

The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

March 25, 1962

Tel. 942-2356

Vol. XLIX, No. 124

Growth And Education

President Meredith Wilson of the University of Minnesota told an audience at Woman's College this week that the size of a university has nothing to do with its excellence.

President Wilson pointed out that the University of Minnesota has an enrollment of over 30,000 and compares in excellence with other state universities.

It is good to hear this reassurance from the president of a large state university. Carolina is apparently heading in the direction of huge enrollment and a massive campus. And with each hike in enrollment, there is a corresponding rise in the feeling that a university cannot be both great in size and in quality.

This kind of sentiment has little to recommend it. If faculty excellence, library facilities, classroom and dormitory space and equipment keep abreast with enrollment, there is no reason that size should ham-

per quality. It should not, at least, if emphasis is correctly placed and growth goes on in the arts as well as the professions and education is aimed at turning out intellectuals rather than doctors, economists, accountants, doctors or some other professional man.

In the face of a rising number of students who seek an education for the sole purpose of acquiring professional training, there is a great danger that a university will grow into a training center rather than an educational one.

Numbers, as President Wilson pointed out, do not necessarily reflect a particular attitude toward education. What is more important is whether the major portion of the enrollment is being educated, or merely trained. Here at Carolina, an attitude of careerism blankets the atmosphere like a fog.

The fact that more students will enter here means only that a greater number will come under it.

Literacy Tests

The administration's literacy test bill, which Attorney General Robert Kennedy described the "substitute of an objective standard for the present subjective color bar to Federal voting," would do a great deal toward curbing misapplication of current literacy, understanding, interpretation, or reduction tests now being used to deprive Negroes of suffrage in some Southern states.

Jim Crow voter's qualification tests are necessarily vague so that they can be used easily to disqualify Negroes.

Making it Federal law that a sixth-grade education can be used as

a substitute for any such state qualification test would make the use of the literacy test as a weapon against the Negro a difficult proposition.

This bill, as a companion to the proposed constitutional amendment which would eliminate the poll tax, should help the Negro cause throughout the South.

All phony voter qualification provisions such as the literacy tests and poll taxes must be abolished before the right to vote can be adequately guaranteed to the Negro. These two bills will go a long way toward doing just that.

NSA Endorsement

Because delegates to the NSA convention are being elected at large this year for the first time, and the candidates have been limited in their campaigns because of the intensity of the presidential campaigns, we would like to point to the four men who are most qualified to represent Carolina at NSA.

Of the eight men running, four

have the necessary ability and experience to give Carolina a strong voice in shaping national student policy.

Hank Patterson, because of his close association with NSA and his experience in student government, is easily the most qualified candidate. His voice in NSA is already respected and he has been active in forming policy.

Joe Masi is an energetic and outspoken defender of political and social justice. He has a good grasp of national student problems and promises to be an effective force in representing Carolina at NSA. He is not blind to the deficiencies of the NSA and will take an active role in correcting them.

Bill Harriss, through his experience as president of the student body—an office that necessitates close contact with NSA—will represent Carolina ably. He has demonstrated his capabilities as a leader and a formulator of policy.

Bill Imes is highly capable, and has a grasp of international and national issues equal to or exceeding that of any of the other candidates. He is a forceful and effective speaker and will not equivocate.

These four will provide Carolina with the most experienced and dynamic leadership available. Of the eight candidates, these four promise to be the most effective slate of delegates.

How Would You Vote On...

Editor's Note: Today the eight NSA candidates answer the question: How would you vote on integration, federal aid to education and "In Loco Parentis."

Integration: I recognize the right of all citizens to have an equal opportunity to receive the benefits of tax supported facilities. The best way to achieve this is to create an informed public opinion through appeals based on reason, not emotion. In regard to private organization, the right of individuals in a free society to choose their own associates without external interference must be preserved.

Federal aid to education: I oppose a program whereby the federal government would appropriate funds for school construction and teachers' salary increases. Instead, I prefer to let each state keep a certain percentage of its citizens' total federal income tax payments and spend that money on education, because improvement in education, to be effective, must be generated on the state and local levels.

IN LOCO PARENTIS: It is unrealistic to say that the university can duplicate the role of the parent. However, since a university's standing is largely determined by the actions of its students, the university should be able to exercise some discipline over those actions. Such authority can be given without depriving students of all opportunities for self-regulation.

—Mack Armstrong (UP)

Integration: It has become the consensus of public sentiment and court

rulings that when facilities are supported by public funds, they must be open to all regardless of race or color. To this movement I have acceded, realizing that this is only just under our form of government. But now we are being faced by those who would have "total integration" in all levels of our society and existence. To these crusaders I must say that I could never support any measure which infringed upon the vate activities as he sees fit within the framework of our laws as they exist today. I staunchly support the right of private discrimination, for this is as much a part of our heritage as that of public equality.

