

# The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

May 10, 1962 Tel. 942-2356 Vol. XLIX, No. 155

## Double Majors

The Administrative Boards of the General College and the College of Arts and Sciences have approved provisions for A.B. degrees with double majors.

Many students come to Chapel Hill, struggle through four years of specialized education, and hustle off into the great American money fields, without truly broadening their educational experiences, without ever attending a lecture that stimulates personal thought.

Certainly the necessity of concentration in courses of one's anticipated life's work is not to be denied. In a society geared and reared for competition, one may not spend time idly sampling scholastic wares. Preparation for the lucrative jobs cannot be "over-adequate" and if this pushes knowledge for knowledge's sake out of the picture, well that may just be too bad. But, if the over-concentration of education in one particular area pushes knowledge for life's sake out of the picture, that is tragic.

No one seriously wants to be narrow, and no university seriously wants to foster narrowness. Every opportunity for the expansion of horizons should be made available.

A university with the educational possibilities that North Carolina possesses is, in a sense, "hiding its light under a bush," when it has restrictions on the academic opportunities open to its students. Such was the case with the previous "one major only" program.

Students with the time and talent to pursue an education in two

major areas were limited to no more than four electives in any one subject outside of their major. This might have been good in that it forced the scattering of choices, but it did not permit, in too many instances, study beyond the introductory-course level.

The program as now arranged will permit the pursuit of an A.B. degree with a double major. In order to do this, a student will have to satisfactorily complete a minimum of 43 courses, only three more than the required 40 for a single major. The three extra courses will be added to the now permitted four electives in a single subject outside the major.

The responsibility, with the continuing aid of his advisor, will rest largely on the shoulders of the individual student. Certainly, this is as it should be. The gain is his.

The potential educational value of double-major programs is yet unmeasurable, though never deniable. The many students who continually bemoan the narrowness of their backgrounds will now be able to increase the scope of their approaches to life. Although those spending hour upon hour in the lab courses still may not be able to take advantage of this opportunity, there are many who will, most gratefully.

We appreciate the opportunity to double up in those areas which, though not attracting a large number of majors now, are valuable sources of "true" education.

Consider a second major in Religion, Art, or Philosophy for instance. (cw)

## A Press?

In September of 1963 The Chapel Hill Weekly, publishers of the Daily Tar Heel, will go daily, making it more difficult to print the DTH.

At present the Tar Heel is unable to report many stories which occur at night... is often unable to print six pages when there is too much advertising for four pages (as in this issue) because of the demands of the contract with the Weekly...

But to buy either a flatbed or offset printing setup will be a tremendous outlay. The press itself is only the basic cost, to be added to that of accessory machines, overhead and personnel (What would be done with the printshop work-

ers in the summer? ...)

Tonight Student Legislature probably will consider the bill to appropriate \$5,000 out of the general surplus to establish a printshop fund. An investigatory committee also will be set up.

The bill has been amended in committee, to add an article calling for new legislation if any money from the fund is to be used.

The Daily Tar Heel could operate more professionally with its own press, which possibly could be used to print such publications as the Carolina Quarterly, Carolina Handbook, and student government booklets. In several years it might be necessary for the newspaper to have a press.

Initial costs will be great if a press is bought. But only through the factual findings of an investigatory committee can the true situation be learned. Tonight's bill is a minimum effort for an imaginative student government to undertake. (jc)

## No One

Tuesday night's Di-Phi debate on interracial marriage laws attracted 30 people to the Society's hallowed halls in New West.

Of these 30 people, however, only one sincerely spoke against the anti-miscegenation resolution, and he wasn't a student.

A guest complained of the one-sidedness of the debate, with everyone opposing the state interracial marriage laws, and no one in defense. Why was this? Was there no one out of a student body of more than 9,000 who disagreed with the Di-Phi bill?

Absolutely no one? ... (jc)



## Empty Saddle In The Old Corral



Letters To The Editor

# On Professor, SL And Orgies

## 'Lack Of Taste' Charged To Smith

To the Editors,

Michael Smith's criticism of Prof. Schnorrenburg's selection as "the best teacher of the month" reveals his own personal lack of taste in matters of academic judgment. One is curious to know just what his gripe really is; certainly the "best teacher" issue is only a vacuous cover-up. His entire argument that Prof. Schnorrenburg fails to communicate personally to his students is a mockery of consistency. Prof. Schnorrenburg is perhaps the most indulgent teacher—in time, energy and patience—that a university student can hope to find. The intense devotion and personal attention that accompany each of his lectures are rarely paralleled in any university.

