The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unbampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Richard Overstreet, Chairman,

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify thus.

OCTOBER 20, 1960

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 31

Has Mr. Lodge Spoken Correctly?

Pro

Con

Henry Cabot Lodge has flatly predicted that Richard Nixon, if elected to the nation's top office, will appoint a qualified Negro to his cabinet.

Both John Kennedy and Nixon have stated that they favor a cabinet made up of well-qualified secretaries, regardless of race or

If it is not designed primarily "to get the Negro vote," then we must voice an approval of the suggestion.

We agree strongly with Lodge that the appointment of a qualified Negro would be beneficial not only in its effect on domestic policy but on those abroad who have had occasion to call the United States a nation of prejudice.

Of course, it should be emphasized that the appointment of a Negro solely as a symbol of racial equality would be defeating the purpose, if there is no qualified Negro available.

Any Negro appointed to the Cabinet should be able to stand up to the qualifications requisite to a cabinet member, just as a white member should.

And it would seem, in any case, that a Negro would almost have to exceed these qualifications for he would be on trial before the American citizens - white and Negro alike-and he would be vulnerable to more stringent criticism than any directed toward other cabinet

A Negro would not only have to make decisions as a man and as a representative of his country, but also as a representative of a race which has yet to prove itself in the eyes of many millions who are not ready to accept the Negro as an

His decisions would be viewed critically and he would be judged not as a man in a vital position, but as a Negro who must do his job better than any white man or get out of office.

Finally, this man would have to possess the courage, the wisdom, the integrity and the stamina to stand up under all the vilifications to which angry advocates of white supremacy would subject him.

If Nixon has found such a man, his intentions of placing him in a major advisory position are laudable. Kennedy would also be wise to make the appointment, should he be in a position to do so and if such a man exists.

A Negro Secretary, assuming he is qualified, could fulfill a two-fold objective-both as a policy-maker ranking with any white cabinet member and as a salient symbol of the racial equality sadly absent in America today.-W. K.

The Daily Tar Heel

JONATHAN YARDLEY WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER Associate Editors ROBERT HASKELL, MARGARET ANN RHYMES Managing Editors EDWARD NEAL RINER—
Assistant To The Editor HENRY MAYER, LLOYD LITTLE-News Editors ... Feature Editor FRANK SLUSSER Sports Editor KEN FRIEDMAN Asst. Sports Editor JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG-Contributing Editors TIM BURNETT Business Manager

RICHARD WEINER Advertising Manager JOHN JESTER Circulation Manager CHARLES WHEDBEE Subscription Manager THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 8, 1870. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year. THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a member of the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bu-reau of the University of North Caro-lins.

We have been appalled recently at the open, opportunistic efforts of Mr. Henry Cabot Lodge to ensure the Negro vote by "flatly predicting" that Mr. Nixon, if elected, would appoint a Negro to the President's Cabinet.

This statement, as Senator Kennedy was quick to point out, is "racism in reverse at its worst." It is not only that; it is attempting to make a statement for the presidential candidate, who obviously does not feel as strongly on the matter. No one can speak for Mr. Nixon but Mr. Nixon; our quarrel is with Mr. Lodge.

Promising a position to a member of a racial or ethnic group is not the correct way in which the government is operated; good Presidents have, as a rule, tried to find the best man, regardless of his color, his religion or his personal appearance.

While Kennedy repeats that he is interested only in the capability of prospective Cabinet members, Lodge asserts that the Vice President would appoint a Negro; but has he made a clear commitment to the concept of quality? We think

There are many great virtues to be gained from having Negroes in positions of importance in the American government; the greatest of these would be that the officialdom of America would finally recognize the truth that all have avoided-that Negroes are every bit as good as anyone else, intellectually, physically, socially.

There are, however, no virtues to be found in placing a man in an official post because of his color. Color, it would seem clear, does not affect the mind. If Ralph Bunche were, for example, appointed would it be because he is a man of great ability or because he is a Negro? Mr. Lodge makes the latter sound more probable.

The Negro is not to be tossed around as a political football, robbed of all dignity and made useful. He is human and has great dignity; Mr. Lodge does not seem to recognize this. He has used the Cabinet issue to appeal not only to the Negro but also to "liberals," "intellectuals" and "free-thinkers."

