

# The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

May 5, 1962 Tel. 942-2356 Vol. XLIX, No. 151

## SL Confusion

While elections are away, the mice do play. And Thursday night the mice in Student Legislature scurried around to an even more confusing and, to a defender of student government, humiliating degree.

One of the more experienced legislators called the meeting "the worse I've seen in two years in Legislature." Several other legislators pleaded with Tar Heel reporters not to print what went on—"We'll never have any respect again," one said.

The session managed to pass one resolution, the request for withdrawal of the "80 per cent rule," and approve the appointment of two presidential appointments. The remainder of the three-and-a-half hour meeting was devoted to individuals sharpening up on non-existent knowledge of parliamentary procedure, other individuals making inane objections to motions which were, by and large, also inane, and still more individuals giving pep speeches to the legislators on what aspects of what question they should consider in voting.

The following instances were notable:

—A legislator said he was registering his protest vote against Walter Dellinger for Honor Council chairman for "one reason"—"because of his negligence as chairman of the Last Lecture Committee." The legislator neglected to say what in the world the lecture committee had to do with the Honor Council.

—At least forty-five minutes was devoted to consideration of a "message," which had no legislative status whatsoever, was neither a bill nor a resolution. During this time the Legislature was a "committee of the whole," in which time one non-legislative visitor asked six questions.

—One legislator challenged the decision of the Speaker twice within fifteen minutes and was beaten both times overwhelmingly.

—Twice "division" was called in voice-vote questions which required two-thirds vote against. Nowhere near half of the legislators voted "against" in each case.

—One legislator claimed an amendment providing for two more bulletin boards (to be added to a bill for three bulletin boards) would "change the intent of the bill"—a statement technically true, but splitting hairs.

—The bulletin board bill, after forty-five minutes of heated debate, taking up valuable legislative time, was withdrawn.

—Near the end of the session the legislators got so tongue-tied that the Speaker announced that one amendment had moved "female companionship is in bad taste."

Legislators would do well to act more sensibly in the future, with regard to parliamentary procedure, but less concern for the technicalities of Robert's Rules of Order. (jc)

## Complaint

Yesterday we had a complaint. Ordinarily, a complaint in the DTH office is about as unusual as ignorance in Mississippi, and hardly worthy of comment. This one, however, struck a chord of sympathy in our usually unsympathetic breast.

A student was upset at the array

of Carolina womanhood which has honored our pages of late. "Bathing beauties," the lad said, "are unnecessary."

While we believe Freud, Kinsey and 99.99 per cent of the campus males would disagree with him, our aim is always to please—particularly since the young man's sensibilities were apparently shocked.

To save him future embarrassment, we commend to him the complainer's cutout below. The next time he gets bugged at a picture of a coed in a bathing suit, we hope he makes use of it. (wk)



## La Peste



## Letters To The Editor

### Cemeteries, Teachers, And Sun

#### Unlawful To Curse, Spit

To the Editors,

It has come to our mind that in general neither the Chapel Hill merchants nor the University students fully understand the town ordinances which govern the relationships between them.

We have investigated to find ordinances which both students and merchants seem to know very little about. Among some of the interesting ordinances which we studied we found that:

The Armistice which ended World War II also made it unlawful to sell books and camera supplies and to rent bicycles.

—It is unlawful to curse or spit in a public building or assembly in Chapel Hill.

—You may carry a can of beer into a public place so long as you keep it concealed and do not display or consume it there.

—The only ordinance dealing with segregation in Chapel Hill applies only to the cemetery.

— ROBERT BULLARD

#### Thou Shalt Not Litter

To the Editors,

During the last few weeks the cult of the sun has returned to the Carolina campus. Not that it had ever really disappeared from the mind and thoughts of its loyal followers, but the outward symbols, the suntan and the sun itself, had grown weak with the passing of fall and the long winter. Now, with the "coming or resurrection" of the god in full force the congregation, stripped to the skin (almost) prostrates itself before the tanning rays—the life giving rays from the source of life. Cast like flotsam on the grassy seas of Carolina's campus, they wait, white as pale, ready for the moment of hatching when the new man will be born again—tanned, athletic, and full of life. I believe this phenomenon represents more than just a search for a sun tan. It carries with it ideals that most Americans truly worship—the good life, materialism, and full physical and (sometimes) intellectual development. This set of beliefs seems to be much closer to the Greek ideal than to the ascetic and sacrificing Jesus of Christianity. If it is, then the sun

should be the symbol in our churches on Sunday, not the cross. Yes, let us worship our true beliefs on the "Day of the Sun" as well as during the week.

With this new religion let us not forget an ethical system to go with it. Christian ethics with a little revising to reflect the search for the complete life would do just fine. I would like to suggest one addition of my own which anyone who has walked around the dorms after a daily worship session would agree with. Cleanliness is next to Sunliness—Therefore Thou Shalt Not Litter.

