

The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Richard Overstreet, Chairman.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify this.

MARCH 16, 1961

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 123

Editorial Endorsements:

A Look At The Candidates

Following are our comments and endorsements for the elective positions open in spring elections. It should be made absolutely clear that these are only the feelings of the editor; many members of *The Daily Tar Heel* staff will vote differently. It is to be hoped that no one will construe the comments contained herein as the policy statements of this newspaper.

For President: There is not a great deal of disparity between the two candidates.

Bob Sevier is a competent, able legislator who could have compiled a much more distinguished record as vice president of the student body. He impresses us as having a lack of initiative; he is, however, a most amiable, conscientious person who would undoubtedly perform adequately as president.

Bill Harriss is not qualified by experience to fill the job, but the vitality of his program and the skill with which he has handled the Student Party make him a fairly good choice. His lack of administrative experience hurts him.

Endorsement: None. Neither candidate fully satisfies the criteria needed for a really excellent president. We will vote for Harriss, but the choice is a very, very close one.

Vice President: Tony Harrington is a competent, active person with a good understanding of the legislature. He is anxious to start some action in student government, but his solutions to outstanding problems seem rather standard.

Hank Patterson is one of the most outstanding individuals in student government. His work with countless committees recommends him for any position on the campus. His convictions are the most impressive aspect of his program; his solutions are often inspired and invariably original.

Endorsement: Hank Patterson, with unqualified enthusiasm. Har-

ington would perform well; Patterson might be exceptional.

Secretary: Both girls — Lynda Richert and Mary Townsend—seem to be competent typists and could fulfill the functions of the position admirably.

Endorsement: None. We do not believe that the position of secretary of the student body should be elective. It is essentially a mechanical job, and the girl holding it does not represent any of the feelings of the student body. She has been elected, presumably, because she can type and administer.

For what it is worth, we shall vote for Mary Townsend.

Treasurer: Pete Thompson is unopposed. Inasmuch as he is one of the most qualified people ever to seek this position, we endorse him fully and enthusiastically. We would do so no matter who his opponent was. He will do a fine job.

Editor of The Daily Tar Heel: Wayne King is unopposed. This is unfortunate not because he is not qualified but because *Daily Tar Heel* matters should be discussed before the student body.

Nevertheless, Wayne King is easily the most qualified person available for the position, and our endorsement goes to him with absolute enthusiasm. We have been continually impressed with his work since he first came into the office, and feel that he may well be one of the really outstanding *Daily Tar Heel* editors. We urge all students—in his case and in Thompson's as well—to cast votes in his favor to express their confidence and support for him.

These endorsements are one person's arbitrary feelings. Whatever you may believe, we insist that you exercise your rights and vote next Tuesday. Only through your interest in student government can it become truly representative and effective.

"We'll See How Tough The New Cop Is"



HERBLOCK © 1961 THE WASHINGTON POST CO.

United Press International

Where Do All The Initials Come From?

There has been some talk this year of creating a new government department to do for the city dweller what the Agriculture Department does for the farmer.

As a city dweller myself, I view this proposal with some misgivings. I am not certain that I want to have done for me what has been done for the farmer.

Most of the problems in a city spring from surplus population. If the government tried to handle that the way it has handled surplus farm production, it could lead to some rather involved situations.

For instance, I wouldn't mind being paid for not producing any more children, the way farmers are paid for not producing crops.

But on the other hand, I wouldn't be especially keen about plowing under the ones I've already got.

Some of those who proposed setting up the new agency suggested that it be called the "Department of Urban and Municipal Problems." Then they abruptly withdrew their suggestion.

They did so after someone observed that the abbreviation for Department of Urban and Municipal Problems" would be "DUMP."

As you can see, the sponsors of the proposal were not in step with this age of the organization man. Had they been in step, they would have known that they were doing things backward.

Nowadays, it is considered bad form to give first consideration to a name. What you do now is start out with an abbreviation. Then you think up a name that will fit it.

The "initials first" trend started, as best I can tell, about 15 years ago with the formation of a post-war relief program. Someone apparently decided that "CARE" would be a fine abbreviation for the organization.

