmic as a tribal chant. The Medical School progresses practically overnight from a non-entity to one of the country's finest; camped on the law stand the

pre-med tribe members, led by their white suited, be-stethoscoped high priest who exhorts them in their racial dogma—"Let's go to Duke! Let's go to Yale! Let's go to U. Va.!"

English majors talk of Virginia's Faulkner, history majors of Harvard's Schlesinger, sociologists of Harvard's Riesman, while their own professors have to teach classes filled with students who are convinced that the course isn't going to be much good because Duke has someone in the same field who's "so much better."

This attitude of academic negativism is not one in which a university community thrives. Yet this University thrives. No one really knows why, although perhaps the reason can be traced down to a small group of students who get at least some degree of enjoyment out of attending a couple of their courses, who do their reading diligently and with some interest, and who seem to feel that maybe the guy at the front of the room knows more than they do.

The most puzzling aspect of the attitude is that it would seem natural that students, being prideful like all human beings, would want to bolster their own egos through support of their college. Yet they seem to feel that their ego rises higher if it appears they themselves are superior to that college. Well, let it be said with a great deal of certainty and without a moment's hesitation that none of them are. Or should it be said none of us are. There is more here than any of us could hope to gain in a lifetime of painstaking and devoted study.

Every student would discover a new leash on his intellectual life if he would change his approach to his education and begin to think of it as something greater than he is and to which he must recognize his inferiority. We have a great deal to learn of the knowledge that man has carefully stored away during his short tenure on this earth. We are not going to learn any of it if we are convinced that the men who try to teach it to us do not know anything themselves. Few students here or anywhere else are fortunate enough to be on a level footing with their teachers. When all students recognize this they will begin to learn more and the teachers are going to feel more disposed to teach it to them.

Incidentally, for those students who believe that famous people never come to Chapel Hill: a man named Oppenheimer is speaking here Thursday night. Rumor has it he's a very famous man.

Report To Trustees

STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN THE BOND ISSUE ELECTION (PART 6)

On October 27, the Bond Issue Election for Capital Improvements in North Carolina was voted on by the citizens of this state. Passage of this by a majority of the voters meant that 34.4 million dollars would be devoted to construction and renovation of state facilities.

A great portion of this money was devoted to new construction at the University of North Carolina. For this reason, Student Government took an active interest in securing passage of the Bond Issue by the following action:

1. In cooperation with the UNC Amateur Radio Club, the Academic Affairs Committee, chaired by Norman B. Smith, sponsored the transmission of a number of radio messages from students to their parents, the stipulation being that they include a request to vote in favor of the Bond Issue. These messages were sent to 47 communities.

2. A booth was set up in Graham Memorial where a master list of all the state newspapers was kept. Students were encouraged to sign this list and write letters to the editors of their home town newspapers.

3. There was a week long drive dedicated to getting students to write to their parents urging them to vote in favor of the Bond Issue.

4. Brochures were printed by Student Government that were entitled "Urgent." These brochures were distributed along with a booklet from the Committee of Sixty to all residence units at the University. Enough copies of "Urgent" were printed so that every student received one and was urged to enclose it in his next letter home.

5. A special edition of the Daily Tar Heel was printed through the efforts of President William C. Friday, Chancellor William B. Aycock, President of the Student Body Charlie Gray, Editor Davis Young, and many others. 17,500 copies of the paper were printed and students, all parents of North Carolina residing students, and all people on President Friday's and Chancellor Aycock's mailing lists received copies. The special issue was six pages long and contained many pictures, graphs, and statements concerning the vote. The issue was financed chiefly through the efforts of the Committee of Sixty and the UNC Student Legislature.

It was felt that "the symbol of an informed, interested student soliciting aid that would come not to his own, but to future generations of students in this institution surely would have an effect upon the outcome of the vote."

Football Results

The sixth week of The Daily Tar Heel Picks failed to change the standings. Daily Tar Heel Editor Davis B. Young remained the leader, picking up another full game on his closest pursuers.

Young's record for the week was six wins, three losses and a tie in the Ohio State-Indiana game. This was matched by Chuck Ross, Peter B. Young and Charlie Gray. The low man for the week was Rusty Hammond with five wins, four losses and a tie. He slipped back to a tie for second place.

This six week totals read:

- Davis B. Young — 37-19-4
- Rusty Hammond — 34-22-4
- Peter B. Young — 34-22-4
- Chuck Ross — 33-23-4
- Charlie Gray — 31-25-4

Foreign Student News

Frances Reynolds

"These people need our help only because of political ends that might be accomplished in accelerating the changes since 1956 but also because of humanitarian reasons." These were the closing remarks of Volker Berghahn, one of the two exchange students from Goettingen, Germany, as he spoke to members of Alpha Gamma Delta sorority.