He has bandied the Negro about as something that is to be held when necessary, dropped when no longer of any immediate value to the campaign cause.

Mr. Nixon has conducted himself with remarkable control during this rather unfortunate campaign blunder by his running mate, but there has been an unexpected result: the controversy has served to draw a line of disagreement between the two candidates, partly destroying the impression of harmony in the Republican camp.

Mr. Lodge has played his hand very poorly. He has expressed an admirable idea most unadmirably, and has shown himself to be a little more politician and a little less statesman, despite the "image" campaign tacticians have tried to build for him.-J.Y.

Republicans See Surge

(Third in a series on the Young Republican Club and the Young Democratic Club.) Pledge training.

The Young Republican Club is a pledge class of the senior or national Republican in that it is a training and recruiting organization. However, this is not the type of pledge training usually associated with fraternity pledge training, but it is a pathway to party recognition after college.

Charles Jonas Jr. explains that membership in the YRC instills the thought of active party participation.

"I have neither facts, figures nor others' opinions on this, but my guess would be that college YRC members become active members in the senior party," Jonas says.

"There are many students on campus now that believe in the Republican party - look at the number of "Nixon" and "Gavin" bumper stickers for examplebut they do not feel they have time to attend meetings, join the YRC or to take an active part in the party," he says.

Jonas also agrees with Neil Matheson, YRC president, that many Southerners are registered Democrats, but they think Republican: conservative.

More and more of these people are registering Republican this year in North Carolina and/or planning to vote Republican because they realize "they cannot support the Democratic Party either because of the candidate or the platform or both," Jonas

He also agrees with others around the state that North Carolina is getting closer to being a two-party state: President Eisenhower almost carried North Carolina in 1952 and 1956, and Robert Gavin has more support than previous Republican gubernatorial candidates in the 20th century. (Gavin has campaigned all over the state and is scheduled here.)

And, Jonas adds, there are hopes of getting a leading Republican to Chapel Hill soon.

But how does the local YRC fit into this state and national GOP scene? The answer is the party, but the answer is applied differently. Because of classroom and other responsibilities, the club cannot fully devote itself to campaigning as members of the senior party are doing, but it can and is working to stimulate interest in the presidential and gubernatorial races and the GOP.

It is doing this through its own meetings and meetings with other YRC's and by working with the Orange County party headquarters. Making and delivering posters on Gavin's trip to town today and arranging for his visit last Friday are the most outstanding projects of the club so

Merging the YRC with Youth for Nixon will increase the members' interest and work in the presidential campaign, and it probably will draw more out-ofstate students to the club.

(Next: What is the Young Democratic Club at Carolina?)

REFLECTIONS

The Chapel Hill Weekly recently ran an article which pointed out that voting and registration procedures would be tightly enforced, including those regarding residency. This is true; however, before students assume that they are disenfranchised they should check with their regis-

It should be pointed out that the decision to register a voter is, to a large extent, a decision made by the registrar.

Many students here have legitimate reasons for claiming residency in Orange County. Many own property here, have bought licenses here and give the Chapel Hill post office as their permanent address. Although these are not to be considered requirements for registration, they are criteria for judgement by the registrar.

In North Carolina, a citizen must have resided in the state one year and in the precinct, ward or other election district 30 days.

Registration must be made IN PERSON with the registrar of township, ward, or precinct of residence from 9 a.m. on the fourth Saturday before sunset on the second Saturday before elec-

Minors should bear in mind that anyone reaching age 21 before election day is eligible for registration.

"Later On, I Might Take A Little Dip"



KIX Picks' Supported

To the Editor:

It seems that Mr. John Justice and I have a difference in opinion in regard to the radio station WKIX and its music programs, His KIX Picks appears to be the result of a final effort to find something to criticize which evidently he knows nothing about, He might write a much better article on billiards than musicit certainly couldn't be worse.