— ALAN OLIVER

#### Junior Choice 'Perhaps Amazing'

To the Editor:

I noticed on the front page of Wednesday's Tar Heel (2 May) a story concerning the selection of the Teacher of the Month by the Junior Class. This selection of Professor Schnorrenberg was certainly interesting, perhaps amazing.

According to the story Professor Schnorrenberg was "principally recognized for his instruction in Art

## Two Views On Sigma Chi Derby

Mr. Wuamett:

There is no doubt in our minds as to the purpose of your article on our Sigma Chi Derby. In your opinion the Derby is a farce, without meaning or achievement. You delivered your point well while at the same time you created a clever, witty article. No doubt many students who continue the tradition (and will continue it for possibly 18 years) of reading The Daily Tar Heel had a good laugh at your comical abilities—we did.

Perhaps we should once more attempt to explain our rules and conduct, as we have done continuously since the Derby began.

No sorority girl, Stray Greek or nurse is required to participate in the Derby. Drinking and naked persons are not permitted at the Derby because we made an agreement with the Administration not to allow things of this nature. The events we have are clean events as well as funny ones. We honestly believe that it would take a restless, unstable mind to conjecture any other purposes for their presentation.

We do try to achieve an afternoon of humor and entertainment. It is our belief that the Sigma Chi Derby, through its entertainment, advances sorority-fraternity-dormitory relation. Perhaps we are mistaken, but if we are, we have not learned about it.

You stated that almost any sorority girl would say that the Derby is a waste of time. We will not

contradict you because this is an important statement which you would not make unless you were absolutely positive of its truthfulness.

Did you have the endurance to remain at the Derby throughout its entirety, Mr. Wuamett? If so, did you notice the reactions of the two runners-up who came so close to winning? Ask these girls if the Derby meant anything to them. Also, ask the winners, who worked for weeks in order to get what they achieved. Their jubilation was indicative of a desire to win.

We regret that we disappointed you, Mr. Wuamett, with the conservative nature of our activities. We are not pagans. However, if you ever learn of the establishment of an orgy such as you prefer, we would be interested in attending. Then we might understand why our Sigma Chi Derby should be replaced by one such as you described.

—FREEMAN BARBER  
The Sigma Chi Fraternity

To the Editor:

What was the purpose of your little article, Bill Wuamett, in comparing the Sigma Chi Derby to a pagan orgy? All it seemed to prove, on close examination, is that you do not think the Derby measures up to old time orgy standards. Of course, it did not, because it can in no reasonable way be compared to such an orgy, either in its purposes or its activities.

Concerning your statement about the limbo, the "little" races and the "mud" pie throwing, we would like to point out that, according to all modern educators, games are the most wholesome way of releasing energy in a mental as well as a physical sense. That is why a physical education program is considered such an integral part of the public school program in this country.

We are sure your statement that any sorority girl will tell you that the Derby is a waste of time is pure opinion and should not have been written as fact. How many sorority girls did you ask? We called several sororities that participated in the Derby during their lunch hour yesterday, and they all stated that it was not a waste of time, but exciting and fun. That is why they voluntarily participated.

To know so much about the Derby, you must have "wasted" an afternoon at this "mock orgy" yourself. The afternoon would seem wasted for anyone who could not feel the spirit of competition between the sororities and their enthusiasm over having something different and organized to do. The same kind of enthusiasm that goes along with a football game (By the way, how could you think that more drinking went on at the Derby than at any football game?)

If you want a true life orgy so badly, why don't you advertise your own "Maid in the Shade Party" for immoral rather than recreational purposes; then see how many coeds want to participate?

Every year after the Derby, it seems, there is someone to protest it, although the school authorities, themselves, have not seen fit to do away with it. Probably the reason for his protest is within himself, rather than in the Derby. To have such a sour outlook spoils the beauty of spring!

As sorority girls, we would like to THANK the Sigma Chis for giving us the opportunity to participate in something so unique and fun.

—SUSIE CECIL  
—SALLY CLEMENT  
—LYNDA RICKERT  
—ALICE HOWELL

## Reflections

CHICAGO (UPI) — Prize cattle may soon be transported to the slaughterhouse by air freight, the magazine Traffic Management believes. The magazine said it has learned several packing companies are investigating the possibility.

## Warren, Black 'Vote RED'

WASHINGTON (UPI) — Sen. James O. Eastland, D-Miss., said Wednesday that Chief Justice Earl Warren "decides for the Communists" whenever there is a clear-cut Supreme Court decision to be made between them and the security of the United States.

Eastland, chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, made the charge during Senate debate on civil rights legislation, the administration's literacy test bill.

Eastland read to the Senate what he called a "box-score" of each associate justice's votes in decisions which he said involved communism or subversion.

Eastland assigned each justice a pro and con "box score." The scores, he said, measured votes against positions advocated by the Communist party or Communist

sympathizers in the case in question.

Eastland said that in his box score a "pro" vote meant "pro communist."

