

## The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-ninth year of editorial freedom, unbampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff.

October 5, 1962

Tel. 942-2356

Vol. XLX, No. 14

## UP Confusion

Recently in a letter to the campus, via the Daily Tar Heel, Phil Smith, the Chairman of the University Party, admitted to "some concern about the internal situation of the UP," and went on to try to clear up for questioning students the confusion that surrounded the party Chairmanship.

Indeed, there was and there continues to be some concern about the internal situation of the UP. Many of us were and continue to be confused about the party Chairmanship.

And it is about time that our doubts, questions and suspicions were brought into the open for UP comment or clarification.

Confusion: Last spring, May 15 to be exact, Phil Smith announced his resignation from the Chairmanship of the University Party. At that time he said: "The need for someone who will continue party policy through the summer prompted the appointment" (he was speaking of the appointment of his immediate successor, Don Curtis). Smith also said that the reason no election would be held for the post was because it was so late in the semester, and . . . because "only one other person showed mild interest in the position."

Then, when Curtis failed to return for this semester's duties, Smith was reappointed to the Chairmanship by the executive committee of the UP.

This seemed peculiar to many of us.

So in an attempt to clarify the issues, Smith made his statement

to the Tar Heel, on September 29. He said: in explanation for his resignation last spring, "It was due to my appointment as Chairman of the Campus Entertainment Committee, and by desire to keep policies out of student government committees." Sounds noble, but what happened to the reason they gave last spring?

And, since he is still Chairman of the Entertainment Committee, how does his recent reappointment blend with his expressed desire to keep policies out of student government committees?

And, since his resignation did not come during exams last spring, as Smith said it did, why didn't the UP hold an open meeting for a Chairmanship election?

Smell something? We do. And it smells like this: Since Don Curtis had been a successful campaign manager for the UP candidate for the presidency of the student body, the party big-wigs felt he had earned a promotion, namely, the party chairmanship. But Smith was in the way. So they gave him a seat at the head of the Entertainment Committee to justify his stepping down. They made a trade.

Now, maybe all these matters were cleared up at the open party meeting last night. Maybe some more trades had been arranged, and all the UP members voted to approve them. Maybe all the arrangements were made above board, maybe we are the only ones on campus who are confused. Maybe, but we doubt it. (cw)

## A Weekend

We have been hearing for years the lament that there never has been an all-campus weekend. Never has there been an event or series of events that was aimed at social participation by all students. It seems that every "big" social weekend is, in some manner, "restricted." It is not so much that certain students are barred from attending, but rather that only certain others may attend. In other words, if you belong to the "group" you go, if you don't belong to the group, you just don't bother yourself about the matter.

Well, the Senior Class, under some willing and able leadership, has arranged to put on a series of events for any and every student on campus. The program has been set up to complement tonight's performance by the Weavers, who are still regarded by many as the num-

ber one folk singing group in America.

Following the Weavers, Doug Clark and his combo, who sometimes go by another name, will perform at the American Legion Hut. This combo is regarded by many as the best in the area, and if you go tonight, you will see why. On Saturday night, the Senior Class will sponsor a free combo party featuring the Premiers, another fine group.

The point seems to be this: Quite a lot of work has gone into the planning of a weekend for you—whatever you are; here is your all-campus weekend, with some of the best entertainment available anywhere. It is entertainment which ought to draw some all-campus participation. (CW)

## The Daily Tar Heel

JIM CLOTFELTER  
CHUCK WRYE  
Editors

Bill Hobbs — Associate Editor  
Wayne King — Harry Lloyd  
Managing Editors

Bill Waumett — News Editor  
Ed Dupree — Sports Editor  
Curry Kirkpatrick — Asst. Spts. Ed.  
Chris Farran — Matt Weisman  
Feature Editors

Harry DeLung — Night Editor  
Jim Wallace — Photography Editor  
Mike Robinson — Gary Blanchard  
Contributing Editors

DAVE MORGAN  
Business Manager  
Gary Dalton — Advertising Mgr.  
John Evans — Circulation Mgr.  
Dave Wyson — Subscription Mgr.

The DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year.  
The DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.  
Published by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C.

## 'Minute'

Most UNC students have shown a great interest in the Oxford, Miss. situation, some favoring and some opposing James Meredith's admission to Ole Miss. There have been countless letters to the Daily Tar Heel editors on all sides of the question. Several sociology, journalism and political science classes have discussed the problem. The Tar Heel has been asked to send student editorial opinion to the U. S. Information Agency.

Now it is time for students to make even clearer their feelings on this most controversial and delicate problem.

The "Minute for Meredith" gathering today at 11:55 on the South Building steps is a natural mode of expression. Take out just one minute to consider the sad situation in Mississippi.

—Chuck Wrye

Jim Clotfelter

"... He Took Water And Washed His Hands Before The Multitude ..."



Letters to the Editor

## Barnett Draws Praise, Abuse

### Arbitrary Action Cause Of Riots

To The Editor:

Millions of words have been and will be written about what has happened in Mississippi. Few attempts have been made to rationally analyze the events.

The democratically-elected officers of the state, with the universal support of the responsible part of the people, attempted to preserve their authority over an agency of their state and operate it in a manner which had been approved by the democratically expressed will of the people.

In so doing they were declared to be in defiance of the Federal government.

What exactly did their defiance consist of? Did they defy a law made by the Congress? No.

Did they defy the officially expressed will of the people of the United States? No.

Did they defy the Constitution of the United States? Would they have been defying the Constitution by the same actions in 1953? No. Has the Constitution then been amended? No.

Exactly what then were the people of Mississippi defying? They were defying an attempt of nine judges on the bench to amend the Constitution in accordance with their own liking and make it say what any alert sixth-grader knows it was not intended to say.

This is what has happened: a ruling made in any undemocratic manner and enforced in a totalitarian manner has been made to prevail over the democratically expressed will of a people in a matter in which they had every right to express their will.

Has any democratic nation ever before attempted to violate by force traditions and social customs which are long-standing and fiercely clung to by a significant part of its people?

No. Only totalitarian nations have attempted such policies because totalitarian methods are required.

Have Americans in any state ever submitted without defiance to arbitrary authority which thwarts the will of the people and strongly-held beliefs?

Is it remarkable that violence erupted when free men were subjected to the frustration of armed force requiring them to do what every instinct told them was wrong?

Is there any Negro anywhere in the United States who is better off in any substantial way by the entrance of one Negro into a University under the guardianship of more American troops than were present at the siege of Yorktown?

Is their any integrationist in the United States who is sane and loves his country who believes that what happened was worth it or that real

equality has been brought one day closer?

Has any opponent of racial integration in the United States been made any more ready to accept it?

Is equality at the expense of military coercion a proper goal of a democratic society?

Then why in the name of God are 15,000 American troops occupying an American state?

—CLYDE WILSON

### No Front Page Edits

To The Editor:

Since when has the Daily Tar Heel become such a paper that it may editorialize in bold type on the front page as in the article "America?"

—ROMERT PUSTILNIK

### Riot Helps Communists

To The Editor:

The cause of the mob violence that erupted at "Ole Miss" last Sunday night is the object of heated dispute between President Kennedy and Governor Ross Barnett. Gov. Barnett claims that Kennedy was responsible for the uncontrolled riots since he brought in "inexperienced and triggerhappy" U. S. Marshals. President Kennedy, on the other hand, insists that Barnett "doublecrossed" him and failed to give "Ole Miss" the police protection that he had promised.