Art 33, for a case in point, was one of very few university courses where the students were actually as important as the subject matter. I recall the line of students outside Prof. Schnorrenburg's office, waiting to discuss their term-paper problems with him. Dr. Schnorrenburg carefully went over each paper with each student. His criticism seemed always forceful yet with an underlying genuine concern that challenged a student's individuality. Prof. Schnorrenburg remains a bit of a mystery, though. He hasn't lost the "hope" that in learning there is to be found a creative experience. Justly perhaps is he to be criticized for thinking that each student has the potentiality for recognizing and grasping the "creativity" in himself. Prof. Schnorrenburg does not only teach, he inspires. However, he expects his students to think, and learning hopefully following this momentous step. Though many do not achieve this goal, I suggest that they search in themselves for such failure.

Numbers do not in themselves mean very much. I feel, nevertheless, that his former students and friends will heartily agree that the selection of Prof. John Schnorrenburg as "the best teacher of the month" is certainly an honor and credit to the University of North Carolina.

—TOM FITZGERALD

## Pupils Establish Prof-Identity?

To the Editors:

Concerning Mr. Michael Smith's "Real Complaint" and public confession of "amazement" in your issue of Saturday, May 7:

This allegedly aloof Mr. Schnorrenburg is indeed so isolated within the insubstantial mists of his own pedagogic Olympus that in point of fact, if a student takes the initiative to go see him at his office, he will spend more time with the student than one would think fair to devote to one person of a class of around fifty. Mr. Schnorrenburg is even so aloof that he will force the student to try to justify every fault

which he has found, he thinks, in a term paper.

But, not only does this shameless academician waste his time talking freely to students—he heedlessly squanders great portions of quite a few valuable lecture hours in the course of a semester in asking students to face individually such tendentious questions as the purpose of their being in the University and of their being in the course if this becomes known outside his department, the heretical Mr. Schnorrenburg will rightfully fall victim to inquisition under the charge of intrusion into Questions Outside the Scope of the Course as Prescribed by the Catalog.

Besides all these perversions it is rumored among Christ School alumni that once the sun has set he slinks from the fusty confines of the Ackland lecture room to metamorphose into a regular fellow. If this overzealous young professor fails to establish a quasi-mystical "identity with the student," perhaps the student could retaliate by establishing an "identity with the professor."

—SCOTT WARD

## Clotfelter 'Went Too Far'

Dear Mr. Clotfelter:

It is the right and duty of the Editor of the Daily Tar Heel to report all news that is of interest and importance but I feel that you have personally overstepped your editorial bounds in your attack or should I say light reprimand of the Legislature. I think that you were right in your attack of any organization which was as badly organized as the Legislature was last Thursday night. However, I feel that in some of your specific examples stated that you have "gone too far."

One point that you make is that a non-member of the legislature asked six questions of the speaker during a short period of time in the Legislature. You sound as if you think that this is wrong. I beg to differ with you. I think that it is good that some students on this campus have enough interest in the affairs of this campus to go to the legislature; and when they feel that they have a pertinent question to ask the speaker or a legislator they have the nerve to ask the aforesaid question. The Student Legislature is a campus organization and all students on this campus are welcome to come to any meeting at any time and ask any questions that they wish to ask.

When the Speaker of the Legislature moved that a certain proposed amendment made "female companionship in bad taste," I got the impression by his smile and the laughter by all the persons in the room that he had made a joke, but since reading your editorial I find that it was not a joke and that the Legislators were tongue-tied and did not know what they were saying.

It is good to criticize organizations when they are wrong, and it is right to have your opinion expressed on the editorial page of the campus

paper, but please stick to the facts involved, and please don't "split hairs" in your examples, as you accused the legislators of doing last Thursday night.

—HAYNES C. McFADDEN

## Suggestions On Orgy-Holding

To the Editors,

I realize that Mr. Wuamett is very disturbed by the fact that no one on campus is able to hold a real orgy. But I say that Mr. Wuamett is misinformed. For seventeen years there has existed on this campus a group that holds orgies quite regularly. The chairman of this group (and they do have a different title for him) has contacted me and asked me to do an article on behalf of the group, since he wishes to remain anonymous. They extend an invitation to you Mr. Wuamett and any one else who would be interested in the meetings.