So, after a great deal of brain straining, they managed to come up with a name to match the abbreviation, "Cooperative for American Remittances to Europe" was the result.

Later the name was changed to "Cooperative for American Re-

lief Everywhere," which broadened the scope of the program while preserving the original initials.

Since the advent of CARE, all sorts of initialized groups have come into being. None, I suspect, was named until after it had been abbreviated.

We have a medical relief ship project called "HOPE" Health Opportunity for People Everywhere, which is not to be confused with "COPE" Committee on Political Education.

To the Editor:

On behalf of the Di-Phi I would like to answer your editorial on the Harriss-Sevier debate.

The major mis-statement of fact is that "the two parties are being given an opportunity to screen the questions before they are even asked." What was actually done, as suggested by Bob Silliman in an article on the same page as the editorial, was to notify the candidates in advance of the general areas of discussion. The specific questions were not revealed in advance in any way, as the candidates can testify. Since some of the questions had nothing to do with the party platforms, it was only realistic to give an indication of the general topic if any answer at all was expected.

Secondly, it was not the intention of the Di-Phi to hold the debate in Di Hall. This was only done because every other auditorium on the campus was reserved far in advance. In fact,

The Graduate Student: Is He Sinner Or Saint In Library?

Well, it has finally happened. Wade Wellman's "letter to the Editor" of March 14 was the straw that broke the camel's back. Whether or not Wade realizes it, his letter makes the 3rd or 4th one accusing the "undergraduates" of the atrocities in the library and the "stacks" in particular.

As an undergraduate I realize that we are not or even come near to being perfect, but who is this saint called the "graduate?" I make a habit of studying in the stacks because it is generally quieter than the outside. In doing so, I have had a chance to observe and hear offenders.

It is true that undergraduate coeds do not know how to whisper, and undergraduate men sometimes walk heavily, but what about the graduate students who insist on carrying on conversations with no regard to his whereabouts and the graduate women who persist in the use of hobnails on their high-heels. Now, you may ask at this point how I differentiate between graduates and undergraduates.

It is true that my system is not foolproof, but on the whole these offenders I am referring to as graduates are much older than the observed undergraduate men and women.

In fact, it would be safe to say that many of the hobnailed women could easily pass as mothers for our freshmen. By now, as you may have observed, I have

ignored Wade's major point: The lack of responsibility taken by both undergraduates, graduates, and even professors toward the books of the stacks and other libraries such as the BA/SS and the undergraduate.

My only comment is that if the above cannot, and I mean as Jim Clotfelter very aptly put it "He, we, you, and . . . I," observe the general rules of honesty, then the stacks must be closed, not to just undergraduates, but to ALL. I wonder in closing how many men and women of this campus can face their fellow students with an honest face. Can you?

C. H. McAulay

In A Quandry On Laundry

If at any time during your educational sojourn you begin to tire of stiff starched shirts with collars that feel like Nicholson files, or sharply creased trousers that cling to your legs like oversexed leeches, you should consider taking advantage of the latest university laundry service.

Simply toss your decaying duds along with all their clinging microbes into a convenient pillowcase, hold this contaminated conglomeration at arm's length, and run it over to the basement laundry service of Joyner Dormitory.

Within 24 hours your clothes will be returned—clean, dry soft, a beautiful blend of colors. Your red socks become an alluring shade of pink; your white shirt reveals pastel shades of the same color. You realize that shirts are supposed to be worn with bent collar stays and broken buttons.

You have an odd number of socks, but you are aware that you will lose the odd sock in the next wash, and all will be well again—even if the remaining pairs don't match exactly. You discover that your sweater is a little smaller than it was before; nevertheless, it will make a warm undershirt. Besides, you told your mother not to get Virgin wool.

And you can always iron that cuff back into your pants. Yes, you too have become one of the many courageous students who eagerly anticipate the weekly return of that bundle of surprises under the auspicious title of: wash-dry-fold.