In examining the situation, one notes that the U. S. Marshals on Sunday afternoon surrounded the Administration building while Mississippi State Police held the student crowd back several yards from the U. S. Marshals. When the first act of violence occurred, the assault of a newspaper photographer and his car, the Mississippi police, only a few feet away, made no attempt to halt the attack until the photographer's life was in danger. In an attempt to move back the mob, one Mississippi State trooper yelled out: "Step back, maybe you'll have your fun later." The spark that started the tear gas and mob violence erupted when a certain group who had been throwing bottles and lighted cigarettes at parked Army trucks commenced aiming at the tight line of U. S. Marshals. After being hit several times, the U. S. Marshals, in order to protect themselves, were forced to fire the tear gas guns. The spark of the riot, the bottle throwing at U. S. Marshals, was observed by the Mississippi State Police who were keeping the crowd back. It would seem upon observing the actions of the Mississippi Police that Gov. Barnett had failed to emphasize to his police the necessity of being non-partial in their actions. Although Gov. Barnett had acquiesced to the federal law by late Sunday afternoon, and the main hope was peace, Gov. Barnett failed to provide the necessary protection.

Thus, the resulting riot, besides presenting a sore spot to the world and to Communist propaganda, was responsible for two deaths and scores of injuries.

—DAVE CANALOS

### 'Thank God For Barnett'

To The Editor:

I am writing this letter to the editor feeling quite sure it will never be published. Whether it is published or not, just writing it will give me great satisfaction.

Upon entering the university this fall, I was first told that being an individual should be the prime objective of the freshman; that by being an individual one could put more into Carolina and at the same time reap more benefits than if he became "one of the crowd," so to speak.

This made good sense to me because it is necessary only to look in history and see that our greatest men were, in fact, individuals. To illustrate what I am trying to say I will use one example out of many. Martin Luther.

Martin Luther was born in the

Jim Clotfelter

## ... More Notes

### On Ole Miss

More notes on the Oxford, Miss. crisis—these notes a little more serious, a little sadder than those appearing in yesterday's Tar Heel.

#### TEAR GAS

It must have occurred to a few of the Mississippi rioters last Sunday night, as the tear gas began to permanently settle itself upon the Ole Miss campus, that maybe a "mistake had been made." When you took that first whiff of tear gas, the same sort of tear gas which is used on senseless mobs everywhere, you were "faced with the enormity of what had been done."

A group of students and adults—egged on by the state patrol, encouraged by an army general and morally sanctioned by a "Never"-saying governor—had attacked the representatives of the United States government. We doubt if their fury would have been blunted if the President had been standing in front of the Lyceum instead of bewildered U. S. Marshals.

#### MARSHALS

Speaking of the U. S. marshals, it must have been a totally new experience for them as Mississippians themselves, to be condemned as "nigger-lovers" and told that their kids would grow up to be "polka-dotted."

#### INDIVIDUAL

Possibly the most ironic aspect of the rioting was that it was done in

the name of "individualism"—a raving, bestial mob, crushing all hints of opposition, acting to save threatened individualism.

#### BEAUTIES

Many of the mob's most prominent agitators were Ole Miss coeds. It was quite a sight to see seven beautiful young things cursing and throwing bricks and condemning the United States government.

Still and all, Ole Miss must have some of the world's most beautiful girls—it's a peculiar phenomenon that the university which seems to encourage hatred also encourages physical beauty—sort of like "Beauty and the Beast."

#### FOREIGN

Another thing about the students at Ole Miss—about fifty of them are foreign students. Several from Asia are darker-skinned than James Meredith. But nobody ever says anything about them; they pass across campus unmolested; they are not in physical danger. Leave them alone, Ole Miss says, it's all right, they aren't American like that Meredith guy . . .

#### DEATH

A British reporter was talking to several Tar Heel reporters in Oxford the day after the riots. He had been the London Daily Sketch partner of the newsman who had been killed Sunday night. The two of them had covered many of the world's great news stories, including the Hungarian revolution, and lived through them all . . . until Mississippi.

