

The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

February 28, 1962 Tel. 942-2356 Vol. LXIX, No. 102

Trustee Inquiry

The framework of the Carolina student judiciary has been challenged in the appeal to the Board of Trustees concerning the suspension of a student by the Women's Honor Council last spring.

The appeal, it appears, involves not only the single case, but the concept of delegating disciplinary powers to students. A brief prepared by Att. John T. Manning and presented to officials here, challenges the delegation of this power by the Board of Trustees to the administration and subsequently to the student judiciary.

This means that there is a chance, although it seems a small one at present, that the tradition of student judicial councils with power to suspend or otherwise discipline students may be ended.

Although the legal aspects of passing down disciplinary power to students has been decided elsewhere, if it appears that any right of due process—which is stipulated by the Board in any student judicial action, was denied in this case, or possibly in others, then the judicial system is vulnerable.

The student judiciary here functions as a privilege, not as a guaranteed right. The power to discipline

can be withdrawn from students at anytime, either by the administration or by the Board of Trustees. If it appears that this privilege is being used improperly there is a chance that it will be revoked.

Fortunately, the particular decision by the Women's Council that is being questioned has been upheld by both Chancellor Aycock, President Friday, and "right up to Gov. Sanford's office," as one official put it.

This vote of confidence in the judiciary is heartening. The facts leading to the decision to suspend the student were carefully reviewed and no basis for an appeal was found.

At the same time a careful examination will serve to help point up some of the faults—and there are some that can be remedied—in the system.

The judiciary is far from perfect; but it is not so imperfect that it is kept from being the best system for depriving student government of the judicial power.

But it will serve to strengthen it by pointing to weaknesses that can be remedied.

Two-Party State?

Storm clouds gathering on the North Carolina horizon may not contain much "thunder on the right" but there are sporadic flashes of lightning revealing that the state's Republicans are in dead earnest this year.

A weekend development saw Congressman Charles R. Jonas officially get into the Eighth District race for re-election. His acceptance of the district's GOP nomination at Rockingham sent the party's campaign temperament into orbit.

Meanwhile, in Taylorsville, the Ninth District GOP convention had its largest attendance since 1916. This district will have the unusual opportunity of choosing its Republican candidate for Congress in a spring primary.

The Ninth's avowed candidates are James T. Broyhill, well-known Lenoir furniture executive and member of a prominent Republican family, and W. Leslie Burdick, the China Grove newspaper publisher who is chairman of the party in Rowan County.

Political observers have predicted for years that North Carolina Republicans will come into their own when they're strong enough to

choose their nominees in a primary rather than in convention.

For instance, Republicans in the Fourth District seem to be in their usual quandary in seeking a candidate for Congress. They succeeded in electing a chairman but had to form a committee to look for a candidate. And Eleventh District Republicans couldn't agree on a candidate at their convention.

Aside from developments in GOP conventions, there are indications of resurgence in a number of counties in the Piedmont. Gaston has for a number of months been strengthening opposition to Democratic majority through a citizens' committee working for two-party government. This group's energies were recently turned loose for the GOP cause and the Gaston arm of the party has new life. There has been some revitalization of the Republicans in Cleveland County, too.

Credit for the growth of the GOP in North Carolina should go largely to its state chairman, William Cobb of Morganton, but there is evidence that he is getting a great deal of help from life-long GOP members moving into North Carolina with new business and industries—people who haven't been accustomed to the one-party system that has held sway in the state for so many years.

There is evidence, too, that many nominal Democrats, who have supported the party's choice through the state level but voted the Republican ticket nationally, are re-orienting themselves for full-scale local support of the GOP.

None of this means that Republicans in North Carolina are going to stampede the Democrats any time soon. The Democrats are strengthening their party machinery to meet the challenge.

But, to all appearances, 1962 will mark the beginning of a genuine two-party struggle for political control in North Carolina.

The figure around whom the GOP will rally is the Eighth District's Jonas, who could be a serious candidate for governor in 1964. And the rallying point is the Eighth District gerrymander.

It all makes for an interesting spring. —Charlotte Observer

Political Science Course Is Biased

One paragraph in the editorial from the National Observer reprinted in the DTH Sunday gets at the root of the reason why many Americans exhibit ignorance or lack of regard for American government and institutions.

A young man was quoted as saying, "After studying American history and political science I know that our Constitution is a farce . . . minority groups are held back . . . Americans are greedy and selfish . . ."

How can anyone live in the best society that ever existed and not think it is worth fighting for? This boy's attitude is the end result of years of domination of higher learning by people who may be generally described as Liberals.

The basic course in American gov-

ernment at Carolina (Political 41) typically, is biased and inadequate. It contributes absolutely nothing to the students' fundamental understanding of the American system of government.

Anyone who has taken a course in foreign government knows that the proper approach is descriptive and analytical. The approach of Poli Sci 41 is disorganized, subjective, over-generalized and full of irrelevancies. The main purpose of the course is apparently to rid the student of the "prejudices" and "false notions" which the organizers feel he has picked up from his middle-class background. False notions are ideas which run counter to the assumptions and prejudices of the faculty of the Department of Political Science.