They are using the meeting-hall of the old Delphinian Literary Society on the third floor of New North. They issue a special appeal to the men students on campus, since they have too many girls at the meetings.

Refreshments will be provided by a Tennessee distiller. It is requested that since the weather has warmed up, you should wear something light and readily removable.

—ROBERT BULLARD

## Dormitories . . . And The Derby

Mr. Freeman Barber, The Sigma Chi Fraternity: In your recent letter to the Daily Tar Heel, you stated that "... the Sigma Chi Derby . . . advances sorority-fraternity-dormitory relation." Good God-damned luck on including the dormitories.

—MICHAEL J. PUTZEL

## Students Busy On Traditions

To the Editors:

So what if Silent Sam has never fired! (he can't—no bullets) The Old Well still squirts real water, the Arboretum is as green as ever. In short, I see no lack of tradition on this campus. Perhaps "tradition is shot all to Hell." However, according to the DTH, The Carolina Handbooks, The Yack, etc. this campus is veritably inundated with tradition. The Di-Phi, Silent Sam, The Old Well, The DTH, and all the legends about men on campus are just a few examples of this flood. Indeed, the lack of traditions must stem, then, sir, from the way that you define tradition. A tradition is not set up merely to be a tradition but is born and nurtured by time itself. Neither an individual nor a student body can prescribe traditions. They must grow by themselves, proving

their merit by weathering the only test, time itself. A tradition must be added to over the years by those interested enough to carry it on to the future. Our student autonomy which we revere so highly is a tradition, nourished by decades of hard work. Our Honor system is a tradition. Building a statue of an Indian and throwing money at that statue constitutes a financial loss, not a tradition. Certainly, many old traditions are not furthered now. However this is not because of apathy on our part, this is because these traditions are now useless. As the old traditions die, new ones step in to take their place. I firmly believe that deep in the heart of every student here, there is a deep respect for traditions. The maintenance of these traditions is not up to Student Government, Dean Long, or the students. It is up to the traditions themselves to be needed, pertinent, and not useless.

That Rameses was "ramnapped" would normally strike fear and rage into the heart of every UNC student. This time, however, most of us were away in our homes celebrating another tradition, Thanksgiving. As to panty raids you are perfectly welcome, Mr. Rosenthal, to do as you please.

No problem remains. To be exact, the student body is now, as always, hard at work building traditions for your descendants, by making this a better place to work, study and, yes, even have a little fun.

—GARY D. GROSBOILL

## Compose rBack To Russia?

To the Editors:

It happened to find this in the Christian Science Monitor last week: "Sol Hurok, the New York impresario, who spent three weeks in Moscow negotiating the United States-Soviet cultural exchange agreement signed recently in Washington, has arranged for Igor Stravinsky to make a tour of his native Russia next September."

"Reports from Moscow indicate that Russian music, art and literary circles are highly excited over the news and well they may be, for Igor Stravinsky is regarded by many as the greatest composer alive today."

"Stravinsky left Russia in 1909 and has been back only once, and that briefly, since then. That was in 1914. He has lived in the United States since 1939 and has been a United States citizen since 1945. "The Soviet Ministry of Culture has given Stravinsky carte blanche to arrange a series of concerts as he sees fit. This is interesting in light of the fact Stravinsky's music was denounced in Stalin's day as "bourgeois and corrupt." Since Stalin's death more and more Russian orchestras have been playing Stravinsky's compositions. "Stravinsky's projected tour, directing orchestras in Russia, is another demonstration that cultural exchange is a two-way street. Too

## SUPPORT

# Tropic Of Cancer

(Following is a release from Grove Press, publishers of Tropic of Cancer.)

One hundred ninety eight leading American writers, critics and members of the publishing community today strongly condemned police censorship of books in many communities throughout the country in a statement of support for a decision by Chicago Judge Samuel B. Epstein which held Henry Miller's Tropic of Cancer was not obscene.

The signers of the statement include such prominent literary figures as Saul Bellow, James Baldwin, Jacques Barzun, John Dos Passos, Clifton Fadiman, Herbert Golden, Lillian Hellman, John Hersey, Aldous Huxley, Alfred Kazin, Max Lerner, Carson McCullers, Norman Mailer, Bernard Malamud, Arthur Miller, Elmer Rice, Philip Roth, Mark Schorer, William Styron, Robert Penn Warren, Edmund Wilson, and Philip Wylie. They appealed to the public "to defeat any attempt at repression before they are allowed to erode our most precious freedoms."