Federal Aid to Education: I believe that this country owes it to itself to maintain and improve the quality of education offered in its colleges. It appears that many of the individual states though abounding with good intentions, have proven to be too slow in providing the high level of education needed for these times. When this becomes the case it is the responsibility of the Federal Government to take up the slack. This is not a question of creeping socialism; rather, this is a question of our national existence itself for it is only with truly educated men and women that we can maintain our position of prominence and security in the world today.

"In Loco Parentis": The role of the University should be that of providing the opportunity to its students for obtaining a well-rounded, liberal education. Part of my conception of a liberal education is that a college student be regarded as an

adult and treated as such. This treatment does not include responsibility for the student's activities after hours off campus. These activities, should they violate society's standards, are matters for the Civil authorities and not the University. The University need not be a man's teacher and mother combined.

—DICK AKERS (U.P.)

Integration: As a believer in the doctrine which ascribes human dignity to all individuals, I see discrimination on the basis of race, color, or religion as a crime against social justice. I look forward to the day when no American is unwelcome in his own country.

Federal Aid to Education: I am not of the group which views federal aid to education as a step towards federal control of thought or action. Although I am opposed to aid for private or religious institutions, I believe that federal funds for public school construction and maintenance is wholly in keeping with the principles of academic freedom.

In Loco Parentis: Where it has prevailed, In Loco Parentis has resulted in the diminishing of student awareness and participation in the administration of the government of his campus. In Loco Parentis has weakened the structure and vitality of a free student press and eliminated autonomous student governments. I am committed to battle against any limitations on academic freedom, and, thus, will fight In Loco Parentis.

—JOE MASI (U.P.)

Dissatisfied With Independents

To the Editor:

After reading the statements made by the independent candidates for president and vice-president of the Student Body, I have real reason to wonder if the two independent candidates are really as informed with the workings of Student Government as they would like to have people believe.

I wonder if they have even stopped to look at the platforms of the political parties on campus. Have they taken the time to go back to the STUDENT PARTY platform of last spring? I fear not, since they give no credit whatever to the work carried out by the present Student Party administration. I would like to point out that the Student Party platform that the present Student Government administration was elected on did concern itself with issues on the international, national, and campus level. But even more important, these issues were stressed and work as been done in carrying the planks of the platform to an actuality. I also would like to point out, to the independent candidates,

that the present Student Government has done extensive work in carrying out the platform on which they were elected. And of just as much importance, I have the utmost confidence in the Student Party candidates for election this spring will do an even more commendable job.

Borrowing a quote from the statement made by the independent candidates in Wednesday's TAR HEEL: "The whole purpose of Student Government should be to create on campus a climate of student awareness of national issues. . . . Student Government can only lead by taking stands." I would like to present a question to the independent candidates whether or not the present Student Government has taken any stands on issues of international, national, and campus issues. I maintain that the present Student Government has done precisely this. Student Government has taken stands in the above-mentioned areas and has done extensive work to promote the betterment of the campus in all of these areas. For example, the stands taken by the Stu-

dent Legislature on segregation, Federal Aid to Education, and disarmament. If these stands have not served to promote student awareness and interest, I'm sure that the two independents cannot do any sort of a decent job for the betterment of our campus. And not only wit these issues has Student Government been concerned with, but also areas such as the recent bond election which would have provided immeasurable capital improvements for our campus. And even though the bond issue failed, work there has not stopped. The present administration is now working on helping present a similar set of issues at the next opportunity. This is just a very brief summary of the diversified work of our Student Government. And if the independent candidates feel that party-endorsed candidates are dodging the responsibility of taking stands on pertinent topics, I am sure that they would be perfectly free to take a good, close look at the platform of the Student Party.

—George Rosental

U.P. Is Alive And Kicking

To the Editor:

During the past week the Parliamentarian of the Student Legislature, John Randall, has stated that the UP has rolled over and played dead by not introducing the most bills in recent legislative assemblies. While his accusations are very debatable, and space will not permit to debate this issue, let us turn to various other organizations which are an important part in Student Government and see how UP members have been serving this past year:

Pete Thompson, Student Body Treasurer; Al Cronberg, Attorney General; George Campbell Chairman Men's Council; Al Pollard and Sara Jo Allen, Chairmen Orientation Committee; Tony Harrington, Chairman, Campus Affairs Board; Larry McDevitt, Tom White, Chairmen State Affairs Committee; Consolidated University Student Council, Bill Shipp, Pres., Wade Hargroves, chairman.