Eastland's box score read as follows:

Justice Hugo L. Black: 102 pro; 0 con.  
Justice Felix Frankfurter: 69 pro; 34 con.  
Justice William O. Douglas: 97 pro; 3 con.  
Justice Tom C. Clark: 21 pro; 61 con.  
Chief Justice Earl Warren: 62 pro; 3 con.  
Justice John M. Harlan: 30 pro; 35 con.  
Justice William J. Brennan Jr.: 49 pro; 2 con.  
Justice Charles Evans Whittaker retired: 12 pro; 30 con.

## The Daily Tar Heel

### EDITORIAL STAFF

JIM CLOTFELTER  
CHUCK WRYE  
Co-Editors

Wayne King ... Managing Editor  
Bill Wuamett, Dow Sheppard  
News Editors

Ed Dupree ... Sports Editor  
Curry Kirkpatrick ... Asst. Sports Ed.  
Bill Hobbs ... Night Editor  
Matt Weisman ... Feature Editor

Harry DeLanz, John Medlin  
Assts. to the Editor

Jim Wallace ... Photography Editor  
Mike Robinson, Garry Blanchard  
Joe Mast

Contributing Editors  
TIM BURNETT  
Business Manager

Mike Mathers ... Advertising Mgr.

The Daily Tar Heel is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year.

The Daily Tar Heel is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.

Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.

## Buckley Answers NC Charge

(Note: The interview story with Ralph Bunche, who spoke here earlier this month, was written by Garry Blanchard, DTH Contributing Editor.)

Mr. Ralph Bunche of the United Nations recently gave an interview to a reporter from the Charlotte (N.C.) Observer denouncing in vigorous terms (a) Mr. William Buckley; (b) the Katanga Report of the American Committee for Aid to Katanga Freedom Fighters; and (c) Professor Ernest van den Haag. Mr. Buckley's sins, said Dr. Bunche, are legion and scarlet, but today's offense was to have written a syndicated column recommending the Katanga Report which is "erroneous from beginning to end," the "deliberately distorted" product of Professor van den Haag.

Now we have come not to bury Dr. Bunche (though some day we might have to take time to do just that to him and one or two other UN mercenaries), merely to answer his charges. It must be said that Dr. Bunche's convulsive distortions suggest either a sick mind, or else a cynical propagandistic maneuver designed to discredit a document which sets down the inglorious record of the United Nations Army in Katanga last fall. Dr. Bunche, who was professionally trained as a scholar, says that van den Haag "saw and talked with no one on the UN side"—but the report gives the names and summarizes, with great understanding, the arguments of UN officials whom van den Haag met

with at length. Dr. Bunche says "there's nothing to establish that the UN had anything to do with the atrocities which Haag's photographs purport to show that UN forces wantonly committed"—yet the report reproduces signed affidavits from on-the-spot observers of UN atrocities. Dr. Bunche denounces the Katanga fighters as "well-paid professional killers"; and so dismisses the patriotic activities of a population described by the New York Times as "99 per cent pro-Tshombe." Dr. Bunche implies that Tshombe was grateful for the UN intervention—in the teeth of Tshombe's repeated denunciation of the UN's activities and atrocities, in terms heard round the civilized world.

What goes on? It is impossible to believe that Dr. Bunche read the pamphlet he denounced so slanderously. Unless one is prepared to believe that Dr. Bunche will willingly lie for his international fatherland. Well, Dr. Bunche is not the principal problem here. The problem is the meek acquiescence with which so much of the press and public have met the sustained efforts of the government and the Liberal Establishment to pull down the curtain over the events of last year in the Congo.

Even so the evidence against the UN continues to accumulate. Lord Colyton gave an extensive speech in the House of Lords a few weeks ago, reporting on his investigations in Katanga. All his conclusions, and

many of the details he gave, corroborated the report of Professor van den Haag for the Katanga Committee. An Austrian socialist paper has published another report to which the International Red Cross contributed — again tending to confirm van den Haag's report.

It is the opinion of the editors of NATIONAL REVIEW that the Report of the Katanga Committee is one of the most revealing documents of our time. Its qualities are a relentless sobriety (in such vivid contrast to the tendentious bombast of Dr. Bunche); a profound understanding of the relationship of forces in the Congo; microcosmic revelations about the growth of United Nations power, and its relation to the cause of the West; and the great insight it gives into the role of the UN in the Cold War. It is inconceivable that anyone who reads this document will be unmoved by it, or be easy prey for the propaganda of UN-firsters. Here is where one finds by all the odds the most reliable account of the great forces in motion within the United Nations.

The report is still available from the American Committee for Aid to Katanga Freedom Fighters, a non-profit organization. Cost: \$1.50; address: 79 Madison Avenue, New York 17. Send copies to your newspaper editors, your local legislators and schoolteachers. Send a copy to Dr. Bunche (address: United Nations, New York.) Above all, read it yourself.

—NATIONAL REVIEW