Students of 41 are presently privileged to buy a book by Professors Scott and Wallace which purports to be a casebook on American political processes. Were a little green man from Mars to open the book to find out what American government is like, he would return home and recommend that his people stay clear of us.

The book gives 37 pages of more than 500 to the most basic concept of American government - federalism. All cases are written from a partisan viewpoint. None are analytical or give any detached view of the political processes involved.

The emotional content of the "civil rights" section outweighs any indication of objective examination. It is full of exaggerated accounts of the horrible South, including a melodramatic article by Negro beatnik

James Baldwin, ("The South had always frightened me.") The professors are entitled to admire Baldwin's essay, but what possible relation does it have to a basic course in American government? Does it teach the student anything important about political processes?

The entire book is unashamedly loaded toward the Liberal viewpoint. Every single case on Madison Avenue in campaigns concerns Republicans. As if to suggest Democrats were too honorable to use such techniques.

In short, there is nothing in the book or the course to give any conception of the essentials of American government. Someone who gets most of his information from the course does not really know anything except superficialities about the structure

and processes of our government and has no appreciation for the uniqueness and greatness of American institutions.

In the past Americans were proud of their country, not because they were chauvinists, but because they justly felt they were the most flourishing democracy since Athens and their institutions were the hope of the world.

We are not likely to become a more cohesive or motivated people until we again popularize the idea that we have every right to be proud of our country, not because it is ours, but because it is unique and great.

—CLYDE WILSON

"They're Not Reacting The Way They Used To"



Ervin, Jordan Support UN

Senator Everett Jordan and Senator Sam Ervin are giving us intelligent and responsible representation in Washington by their support of the President's position on the United Nations bond issue.

A Washington report tells us that there is a "hostile flow of mail" into the offices of the North Carolina senators. This is regrettable. If we know what is really good for us and the world, we will show our gratitude to senators who show their faith in the United Nations at a critical time when many Americans would deliver the international organization to the scrap heap.

The UN's stabilizing operations in the Congo have cost \$10 million a month. By the end of 1962 the UN expects to have a deficit of \$200 million. It asks member nations to subscribe to a \$200 million bond issue. The United States, which has 80 per cent of the world's income, is asked to subscribe up to half of the bond issue. President Kennedy wants us to accept this \$100 million quota. The money would be paid back over a 25-year period with interest.

There is serious opposition to the Kennedy position. Always enemies of the UN look for an opportunity to cripple or destroy the organization. Some Americans understandably are irritated by the refusal of Russia to pay its share of the cost of the Congo operation, which it opposed. Other Americans are reluctant to back the bond plan because they think the UN had no business intervening in the Congo. Still other Americans cite "mistakes" made by the UN in the Congo.

None of the arguments against buying the bonds, even if we admit they have validity, are sufficient to override the compelling argument in favor of the bond plan. The compelling argument is that the United Nations must be maintained and it

must have full U. S. support if it is to survive. Failure to relieve the UN's financial difficulty will seriously endanger the life of the organization.

The UN has imperfections. It has made mistakes and will make more mistakes, for imperfect human beings formulate its policies and direct

its activities. But the UN is indispensable in the quest for world peace.

To refuse to support the President's position on the bond question is to play into the hands of the forces that seek destruction of the United Nations.

—Smithfield Herald

Letter Supports Mike Lawler

To the Editor:

We, the undersigned, in light of remarks made in your editorial of Feb. 23, "Probable Candidates", cannot understand why the Student Party is not receptive to Mike Lawler as a candidate for president of the student body.

Granted, Lawler has not been in politics for a year, however, he was an active SP legislator for TWO years previous to that. He did give a legislature seat he had won last spring to the SP. At that same time he won a seat on the Men's Honor Council and has been vitally concerned with the problems of the Honor System ever since. He has served as a member of the SP Executive Council.

These facts considered, we think Lawler to be an exceptionally strong candidate, experienced, capable and personable.

He has been active in campus sports, and has shown responsibility and leadership as coach of the UNC freshman and Chapel Hill High School swimming teams.

We consider him the ablest leader on campus and suggest the ideal ticket for SP and UNC — Mike Lawler for president and Dwight

Whelless for vice president of Carolina student government.

CLAIRE ATKINS,
Graduate Counselor
SANDY HOKE,
Women's Honor Council

Editor's Note: The above letter carried 84 additional signatures. Because of space limitations, we will be unable to print lengthy lists of supporters for various candidates. However, we will print the text of the letter and will keep the original signatures on file here if any student wishes to see it.

About Letters

The Daily Tar Heel invites readers to use it for expressions of opinion on current topics regardless of viewpoint. Letters must be signed, contain a verifiable address, and be free of libelous material. Brevity and legibility increase the chance of publication. Lengthy letters may be edited or omitted. Absolutely none will be returned.

