

The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

May 20, 1962

Tel. 942-2356

Vol. XLIX, No. 164

It's Up To You

Yes, this is just about the end of formal education for quite a few students. You are approaching the last of riotous good times, the end of academic worries, and the cessation of scholastic exercise.

There are those of you, with education incomplete, who will wander off into the travel of summer months, intending to return next fall, but failing to get back for individual reasons.

Put most important, there are those among you who will leave Chapel Hill forever—graduated into the enlightened procedure of mastering the world, into the assumption of familial responsibilities, and into the pursuit of the almighty dollar.

As you leave, as you plunge into the great American money fields, we would submit to you a few thoughts; hopefully occasioning a bit of reflection on your approaching endeavors.

If you are leaving Chapel Hill with that door-opening sheepskin, or just with the hope that you are ready to produce, do not fail to realize your personal potential; do not fail to recognize your individual responsibility.

But recognize, as in the words of Jean-Paul Sartre (purposely out of context) that "Man is nothing else but what he purposes, he exists only in so far as he realizes himself, he is therefore nothing else but the sum of his actions, nothing else . . . but what his life is . . . In life, a man commits himself, draws his own portrait and there is nothing but that portrait."

Senior, student, what you do with that sheepskin—how you will use

your education — what you will make of your life is up to you.

Yet, always remember that you do not function alone—you do not rejoice alone, and you do not suffer alone. What you will do will influence others, perhaps beneficially, perhaps adversely . . . but in the struggle for success, either temporal or eternal, you are not alone.

In the words of Bertrand Russell: "United with his fellowmen by the strongest of all ties, the tie of a common doom, the free man finds that a new vision is with him always, shedding over every daily task the light of love."

We are not alone. We are bound to involvement with others; then let the inescapable involvement be beneficent.

Again Russell: "Very brief is the time in which we can help them, in which their happiness or misery is decided. Be it ours to shed sunshine on their path, to lighten their sorrows by the balm of sympathy, to give them the joy of a never-tiring affection, to strengthen failing courage, to instill faith in hours of despair."

What you are, what you will be; the self that you will present in the external relationships of a progressing world is the sum total of your individual actions.

The decisions, and the results, will be yours. Mold them wisely, make them thoughtfully, and accept them confidently.

The University will look for a return. It will suffer from your negligence, and profit from your endeavor.

Good luck! (cw)

Won't Happen . . .

In the next year many important things will happen. But equally important are those things which won't happen.

Following are the highlights of things-not-to-look-for on campus in the coming year.

Chapel Hill merchants cut their prices to fair levels.

The University Party holds weekly, open meetings.

Anybody pay attention to the regulations of the Campus Code.

The Men's and Women's Honor Council let the students find out what they're doing.

Anybody really care who wins the Fall class elections.

Chapel Hill merchants cut their prices to fair levels.

The food in Lenoir Hall improve.

The extremist conservatives in the Student Party find out they're in the wrong party.

Social conditions in men's dormitories improve.

Chapel Hill merchants cut their prices to fair levels.

More than a few students be allowed to vote in Orange County.

UNC get a new student union.

Fraternity - dormitory hostility subside.

Chapel Hill merchants cut their prices to fair levels.

Or Daily Tar Heel editors consistently write intelligent editorials . . . (jc)

Again

They've done it again. Student Legislature Thursday night rescinded its vote on a Campus Travel Committee bill because President Inman Allen didn't like a couple of phrases.

Bill Bowerman, author of the bill, said he reintroduced his bill to "satisfy his (Inman's) desires." Allen, said Bowerman, "seriously considered vetoing" the bill.

So? Why didn't Legislature let President Allen use his veto power, and then either pass the bill over his veto or let it remain dead? Or did the legislators really know what they were voting on the first time, since they so agreeably altered the bill and passed it a second time. (jc)

Letters To The Editor

More On Lenoir, Whitener, Wade

Fingernails In Lenoir Hall

To The Editors:

Although it's late in the year, I would like to offer some advice to the manager of Lenoir Hall—in the (perhaps vain) hopes that come September he will raise the standards of his establishment. That is . . . food.

I had the worst shock of my post-pubescent life some time ago when I discovered a fingernail (Lord help me if it was a toenail) in a salad. This trauma spirited a discussion over treasures found: (2) buttons (one with calico); (1) wire from a brush (resulting in severe oral lacerations); (1) roach (medium-rare) in addition to those listed by J. Clark in Thursday's DTH. How in hell are unknowing diners (term used loosely) supposed to eat this food? Those who have sharp eyes are a step ahead. Thus, Advice No. 1: Either inspect all the food or strain it.

The menus in the Black Pit are too repetitious and monotonous, showing no taste whatever. The hamburgers (Mon.-Tues. left-overs until they're gone) lie pathetically in the plate, begging for an easy death, while the stew (served all

week) usually takes the form of an amoeba grown fat on Wilson Hall rejects. Spaghetti—a specialty and treat—is overly sweet and celeried, resembling a mutilated floor mop with vengeance in its heart and paint on its surface. Therefore, Advice No. 2: Take more care in food preparation and vary the menu.