Fess Green

The Daily Tar Heel solicits and is happy to print any letter to the editor written by a member of the University community, as long as it is within the accepted bounds of good taste. NO LETTERS WILL BE PRINTED IF THEY ARE OVER 300 WORDS LONG OR IF THEY ARE NOT TYPEWRITTEN OR DOUBLE SPACED. We make this requirement purely for the sake of space and time.

A Tar Heel For Raleigh

Today's issue of *The Daily Tar Heel* is being distributed to all members of the General Assembly and Senate, prior to the action they will take soon on the Consolidated University budget. The special two-page insert has been included to introduce legislators not only to

the financial needs of the University at Chapel Hill but also as a means by which they can come to know the student body in a closer, more personal fashion.

There is no need to remind any representative of the great need for an increase in U.N.C. funds; that has been done many, many times during the past few months. There is, however, a need to remind the Assembly that the University and its students place their trust in the good will and discretion of the Assembly—and feel fully satisfied that such trust has been placed with people who will honor it.

We salute the General Assembly of North Carolina for an admirable past, a public-spirited present and a great future. We shall in the future, as now, feel that the University of North Carolina stands only to gain from its relationship with the state government, not to suffer as other state universities do.

This special issue of *The Daily Tar Heel* is devoted, in large part, to the General Assembly; it is dedicated to the proposition that representative government is the best means through which society can achieve the most beneficial goals.



In The Stacks . . . Who, Me?

We're Already Moving Fast

America's astronauts may set some speed records, but they'll have to go some to beat the 720,000 miles an hour at which mankind already is plunging through space.

That's the speed of our local star group as it turns with the galaxy, which makes the 18,000-mile-an-hour orbital speed of the Project Mercury capsule look like a drop in the cosmic bucket.

Still, the astronauts' speed will be respectable when compared with the moon, which crawls around the earth at 3,287 miles an hour—only a bit faster than the 2,905 miles per hour world record set last week by the X15 rocketship.

While the sun plunges madly through the galaxy, the earth ambles around the sun at a comfortable 66,600 miles per hour.

Chapel Hill After Dark

With Davis B. Young

The race for President of the Student Body stands as a contest between two able and competent gentlemen of the highest order. They are both highly motivated, sincere and capable. The choice between them is difficult; but nevertheless, here it is.

We support University Party standard bearer Bob Sevier. We have known both nominees for a lengthy period of time, worked with both, found both to be extremely astute.

However, Bob Sevier has an edge in experience over Bill Harriss. He has immersed himself in the student governing process over a greater period of time.

We find little difference between their philosophies and their goals. Neither is a conservative, neither a liberal. Both are sensibly moderate.

There may be those wondering how it is possible for us to have endorsed Student Party candidates Mary Townsend and Hank Patterson, and then turn around and put a stamp of approval on a University Party hopeful.

It's very simple. Unlike other years when the campus was motivated to concern itself with issues confronting students, the election this year has been a silent contrast.

We do not believe at this time in supporting a party as opposed to supporting individual candidates. On this level, it is better to support the single candidate.

We are highly confident that Bob Sevier will direct the student whip with great care and with the highest ideals.

The team of Sevier-Patterson-Townsend will make Student Government move, will instill vigor throughout instead of from the top alone. These are three unique personalities, all having their own ideas, yet each possessing the ability to be a member of a team instead of a loner.

We think Bob Sevier as head of this team will lend a great stability and wisdom gathered through the years of watching and listening.

He rates your most careful consideration as do Mr. Patterson and Miss Townsend.

The Daily Tar Heel

JONATHAN YARDLEY Editor

WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER Associate Editors

MARGARET ANN RHYMES Managing Editor

EDWARD REAL RIVER Assistant to the Editor

HENRY MAYER, JIM CLOTFELTER News Editors

LOYD LITTLE Executive News Editor

SUBAN LEWIS Feature Editor

FRANK SLUSSER Sports Editor

HARRY W. LLOYD Asst. Sports Editor

JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG Contributing Editors

TIM BURNETT Business Manager

RICHARD WEINER Advertising Manager

JOHN JESTER Circulation Manager

CHARLES WHEEDER Subscription Manager

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.

Published by the Colonial Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.