Test Ban Resolution Shouldn't Be Passed

TO THE Editor:

Thursday evening the Student Legislature passed a Resolution concerning nuclear testing. This particular resolution was a very nebulous one, indeed. This bill condemned the Soviet Union for resuming nuclear testing in the atmosphere, but stated that the Student Legislature felt the United States was right in testing in the atmosphere once "the deterrent capabilities of the United States" became jeopardized.

I question the right of the Student Legislature to pass this resolution for the following reason: Not one single legislator ran for his seat on a platform which remotely mentioned this issue. Although a few attempts were made in some districts to find how the opinion ran on this issue, no campus-wide straw vote or canvass was held on this issue; every legislator did not know the sentiment on this resolution lay in his district. How, then, can the Student Legislators feel that they have represented campus opinion, the opinion of the students in their respective districts, when they have made little effort to find what that opinion was?

Secondly, as provided by Article IV of the resolution, a copy of this is to be sent to the United States National Student Association, NSA will, most likely, interpret this resolution as indicative of student opinion here at UNC, and use that interpretation in forming its future policy on this issue. This means, in

effect, that the opinion of the Student Legislators, although, perhaps, not the opinion of the students as it is made out to be, will be used to formulate policy on this international issue.

Furthermore, some campuses do not elect, but, rather appoint their delegates to NSA national conventions. Until all campuses elect their delegates, it cannot truly claim to be representative of student opinion. In this respect, I congratulate the Student Legislature for making our delegation somewhat representative by providing for such an election in another Bill passed Thursday.

—Jeffrey A. Dick

Reflections

A recent story which came into the Tar Heel office reported that almost 700 college students had invaded W.C. for a big Consolidated University dance and twist party. Jack Paar would have gotten a big kick out of that one. That's an awfully small place to get 700 men. No wonder they were twisting.

Yack Editor Defends Double-Endorsement

To the Editor:

At the last meeting of legislature a bill was passed which made the Editorship of the YACKETY YACK an appointive office rather than a campus-wide, elected position as it has been in the past. This was passed supposedly because there were fears that with the new rule that a person can not be doubly endorsed by both campus political parties, if there were only one qualified person the other party might still endorse someone in hopes of winning the office. Thus a job which requires a person with some technical knowledge could easily become a political football. No one consulted with the present Co-Editors; in fact, this bill didn't even come up before the rules committee to be discussed as is the usual custom. The vote was taken late in the evening and most of the legislators were tired and anxious to adjourn; besides it was presented with the indication that the present editors and the Publications Board were in favor of this move.

You may ask, "So what difference does it make whether the YACK editor is appointed or elected?" and say "If it solved a problem by making it appointive all the better." First a little background. This issue came up before the Publications Board both last spring and this fall. In the new by-laws which were passed by both the Pub Board and the legislature this fall the editorship was left elected. To make sure that no unqualified candidates ran for office all candidates were to come before a Selections Committee of the Publication Board which would examine the person's qualifications and then endorse those individuals who were qualified. NOW, the Board will have the final power to say who the editor will be.

What is wrong with this is that the editorship could easily become a

revolving monopoly that stays year after year within one select group. Some may say this won't and can't happen. Yet look at the business managers of the two major publications who are appointed in a similar manner. The business manager of the DAILY TAR HEEL has been a DKE for over five years and the YACK business manager has been an SAE for many years. This occurrence is not particularly bad since the business manager has no control over editorial material, but it does show that there is a real threat of this type of monopoly happening. This, in the case of the editor, would be bad for the student body as a whole for an editor is bound to be somewhat partial to the group of which he is a member. This is evidenced in all past Yacks.

The YACK editor is at the head of one of the larger organizations on campus. This past year over \$50,000 was spent on the yearbook. The editor has complete control over how and where this money is spent. Since the editor is the only person who actually knows enough to say whether a person who has worked with him is qualified to take over the editorship, he will virtually have the power to name his successor since it is only logical to expect that the Pub. Board will give his recommendations more consideration than anyone else's. The student body should have this right to select its editor from all qualified candidates. The yearbook is for all students, each student pays for and receives a book; therefore, I firmly believe that the students should have the opportunity to vote for their editor—or at least, have had the opportunity to vote that they did not want this privilege.

DICK REPPUCCI
Co-Editor
1962 Yackety Yack

The Daily Tar Heel

EDITORIAL STAFF

WAYNE KING.....Editor
HARRY LLOYD, HARVE HARRIS—
Managing Editors
LLOYD LITTLE.....
Executive News Editor
JIM CLOFFELTER, BILL WUAMETT—
News Editors
JIM WALLACE.....
Photography Editor
CHUCK MOONEY.....Feature Editor
ED DUPREE.....Sports Editor
CURRY KIRKPATRICK.....
Asst. Sports Editor
GARRY BLANCHARD.....
Contributing Editor

BUSINESS STAFF

TIM BURNETT.....Business Manager
MIKE MATHERS.....
Advertising Manager
JIM EVANS.....Subscription Manager
JIM ESKRIDGE.....
Circulation Manager

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year.
The Daily Tar Heel is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.
Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.