When he classifies Brenda Lee and Sam Cooke as things, rather than humans, who record nothing but tripe, he might well remember that these recording stars have sold millions of records to the people of this country people who were willing to pay for this 'tripe'. When he classifies "Our Best To You" as two hours of sentimental, sloppy songs calculated to ease the poor, bleeding hearts of local lovers, he definitely shows that he has a lack of music appreciation. I hate to think that the music played on "Our Best To You" by such recording stars as Doris Day, Johnny Mathias, Roy Hamilton, Percy Faith, and others-I hate to think that this is 'sloppy'.

Music is one of the things that every human being enjoys, or rather, almost every human being enjoys. There are, and there always will be, some people who can't stand music, and will criticize it, even though they couldn't carry a tune in a bucket.

Cy Thompson

M'LOU REDDEN

Styron's Latest: Muddled By Sex & Pornography

Set This House on Fire, William Styron's latest novel, is monumental both in its scope and its failure. Material for several novels is present in its 500odd pages. Scenes flash from New York to Italy, to South Carolina, and back to Italy-many times.

Superficially, the plot is that of a very ordinary mystery, jazzed up with a multitude of fourletter words. Through flashbacks, the events preceding and following the gory death of Mason Flagg are developed. However, with great ambition and little caution, the author attempts to dissect the existence and meaning of evil. Somehow, one never discovers exactly who or what is evil, and by the long-delayed conclusion, one no longer even

Sections of this novel are both

"Of course the convention is

rigged," said a dapper gentleman

sitting at the end of the table.

"Look at the men in key posi-

tions-Bowles is chairman of the

platform committee, Governor

Collins is Chairman of the con-

vention. I could go right down

the line. You have replaced all

of the old guard of the Demo-

cratic party with all of the young

liberals. Just look at the men

you've left out: Sam Rayburn

has no position, and neither does

Mike Mansfield. I don't wonder

at all that Jack Kennedy is ahead

The bitter tone of the Johnson

supporter was caused by an all

too real political reality: Ken-

nedy had gained access to the

important, decision-making of-

fices at the Los Angeles conven-

tion. But who compromised the

clique that the Massachusetts

Senator had carefully gathered

around him? And more impor-

tant, why had these men joined

For years, the Democratic par-

ty had been ruled by the old

guard politicos: the Sam Ray-

burns, the Averill Harrimans,

and the Mrs. Roosevelts. And

later, the Adlai Stevensons. In

1956, Jack Kennedy made an im-

portant decision: he could not

hope to gain the Democratic nom-

ination through this avenue of

access. And so, Kennedy began

building his own faction of the

party. He began with the men

who were shunned by the older

faction, he invited the liberals,

in convention ballots."

Operation Kennedy?

BOB SILLIMAN

fascinating and brilliant. Rural ing, "journeyman cartoonist," Italy in its beauty and vicious poverty is examined in vivid and painstaking detail. A constant, pungent, and satiric attack upon contemporary America, its politics and values is one of the most entertaining features of the novel.

Unfortunately, these spots of worth are muddled and almost smothered by a joyless review of sex and pornography and a great deal of needlessly complicated melodrama.

There are three men of importance in Set This House on Fire. Apparently only one of these is sane-Peter Leverett. He serves two functions-he narrates a major part of the novel and devotes his spare time to picking up the broken bits of humanity destroyed by the other two men.

It is between Mason Flagg, "the bloody rich," and Cass Kinsolv-

'Operation Kennedy'

that the major conflict occurs. By a series of accidents Flagg gains diabolic emotional hold over Kinsolving, who appears largely as a sodden and hallucinationridden painter. A realization of · Flagg's unbalanced and evil nature finally penetrates Kinsolvdevelops.

A profusion of characters only manages to confuse the fainting reader still further. Kinsolving has a child-wife and an astounding number of dirty children. Flagg has an entourage of movie people, expatriates, and servants which defies calculation. Through various flashbacks, untold numbers of other characters enter, are destroyed to some degree, and are relegated, thankfully no

doubt, to Limbo.