Bill Sullivan President IFC; Tam Lester, President, YMCA; Inman Allen, President, GMAB; Pat Morgan, Chairman Toronto Exchange Program; Jay Deifell, Chairman Honor System Commission; Mack Armstrong, Chairman Debate Team; Tim Burnett, Chairman Student Audit Board; Janice Haley, President Pan-Hell Council; and Bib Bilbro, Chairman Student Athletic Association.

You can see by this list the positions that University Party members have held this year and the work which they have contributed to Student Government has been I maintain that University Party has not played dead this year in contributions to student government.

—Whitney Durand

Robinson's Ramblings

Our recent balmy weather is a welcome sight to the campus. But certainly no more so than the candidacy of Mike Mathers for the DTH editorship.

The extremes that Clotfelter and Stepp presented to student body are now remedied with a tried and proven moderate like Mathers.

He has organizational experience, stability and stamina which are necessary to stand up under the great pressure that goes with the DTH editorship. Clotfelter, I believe, has some of these qualities, while Stepp has evidenced them to an even lesser degree.

Mathers is a write-in candidate, but it is not too much trouble to place his name on the ballot, if it will mean a better DTH next year. And I believe it will.

The new Carolina Quarterly came out yesterday and it promises to be even better than the last issue.

This sounds like a lot of tommyrot, but pick up a copy to see for yourself.

The Quarterly is a gathering place for some of the most talented writers and poets in Chapel Hill. Many a writer who has gone on to bigger triumphs enjoyed his first recognition in the pages of the Quarterly.

On a lighter note I present Asoa Imanaka, 28, of Tokyo. When he was arrested on the third drunken driving charge the judge gave him a choice of giving up drinking or driving. He promptly turned in his driver's license.

Staffers Endorse Mathers

We, the undersigned, do hereby announce our endorsement and wholehearted support for Mike Mathers for editor of the Daily Tar Heel. Some of us are those who previously supported Jim Clotfelter and Chuck Wrye. At that time those persons sincerely felt that these two were the best qualified. However, since Mike Mathers entered the race we feel that he is more qualified to serve as editor of the Daily Tar Heel.

Harve Harris, DTH managing editor
Lloyd Little, DTH executive editor

Harry Lloyd, DTH managing editor
Tim Burnett, DTH business manager
Mark Greenberg, DTH asst. advertising manager
Ed Dupree, DTH sports editor
Bill King, DTH advertising salesman
Gary Dalton, DTH advertising salesman
Dow Sheppard, DTH news editor
Chuck Oberdorfer, DTH advertising salesman
Mike Robinson, DTH associate editor

(Note: Candidate Akers' above answer was inadvertently left out of the previous replies.)

The most important point on which you will be choosing your NSA delegates is how they stand on the issues which will be considered. How do I stand on the issues? Integration: I strongly feel that the institution of segregation is evil, un-Christian, and a detriment to American democracy. I will be doing everything in my power to remove this blight from the American way of life.

Federal aid to education: In general, I support federal aid to education. I feel that the states have failed to supply the quality of education needed today. They need federal aid but not federal control. However, I do not feel that federal aid should go to provide for segregated institutions.

"In Loco Parentis": I believe that the student is responsible and capable of governing himself. At the 14th NSC, I voted for the resolution condemning the doctrine of in loco parentis, and I will be working for programs to implement the ideas in this resolution.

I want to see NSA facing to issues confronting the students of today in a mature and responsible manner. It is important that NSA face these issues. For if it does not, who will?

—BILL STRAUGHN (S.P.)

I will support resolutions and actions of students working for the fulfillment of equal opportunity for all peoples regardless of race, creed, or color. I feel that student action must be sensible and must be carried out in a non-violent, legal fashion.

I support federal aid to public education, both for construction and general expenses with the provision that these funds must be administered through state governments.

A student is a citizen and I believe that he should be accorded the rights and responsibilities due a full member of the educational community. The student needs to be encouraged to act maturely, responsibly, and effectively, any times institutions using the doctrine of in loco parentis hamper student responsibility and cause apathy and obstruct creativity. For these reasons I oppose the doctrine of in loco parentis.

—BILL HARRISS (S.P.)

From the earlier reported incident in which I was involved (referred to by the DTH as "unforeseen circumstances") I feel that I have already been established that I favor integration. I would vote affirmatively on any such proposal as long as it pertained to the public concern.

I do not favor federal aid to education except in rare circumstances where no other means are possible. The federal government will not hand out subsidies generously without demanding a generous control

Clotfelter Scored, Defended By Editor

To the Student Body:

There are certain aspects of Jim Clotfelter and Chuck Wrye's campaign for co-editors of the Daily Tar Heel that should be considered before voting for or against these candidates.