Judge Samuel Epstein in a decision rendered on February 21, 1962, held "that TROPIC OF CANCER is not obscene as defined in the law and that interference by the police in its free distribution and sales should be enjoined," saying "Let the parents control the reading matter of their children; let not the Government or the courts dictate the reading matter of a free people." Since then, Judge Epstein's decision has been appealed by the

city of Chicago and an injunction has been granted taking the book off sale pending the appeal.

"We believe with Judge Epstein," the signers of the statement say, "that neither the police nor the courts should be allowed to dictate the reading matter of a free people." Quoting Judge Epstein's decision as saying "the right to free utterances becomes a useless privilege when the freedom to read is restricted or denied," the statement says that Judge Epstein's decision has "reaffirmed the right of a free people to decide for itself what it may or may not read. Beyond that, it sounds a clear warning to all of us to guard the principles upon which our country was built."

"The issue is not whether TROPIC OF CANCER is a masterpiece of American literature; rather, it is whether an author of Henry Miller's artistic integrity is entitled to the protections afforded by the Constitution of the United States," the leading writers and publishers said.

In recent months the statement charged, police with the encouragement of "certain minority pressure groups" have "succeeded in forcing their own narrow-minded literary tastes upon many communities."

"This is an issue of immediate and serious concern to every citizen who holds dear the traditions of our democracy, and who abhors the intrusion of official censorship into the vital area of artistic and literary expression. It is an issue to which we are especially sensitive," the signers said.

often, we tend to think in terms of what the Russians get out of sending cultural delegations to this country. We should not forget that the United States profits when its delegations go to Russia."

—KENT PETERSON

## Visitor Lost

### A Wallet Here

To the Editors:

Last weekend someone entered 113 Cobb, the room of Quent Uppercue and Frank Taylor, and took my wallet. I live in Bethesda, Maryland, and I came to UNC to visit Quent. I desperately need the twenty-five dollars or so I had in the wallet to get home. The wallet also contained the usual assortment of papers, cards and pictures; all of which are very important to me and I must get them back. I'll pay a reward of \$10 to anyone who returns the wallet intact with money. If I can't get the money back, I'll pay \$5 reward for the return of everything else in the wallet. If I can get the wallet back, I'll pay the reward, no questions asked. Anyone who finds the wallet or knows anything about it, please contact Quent Uppercue, 113 Cobb, phone 968-9015.

I understand there have been other thefts. As an outsider visiting UNC for the first time, the theft of my wallet does not reflect well on your honor system. One person can ruin it for many.

—DENNIS JELALIAN

## Dietitian On Dinner Manners

To the Editors:

I watched, with a feeling of awe and humility, as one by one the Negro employees sat down at the dining table provided for them, each folding his hands under his chin, while his lips moved in a silent grace before taking a spoonful of food.

No one neglected to do this, and even the late-comers, sitting down to a table of laughing men and women, was oblivious to them as he bowed his head in supplication. "Thank you, God, for giving me the right to live on this earth which appears to be the supreme right of those, who, thru some quixotic chemical alchemy, have skins which are lighter than mine. Even though our way is hard, and fraught with obstacles, we respect your judgment, dear Lord, and take comfort in the fact that in your sight, everyone is born free and equal. But your noble opinion is not held by many of those, who professing your faith, feel the earth and all therein was created for them and them alone. Forgive them, Oh Lord, and show them the error of their ways so they may no longer stray in the path of the unrighteous. We thank thee for this meal and commit ourselves to thy loving service, Amen."

—FRANCES B. FLOORE, Dietician

## The Daily Tar Heel

### EDITORIAL STAFF

JIM CLOTFELTER  
CHUCK WRYE  
Co-Editors

Wayne King ... Managing Editor  
Bill Wuamett, Dow Sheppard  
News Editors

Ed Dupree ... Sports Editor  
Curry Kirkpatrick ... Asst. Spts. Ed.  
Bill Hobbs ... Night Editor  
Matt Weisman ... Feature Editor

Harry DeLung, John Medlin  
Assts. to the Editor

Jim Wallace ... Photography Editor  
Mike Robinson, Garry Blanchard  
Joe Masi

Contributing Editors

TIM BURNETT  
Business Manager

Mike Mathers ... Advertising Mgr.

The Daily Tar Heel is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to the act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$9 per year.

The Daily Tar Heel is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.

Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.