

UNC NEWS

Bill Wuamett
EDITOR

Bill Hobbs
CO-EDITOR

Fred McConnell
BUSINESS MANAGER

Associate Editor Jeane Murdoch
Editorial Assistant Jean Wells
Sports Editor Marty Kruming
Feature Editor Kathy Alsop
Cartoonist Jane Hamlet
Photographer Richard McKee
News Staff Harry Tocce, Kay Barnhart, Don King
Contributing Editors Irving Long, Mike Robinson,
Garry Blanchard
Mexico Correspondent Linda Cravotta
Assistant Business Manager Scott Kleiman

Office Telephone—942-2356

The UNC News is the official publication of the Summer School Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. It is published every Thursday by the Chapel Hill Weekly publishing company. All editorials appearing in the News are the opinions of the editors, unless otherwise credited; they do not necessarily represent the opinions of the other members of the staff.

Gallopomomism

The University last week announced a new housing plan which Assistant in Student Affairs George Strong said was "designed to aid the freshmen and their advisors particularly."

Under this plan, an attempt would be made to achieve a proportional distribution of men in the four classes among 17 of the men's dorms. This, in theory, would give each freshman advisor an approximately equal number of advisees.

The latter half of Mr. Strong's argument in favor of the plan is sound; it will aid the advisors. But will it also aid the freshmen? And how will it affect the upper-classmen who may not have special "advisors," but live in the dorms nonetheless?

The questions are ones that can be discussed on a number of levels, with surface difficulties immediately apparent. Mr. Strong stated that the plan would be flexible, but already a number of students have been assigned to different dorms than the ones they originally applied for. At least two of these students were quite upset when they learned they could not live in the dorm of their choice after having been registered there, and appealed to the Student Affairs Office. But there they learned that a ratio is a ratio, and that Student Affairs knows what is best, so don't worry about it. This situation will surely come up again.

* * *

Another problem is that of being able to select one's roommate. A good roommate is obviously the most important factor in a satisfactory adjustment to dorm life. Yet this plan will greatly hinder roommate selection, since it will apparently be impossible for a boy to move into a dorm if there are already too many members of his class living there. We somehow do not think the presence of 168 resident advisors living next door will be able to take the place of a good roommate.

* * *

Dorm residents pay for their rooms. This should give them some voice in choosing where they want to live. Residents should be housed in rooms of their choice and advisors moved in where needed. Under this plan, however, advisors will be located and students placed around the advisors. This is supposed to approximately equalize the ratio of advisees to advisors, and provide a good, clean, wholesome, academic atmosphere with the advisor playing the role of a big fat loving neuter mother—with long apron strings.

This then is the plan's real difficulty—the paternalistic concept behind it. Education is a little more than going to class, playing intramural pingpong in the afternoon, studying at night and drinking a big glass of milk before going to bed at 10:30. It also involves meeting problems that are not solved by having an advisor tell you your sub-conscious has an over-supply of it.

Twenty years ago Philip Wylie coined the term "momism" in his "Generation of Vipers." The Student Affairs Office apparently likes the term. They should read the book that went with it.

Eichmann Trial

Wellman Questions Justice

Richard Gelberman, has brusquely challenged Irving Long and me for our views on the Eichmann case. Gelberman's article, contributed to the UNC News for June 28, contains at least three statements that are contrary to documented facts, one other that is grossly misleading, and a final claim that strains my credulity although I can't prove it false.

Gelberman assures his readers that "All stated information will be well documented." He then proceeds to say that Eichmann would never have been extradited, since Argentine under President Frondizi is "a Fascist state." This is arrant nonsense. Anyone who has even read the newspaper headlines in recent years knows that Fascist government terminated in Argentina with the overthrow of Juan Peron in 1955.

"Eichmann," Gelberman continues blithely, "had resided in

Argentina for fifteen years." The facts are that he lived in Argentina for eight years (1952-60); the years 1945-52 were spent largely in Germany and Spain. Gelberman goes on to say: "It has been established that no sincere attempt had been made by the Argentine government to apprehend him." Despite one or two little-publicized claims to this effect, no evidence has ever been advanced to show that the Argentine authorities were aware of Eichmann's presence in the country during those eight years. The Israelis assumed that Argentina knew nothing, for they announced, after Eichmann's abduction, that they would never disclose the place of his capture. This was as much as to say that no one, apart from his captors, knew where he was at the time. But Argentine investigators discovered the facts shortly after the kidnaping.

Gelberman argues that efforts at legal extradition were under way in secrecy as early as January, 1960. This is patently impossible. In the first place, Tuvia Friedman's searchers were not even sure that Eichmann was in Argentina until March of 1960. Rumors had reached them the previous fall, but it took a long time to make sure. In the second place, the Argentine government was astonished to learn, when Eichmann was captured, that he had been residing there. They could hardly have been so surprised if they had been rejecting extradition requests for months previously.

An extradition treaty was concluded between Israel and Argentina on May 9, 1960 (see Quentin Reynolds, Minister of Death, p. 211). Just two days later, the Israeli searchers kidnaped Eichmann and spirited him away. No effort was made to invoke the newly-signed treaty of extradition. I rather wonder why they went to the trouble of drawing it up. I wonder, too, why Gelberman asserts that extradition talks were in process before the extradition treaty existed. It sounds like a difficult discrepancy.