Mr. Berghahn, who has been very active in student government in Germany, was one of three observers from Goettingen and Germany to an International Conference of students held in Poland. They were invited as official representatives, but the Union of German Students which is comparable to NSA here, was not allowed to send them as official delegates due to the fact that there was to be an official delegation from East Germany.

The delegations at the conference were chiefly from the Communist countries with a few exceptions such as Scotland and Ireland and neutral and underdeveloped countries of Africa and Asia. Mr. Berghahn was of the opinion that the conference itself, which was held in Cracow, was not especially fruitful. Papers and reports were read, but there was no disagreement or discussion. It followed official party lines and statements. The conference concluded with the slogans that are typical of Communist conferences, a striving for socio-economical justice for all peoples, disarmament, and protest against atomic testing and warfare.

The only official voice of discontentment came from the Polish Student Delegation. This phase of getting to know Polish sentiment, Polish conditions and meeting many Poles was to Mr. Berghahn the real and very important value of his trip.

As they traveled visiting different parts of Poland, Mr. Berghahn was impressed by the socially and economically poor conditions. The standard of living is very low and people get by with a minimum. Industry appeared to be in poor condition.

All of these various impressions left Mr. Berghahn with the firm conviction, that there are points of contact and communication between the Western Bloc and countries such as Poland, and it places upon the West not only the opportunity but the responsibility.

Mr. Berghahn's visit in the Alpha Gamma Delta Sorority is one phase of the attempt of student government to bring the Carolina student into closer and more fruitful contact with the foreign students on campus.

Also present as other guests for the talk and dinner were Pappy Churchill, Chairman of the International Students' board, and Masai Nishihara, Japanese student who is holder of an NSA scholarship for foreign student leaders.

Essay Contest

Subject: "What is wrong with America and what can we do to correct it?"

Requirements: All essays must be typewritten, double-spaced and signed by the author. Name, address and phone number must be included. Length: 500-1500 words.

Prizes: There will be eight (8) prizes:

- 1st Prize—one \$25 RANCH HOUSE Steak Certificate
- 2nd Prize—one \$15 RANCH HOUSE Steak Certificate
- 3rd Prize—one \$10 RANCH HOUSE Steak Certificate
- 4th through 8th Prize — one RANCH HOUSE Buffet Certificate

(These prizes have been donated by Cactus Ted's RANCH HOUSE of Chapel Hill, one of the South's most distinctive restaurants. The certificates may be redeemed as meals at the RANCH HOUSE on or before March 15, 1960.

Eligibility: All students, faculty members and employees of the Consolidated University of North Carolina and/or any member of the Chapel Hill community, excepting staff members of The Daily Tar Heel and RANCH HOUSE employees.

Judges: Dr. Alexander Heard, Dean of the Graduate School, UNC; Davis B. Young, Editor, The Daily Tar Heel; Frank H. Crowther, Associate Editor, The Daily Tar Heel. The decisions of these judges are final.

Deadline: All manuscripts must be received or postmarked not later than midnight, December 1, 1959. The Daily Tar Heel reserves the right to print any or all essays. Winners will be announced on or before December 19, 1959.

Send all essays to: Daily Tar Heel Essay Contest, Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N. C.

Info On The Executives

The businessman's magazine, Fortune, has made a study of 1,700 top executives of 834 of the nation's biggest industrial and commercial companies.

Some of its findings are among the quite expectable: a great majority of the 1,700 are Republicans leaning toward a more conservative presidential hopeful; a great majority are from the businessman's territory (the Midwest and Northeast), and are sons of businessmen fathers.

Other of the findings seem at least interesting, even significant. Most of the 1,700 reached the top in their companies or industries without a hand-up from fathers in the same line. Two-thirds are college graduates and a quarter of the remainder went to college at least three years. Two-thirds of the foregoing total helped pay at least part of their way.

And here come some of the surprising findings: Half of these top executives have been in their posts less than 6 years. A similar study conducted by Fortune seven years ago discovered that of the college-trained only 9 per cent had studied liberal-arts subjects (as distinct from "practical"); the current study finds this percentage has doubled. And a real surprise: Only 2 per cent of all male American college graduates have "made" Phi Beta Kappa; five times that percentage of 1959 top executives won that distinctly academic distinction.

Is business turning "egghead"? —Christian Science Monitor

So What?

1. The nation is at war.
2. The nation is losing the war, badly.
3. The nation must exert a vastly greater effort

The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina where it is published daily except Monday and examination periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.00 per semester, \$7.00 per year.

The Daily Tar Heel is printed by the News Inc., Carrboro, N. C.