Someone plays music which is calculated to help the nauseated patron forget the mess in front of him. Since vinegar is at a premium how about serving non-bitter greens? And about the "gravy" which tastes like rice over rice, potatoes over potatoes, water over water. I am quite serious, and if I had the strength (you see, I eat at Lenoir) I would picket the place, but I have to conserve energy.

—TOM DINERO

'Freedom Still Issue'—Whitener

To The Editors,
The Daily Tar Heel

Campaign advertising and its costs are a national disgrace. The high cost of running for office puts such beyond the reach of the average man. In a democracy, public office

is not only an obligation but it is a duty. Thus, when it costs \$100,000 or more for a senator or governor to run for office in South Carolina and proportionately more in North Carolina, we have created a possibility for graft and corruption. Any intelligent reader of the newspapers knows that the sums spent for public office are far greater than those published. Many know of donations given by special interests, sadly enough some of them by business, for which they expect some reward in the way of contracts or appointments or favors. As long as this condition exists, corruption will continue to flourish.

The law limits a candidate for the Congress to a very modest amount. It is privately reported, however, that this has been exceeded many times in North Carolina, and possibly in this very district. It does not matter that a candidate does not expend it himself, but it does matter tremendously as to who makes donations and for what purpose.

We firmly believe that if we can win on May 26 that it will be a blow for freedom, for lower taxes, and for economy in government. In the last analysis, however, it is up to the voters who must turn out in large numbers. The "promising

politicians" have all but given away the last of our liberties. The hand on the Clock for Freedom is nearing the hour of no return. It is our hope that we can do something to preserve our liberties before that clock strikes twelve.

—A. G. WHITENER

SG Coordinating Body Suggested

To The Editors:

Once again the University of North Carolina has witnessed that amazing ineptitude or blind fate which continually schedules noted speakers, debaters, concerts and beauty contests on the same night and in direct conflict with each other.

Last Tuesday night saw William Kiplinger, distinguished journalist, speaking to a half-full Howell Hall audience while George Shearing played in Memorial Hall, sponsored by GMAB. Both of these events were well worth seeing and hearing. What unkind gods scheduled them to appear at the same time?

Last fall, Michael Harrington and Fulton Lewis III debated HUAC and the famous (or infamous as some would have it) movie, "Operation Abolition." Carroll Hall was filled to absolute capacity with spectators jamming the aisles and windows. Literally hundreds of students were turned away because of lack of space. This space could have been provided by Memorial Hall. Unfortunately the Yack Beauty Contest was being held at the same time in that building.

What agency — if there is one — schedules these events to be at the same time? The two examples cited above are few compared to the many other similar instances. Granted, different organizations sponsor these different events, and granted under the present situation, this makes comprehensive planning impossible. But this condition needn't continue.

Student Government has already set up many committees which have accomplished no visible good. Why not set up one more that could coordinate all appearances by speakers and entertainers? By careful scheduling and by having the organizations sponsoring the speakers find out from the committee what days and times were open, Carolina would be able to avoid the spectacle of seeing one of America's foremost journalists insulted by an undersized audience and the equally poor sight of hundreds of disgruntled would-be debate listeners turned away because of lack of space.

If the committee turned out to be impractical, it could be scrapped and go the way of so many other S. G. committees.

But one is inclined to doubt that the committee would prove ineffectual. With widespread campus consideration and help, these needless conflicts of guest speakers and entertainers could become things of the past.

—CHARLES NEELY

Wellman Told To Do Research

To The Editors: (The below is an article in answer to Wellman's retort of 13 May.)

To Mr. Wade Wellman: I would like to know if you are a member of the Air Force high command. You must be if you have access to information of the type you have been giving out. The information you gave on the Skybolt missile is not available to the public in either civilian or military publications.

According to Department of Defense Fact Sheet 344-60, the Skybolt is an air-to-surface missile of hypersonic speed and having an inertial guidance system. I doubt that they filled you in on this system in the English department. For your information an inertial guidance system is designed to hold a missile on a predetermined course (ballistic trajectory) to its target. The Skybolt has no intercept system, or a way to detonate itself if it should intercept an aircraft. The only way a Skybolt could "kill" an aircraft would be for the plane to fly into the missile. The odds against this are spectacular.

In short, if you are going to write any more articles of this nature I suggest that you go back and take English I where they teach you how to do RESEARCH or someone may accuse you of irresponsible journalism.

—GERALD L. GOOD

Jaywalkers Given Rebuff

To The Editors:

While walking across campus during the last several weeks, we have become increasingly aware of a trend that has developed to take the shortest route regardless of the walks that have been provided. Numerous scars have appeared across heretofore well-grassed and well-cared-for lawns. It has necessitated the erection of more chain-fences which add little to the appearance of the campus. Although some short cuts have been closed, they used over the years in some of the more remote areas of the campus, these have been few due to the generally well-planned walk system that exists. We feel that it would be worthwhile to remind those who, through either neglect or disrespect ignore reasonable courtesy and mar the campus.

—DAVID C. BRYAN
—LARRY M. STACEY

Reflections

"I know of no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and, if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion." —THOMAS JEFFERSON.