Israeli's entire motivation in this episode was revenge. This is so obvious that I'm truly amazed to hear anyone bring it into question. Consider this letter which Israeli Premier Ben-Gurion wrote to Argentine President Frondizi on June 9, 1960:

"This man Eichmann was the person directly responsible for . . . the murder of every single Jew on whom the Nazis could lay their hands . . . I need not explain to you, Mr. President, what it means for any people on earth to be the victims of such a Satanic murder campaign, and what profound scars such an experience must leave in a people's soul. Never, even in the age-old annals of our martyrdom, has there been such a fiendish atrocity . . . Hundreds of thousands of the survivors are living in our midst, and hundreds of people in Israel and abroad would not rest until they had found the man who had been in charge . . . They regarded it as their mission in life to bring the man responsible . . . to stand trial before the Jewish people."

In other words: "Mr. President, we hate this man so much that you must let us have him to satisfy our remotions." If Gelberman can interpret Ben-Gurion's signed letter in any other way, I'd be curious to hear his interpretation.

And finally, there is the so-called "Moscow Declaration" which, according to Mr. Gelberman, provided for the delivery of all war criminals to the justice of their victims. I've never heard of it, nor has anyone else that I've talked to. If this Declaration was ever really issued, it could not have been agreed on by all the Allied powers, or it would have been influential at the Nuremberg trials—which it obviously was not.

Wade Wellman

Writer Says No Cards To K's

What a revolting suggestion that students start chain letters to the two Big K's to halt nuclear testing! The money for the cards would be better spent in writing to parents and friends long neglected and the time used to read about the top goals of Communist propaganda in the United States — one of which would be the end of nuclear testing for the U.S.

R. W. WILSON

Gant Shirt Men Easy Prey For Coed Hubby Hunters

Peering 'neath the magnolia blossoms and in-between the ivy-vines, this novice Carolinian suddenly confronts the essence of the collegiate conception of the new Old South.

Gone is the sweet, subtle, ever-loving little old lavender 'n' old lace mode of life. In its place thrives a new Old South based on English Leather and India Madras.

Gone, too, are the days when dating and mating followed the two dictates of Scarlett O'Hara's "Mammy" in *Gone With the Wind*: (1) A lady never says yes or no . . . only "Just as you say, Suh!" or "I might!" and (2) Young ladies never accept anything any more obligatory from young gentlemen than books, candy, and flowers.

The modern Carolina version of (1.) when translated becomes "H--, Yes!" or "H--, No!" or even more often "H--, if I know!"

As for (2.), the modern rule appears to be "Never accept anything less sharp than a scarab bracelet, cameo watch-band, gold monogrammed disc pin, or, best of all, a Baez album, complete with autograph and a string of hair."

One note of cheer remains, however. TRADITION is not entirely a thing of the PAST, for females are basically traditionalists at heart, and they still place a premium on the good old past-times of collecting little things like diamonds, frat pins, lavaliers, class rings, etc.

And WHY NOT? Psychologists report that nearly everyone can benefit from a collective hobby and that it is only natural to go through this collective phase.

This is especially evident on this campus where various clubs of collectivity are very-much-in-vogue. Most popular collections on campus include "unsats," just-drained beer cans, hangovers, gambling debts, and dirty laundry. Parental lectures are also high up on the list, as are drastic allowance cuts.

Necessity tends to cause drastic changes in normative values. For example, the following expose of fanatical female interest in male shirt types:

Around campus, especially in heavily male-populated classes such as poli-sci, are springing up female cults of GANT shirt "counters." CAUSE:

BECAUSE those enigmatic Gant cloth hooks at the back of the yoke are the ideal answer to

the prayers of those lovely, sweet, innocent children hoping to hook a hubby with one swift stroke.

All it takes is a crook of her little finder through his Gant shirt hook, a victorious "Got 'cha!," and the mighty GANT-MAN goes down to defeat, to the tender strains of the Carolina Coup d'etat Cha-Cha-Cha!"

Another of the all-important undergraduate norms is the wearing of sports clothes and, in keeping with this practice, all co-eds are expected to be good sports at all times, whether on private snipe-hunting soirees or grape-gathering in the Arboretum.

Among the new Old South intelligensia, there seem to be two main trains of thought, both predominant among one-track minds, and both hell-bent on a common destination.

The masculine school of thought points out an undesirable ratio of three males to one female and proposes a more broad-minded ratio to further world peace, social adjustment, and liberal education.

The female school of thought places the ratio at three females to one male and deplores the situation for less broad-minded reasons.

In spite of the obvious mathematical differences between the two groups, the educational system of the university appears to suffer not, and, from a biological point of view it actually appears to prosper.

Language patterns, too, tend to undergo changes. Derogatory terms are much in vogue, though inconsistent in application. For instance, "cull" refers to an undesirable (to put it politely) male, but there appears to be no corresponding term applicable to the female.

Perhaps, in time, the male intelligensia will introduce such a corresponding term as a "cull-girl" or a "gull."

In the meantime, may all the araMINTha JULEPS mix and mingle with all the TOM COLLINGS's 'neath the magnolia blossoms in search of the eternal truths of the NEW old SOUTH, CAROLINA-style!

araMINTha JULEP

(Editor's note—We feel sure that if Miss Julep searched a little, she would be able to find a number of feminine terms corresponding to the word "cull;" responding to the word "cull;" mentary or printable.)