Clotfelter says he is a DTH News Editor. He is not. He was asked to resign by Wayne King a month ago because he, Jim Clotfelter, was writing biased news stories. Clotfelter is, it is interesting to note, referred to in the previous articles about his campaign as a FORMER DTH News Editor. His name is still on the masthead because the print shop has no type of its own in that size and must go elsewhere to make changes, which are, naturally, as few as possible.

Wrye says says he is a former DTH Asst. Sports Editor. Granted, he used to write a few sports stories. He was sent out one day to cover an event and was not heard from again until he announced his candidacy. It is possible, in my opinion, that he may also go out to get information for an editorial one day and never come back. If this happens, then Clotfelter will be the only editor. What then?

It's not unreasonable to assume Clotfelter will follow a pattern similar to the method he used while editor of last summer's UNC News. In the UNC News there was very little news. It's true there were ads, editorials pictures, and complete NAACP coverage, but most campus events went unnoticed.

Clotfelter, after putting in a "hard summer," went home a week early leaving Irving Long to put out the paper. The only trouble was that Irving had never done it before and knew very little about it. Irving, a

over the concerned institutions. Such control is undesirable, I feel.

When an individual departs for college, he should be capable and willing to assume adult responsibilities. If he is mothered by the institution to which he goes, he cannot achieve this responsibility, and his immaturity will be prolonged. The student should be allowed to make his own decisions and then be held stand responsible for them. The sooner he learns to play the game of life the better it will be for him and all concerned. For this reason, I would cast a vote against a proposal "in loco parentis."

—CHARLES HEATHERLY (U.P.)

Integration: I believe that all possible legal steps should be taken to further integration in public offices and facilities, but that the total integration of society can only be accomplished through other means than by law. The attitudes and concepts of society must be subjected to a thorough questioning by churches and schools at the same time as society adjusts to an ever-increasing association between races.

Federal Aid to Education: I believe that education is so important and so large a problem that it justifies the expenditure of federal as well as state funds. The impacted Areas program seems reasonable proof that federal spending would neither affect curriculum nor "tell the teacher what to teach."

In loco parentis: It is, of course, impossible for a large impersonal institution to play the role of parent. Rules are needed for the efficient functioning of the college society, but college students are supposed to be young adults instead of older children. To treat them as children is to thwart the ideal of the educational experience as a factor in a person's maturing.

—BILL IMES (U.P.)

The National Student Congress will be concerned this summer with the efforts of Negro students to gain entrance to facilities offered to them as part of the general public. I support the non-violent legal protests of students seeking admittance to these facilities.

I am in favor of federal aid to public education, both for construction and general expenses, to be administered through state governments.

In Loco Parentis. I am strongly opposed to the doctrine of in loco parentis which denies a student of his rights and responsibilities as a full member of the educational community. The student should have a meaningful voice in his community and should be allowed maximum latitude in which to think and act. Practices associated with in loco parentis merely take from the student the responsibility of making decisions and reinforces "immaturity, conformity, and disinterest. . . ."

—HANK PATTERSON (S.P.)

journalism major, struggled and sweated with it for a week and all by himself managed to publish the last issue. Will there be anybody to do that for the DTH if Clotfelter walks out again?

That possibility seems unlikely, since Clotfelter and Wrye circulated a petition among the staff members which they said was to be used when they went before the Publications Board. I don't know if the Publications Board saw the petition, but everybody else has. Clotfelter and Wrye didn't say it was to be used as campaign material, when people were signing it. Several of the staff members have since told me that they did not mean it to be used in the campaign when they signed it. Some of them also say that the petition has been changed since they signed it.

After considering the above, I personally will vote for either Ernest Stepp or Mike Mathers, who is a write-in candidate.

—Chuck Mooney
DTH Feature Editor

Mr. Mooney:
—Jim Clotfelter is a former News Editor.

—Chuck Wrye is a former Asst. Sports Editor.

—The publishers of the summer school newspaper were informed well ahead-of-time that Irving Long would edit the final edition. Mr. Clotfelter had been named as a National Student Congress delegate four months before.

—No part of the petition has been changed since signatures were gained, to my knowledge.

—Wayne King

The Daily Tar Heel

EDITORIAL STAFF

WAYNE KING—Associate Editor
MIKE ROBINSON—Associate Editor
HARRY LLOYD, HARVE HARRIS—Managing Editors
LOYD LITTLE—Executive News Editor
JIM CLOTFELTER, BILL WUAMETT—News Editors
JIM WALLACE—Photography Editor
CHUCK MOONEY—Feature Editor
ED DUPREE—Sports Editor
CHERRY KIRKPATRICK—Asst. Sports Editor
GARRY BLANCHARD—Contributing Editor

BUSINESS STAFF

TIM BURNETT—Business Manager
MIKE MATHERS—Advertising Manager
JIM EVANS—Subscription Manager
JIM ESKRIDGE—Circulation Manager

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year.
THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.
Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.