"The basis of our government being the opinion of the people, the very first object should be to keep that right; and were it left to me to decide whether we should have a government without newspapers, or newspapers without a government, I should not hesitate a moment to prefer the latter." —THOMAS JEFFERSON.

"Sometimes it is said that man cannot be trusted with the government of himself. Can he, then, be trusted with the government of others? Or have we found angels in the forms of kings to govern him? Let history answer this question." —THOMAS JEFFERSON.

About Letters

The Daily Tar Heel invites readers to use it for expressions of opinion on current topics regardless of viewpoint. Letters must be signed, contain a verifiable address, and be free of libelous material. Brevity and legibility increase the chance of publication. Lengthy letters may be edited or omitted. Absolutely none will be returned.

"Now Are You Ready To Repair The Henhouse?"



Article, Irresponsible, Unfounded

The May-June issue of "Campus Illustrated" has some really tremendous features that are bound to captivate its collegiate audience. There is an informative outline of "22 Sure-Fire Ways To Catch a Man," a photo section on a beach-side Florida State University coed who "loves" to do the twist and, in an attempt at a more academic vein, an expose of the "Red Revival on Campus: Communists Push Drive To Win Student Support."

Unfortunately, however, the magazine's appeal to the loins is more convincing than its appeal to the political mind.

The "CI Staff Report" on campus Communism traces the party movement from the 1920 Red scare through the depression and McCarthyism, whose "excesses and revulsion" gave the Communists a "golden opportunity" to infiltrate American campuses and "victimize and exploit" college students.

Quoting an FBI official, the magazine outlines the methods by which the Reds foist off their evil designs on unsuspecting youth: an intensive speech campaign national publications, special youth committees and promotion of student uprisings and discontent by means of mob violence and subversion through peace movements.

"It has been reported that Communist cells in recent years have been actively operating on such campuses as Columbia University . . . the University, Chicago University, Northwestern University and the Universities of Minnesota and California," the magazine asserts.

This statement reveals the glaring fault in Campus Illustrated's "analysis" of the Communist situation: there is no substantive evidence presented to back up the sensational charges.

"It has been reported that" is hardly any proof that Red cells at the University or any other school really exist, or even if such subversive groups do really exist, that they wield any sort of influence or power.

The magazine's two other efforts at supplying evidence aren't very powerful either.

Brandishing HUAC chairman Francis Walker's warning that "the strength of the Communist movement is in direct ratio to the intensity of the efforts of a few who are trained and disciplined agents," it cites the now famous 1960 San Francisco demonstrations against the committee as an example of how the Reds have duped well-meaning students.

But, as has been pointed out many times, the demonstrations have not

been proven Communist-inspired. The FBI has never pressed charges against the so-called Communist cheerleaders who egged on the picketers. If these activists really were Communists, why weren't they ever arrested for subversive activities?

The magazine also explains that the Communists "can dupe students sincerely dedicated to peace into signing petitions urging the weakening of United States military defenses."

Yet although this charge serves as a handy smear tactic, the peace movement has not been proved Communist-directed either. It is indeed strange that the red-blooded Americans who are usually the first to level these criticisms forget so thoroughly the traditional American concept of innocence below being proven guilty.

Even more untenable is the magazine's second main line of substantiation citing the statements of the few remaining Red leaders as to the virulence of the party's effectiveness. National Secretary Benjamin Davis, for instance, crowds that after his speeches the students "were impressed with me . . . They were people whose activities must be directed in the right direction . . . But using the Communists' own

statements as support for their potency and danger contradicts completely what the alarmists are prone to mouth in another context: that the Russians can never be trusted, that they will tell lies whenever it suits them.

If Russian proposals or evaluations of world issues are usually denounced as lies, why are the Reds' statements on their success in duping students always taken at face value?

Fallacious arguments aside, it is conceivable that in the future Communists could form an effective program among students, or successfully dupe students activists.

It is rather difficult for any casual reader of Communist-controlled "New Horizon for Youth" to perceive its direction, and it is often difficult to distinguish Communists from leftists.

But it is gross irresponsibility to create hysteria without proof, and assert the danger of a non-existent threat. The best antidote to the possibility of student subversion is an alert and well-informed student body, one which hardly needs to be fawned over by HUAC, the FBI, or even "Campus Illustrated."

—GERALD STORCH

(Of the Editorial Board of the Michigan Daily.)

The Daily Tar Heel

EDITORIAL STAFF

JIM CLOTFELTER
CHUCK WRYE
Co-Editors

Wayne King Managing Editor
Bill Wuamett, Dow Sheppard
News Editors
Ed Dupree Sports Editor
Curry Kirkpatrick Asst. Spts. Ed.
Bill Hobbs Night Editor
Mati Weisman Feature Editor
Harry DeLung, John Medlin
Assts. to the Editor
Jim Wallace Photography Editor
Mike Robinson, Garry Blanchard
Joe Masi
Contributing Editors
TIM BURNETT
Business Manager
Mike Mathers Advertising Mgr.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C. pursuant with the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.

Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.