The question of evil in itself is rather chaotically treated. Flagg is either the epitome of evil-or he is a demigod and evil does not exist. This is a problem which perplexes Sturon far more than the reader. A dip into any chapter will settle the reader's doubt very quickly. Flagg is unbalanced and almost pathetically intent upon proving himself superhuman and above questions of good and evil. But the clearest picture of him is given by Kinsolving himself: "The universal man he thought of himself as, of the perfect human male, an mor of Styron's style.

aesthete who could quote you half a line from Rilke and Rimbaud and you name it, and dream of himself potting tigers in Burma and getting gored in Seville, and balance himself off as the most glorious stud that ever crept between two sheets. And since he was none of these things to no ing's liquor-drenched soul, where- degree he had to talk a lot, to upon most of the violent action make you believe he was all of

Only one problem actually exists for the reader. How could one man be so demonic without collapsing from the effort? Flagg rapidly becomes more symbol than man.

Any attempt to criticize Styron's latest in an organized fashion is a futile one. At best, one can only give an estimate of its value. If you are prepared to struggle through several themes, an unbelievable amount of character study, and reams of print, there is certainly thought-provoking and often humorous content to be found. Contrary to the rules, this novel is improved by judicious skimming. Chapters could probably be eliminated without visible effect.

As long as no startling revelations or profundities are expected, the book is not actually a disappointment. It is simply a tedious and at times monotonous conglomeration of themes and incidental ideas. Its major virtue lies in the occasional brilliance, conthe bleeding equilateral triangle stant vividness, and spurting hu-

Kennedy Or Nixon— Whose Image Is Right

reading material of the citizens.

Further, since this is an election year, you think that much knowledge can be gathered from the speeches of the candidates for the Presidency of the United States. You look first at the words of the Republican candidate—and you smile. America is Utopia. Her prestige and power (military, economic, and political) are at an all-time high. America is winning all sorts of contests: the Cold War (a curious misnomer), the space race, the missile race,

Ah, you say, this country America is wonderful. For further confirmation of the greatness of the United States you turn to the other candidate for the highest electoral office on the globe. And you are shocked

parently sees his country in quite a disaster which can only be averted by the election of the Democratic ticket.

Good Lord (or the equivalent), you say, the United States is tottering on the brink of utter ruin, according to Kennedy. But the Republicans say that all is well, and that the Democrats are defeatist and fear-obsessed.

You turn away, bewildered. Which of the drastically different images is true-or rather, which more closely approaches the true image? Well, if the democratic theory of majority vote being the best determinate of opposing choices is true, the people of America (or approximately 55-60% of the voters) will make the decision between the polaric pictures offered to them.

John Justice

and, just for good measure, he attracted a few of the Stevenson prototype eggheads. And Senator Kennedy came up with a thus-far unbeatable combination of politicians. He gained

Governor Abe Ribbicoff of Connecticut; foreign policy decisions were made by Connecticut Congressman Chet Bowles. In the waning months of the pre-convention campaigning, Kennedy swayed Washington's Scoop Jackson. In the all-important labor state of Michigan, Millionaire Governor "Soapy" Williams joined the cause. But why did these men throw

the confidence of Jewish-Yankee

in their lot with Kennedy? -F. Kennedy a candidate who could gain the confidence-and the votes-of the American people. And everyone likes a winner. Second, many of these men were "out" with the Democratic party. They would never gain acclaim if the party candidate were Adlai Stevenson, or a Lyndon Johnson. And third: Kennedy was their type of candidate: liberal in domestic policy fields, such as economics, yet knowledgable and realistic in foreign policy. (i.e. Quemoy and Matsu)

These are the components of Operation Kennedy, this is the New Face of the Democratic party, this is the celebrated Unbeaten Machine. The Johnson supporter sitting at the San Carlos Hotel was peeved at the informal slap given the Old Guard Democrats. But after he had finished his complaint, a Kennedy aide reminded him of a statement once made by another Democratic candidiate who finally sat in the White House: "If you can't stand the heat, get out of the kitchen." The remark implied that politics is no game for the amateur, and the author of the remark ought to know, for his name was Harry

Imagine that you are a visitor two. The Democratic nominee apfrom another planet. Wishing to know as much as possible about a different light from the Repubthe present-day United States, lican. If what Senator Kennedy you examine the newspapers each says is true, then America is day in order to see what image more like a decadent society of America can be found in the which is on the brink of disaster

by the difference between the THE PARTY SEED AND THE PARTY SEED AND THE