#### AFTER

The riots are over, many of the rioters have been arrested and Oxford is under virtual military control. Out-of-state "volunteers" to fight the Feds have a hard time making it through the National Guard roadblocks around Oxford. All signs point to the end of violence in Mississippi. You see fewer Confederate flags and less talk about "getting that nigger."

But this is only a temporary sensation which will exist as long as foxholes surround the Ole Miss campus and soldiers march in the village square. Ask the old black sit-in and smokin' his pipe in Oxford—ask him what will happen when the soldiers leave. Look at the students—look into their eyes and see what will happen to Meredith. Three years at Ole Miss is a long time . . .

decision in the best interest of his state. Mr. Barnett was truly individualistic in his decision. Meredith made a decision also, knowing full well what the result of his stand would be. In a sense Meredith is an individual. Why then should Barnett receive all the criticism? Is not Meredith just as responsible as Barnett?

Thank God for men like Barnett. He knew he could never win when he made his decision but still this did not stop him. Barnett is probably one of the last of the dying-out state's righters; truly he is an individual.

JOE EXUM

ron levin

## Out Of The Fryin Pan...

Having been away from The Hill for a span of some years, upon our arrival we were stunned by the building boom, but nonetheless pleased, and set out with light heart and wallet in search of an apartment, this sort of safari possibly familiar to those of you who have made a similar trek in the past. The hunt began.

FOR RENT: Charming, thirteen room house on old Pittsboro Road. Only three hour walk from campus. Needs minor repairs.

After the realtor drove us there, he told us proudly that this house was the same used on the set during the filming of "Psycho," though, he assured us, it was perfectly safe now. All it needed in the way of minor repairs was a minor roof, the old one having been destroyed in the last fire.

He started to tell us more, but we left him standing there, cigar in mouth, pen in hand, and under cover of large, billowing clouds of noxious blue smoke, beat a hasty retreat.

The next listing seemed more in line with our needs, and we ran down the address on Roachmary Street.

FOR RENT: Spacious three room apt. Running water. Completely furnished. Reasonable.

A sweet, little, old grey-haired lady (you can't hardly find them kind no more) answered our knock and showed us in. The apartment was in the basement, she explained, and

with the light from a tallow candle, guided us down the winding stone steps.

Foul, dank odors made our nostrils wrinkle in displeasure, but she was quick to notice this and said that it had been shut up for some time, and that a touch of Air Wick would do the trick. Suddenly a monstrous rat ran in front of us, disappearing into a large fissure in the wall, and our friend shook her head and remarked that she was going to leave her cat down here overnight.

From the size of the rat, we were going to suggest she leave a full grown Bengal Tiger instead, but said nothing. The running water came from a pitcher on the table. There was a note scrawled beneath it, saying: Tilt Pitcher and Water Will Run. We thought this terribly clever but blanched when she told us the rent was \$150. After all, she explained, it WAS furnished, the furniture consisting of an army cot, two benches from the Arboretum and a truly spiffy Sterno stove.

Trying very hard not to upset her, we said farewell and continued on our way, convinced the end was in sight.

FOR RENT: Rustic cottage set off in woods. Absolute privacy guaranteed. Modern. Must see to believe.

It was certainly modern, by renting standards in Chapel Hill, having doors, windows and a genuine no-dirt floor. The man explained to us that it was a re-converted to-

bacco barn moved here from Greenville. We frowned at this but did have to admit that it was landscaped beautifully, set on the slope of a hill.

The angle of the slope, though, required that all the furniture be nailed stoutly to the floor. The man told us it was safer that way, only last year a graduate student in statistics having broken three ribs by the wood stove having slipped its moorings and slid across the living room to crush him neatly against the west wall.

The absolute privacy, he went on, was because of the road—and REAL-LY guaranteed. We raised our eyebrows in question at this, as he continued. During the rainy season—Registration to Graduation—the road was impassable, and no one could get in or out. Just perfect for a writer, he added. We thanked him profusely and returned to town.

By now, our hopes were fading rapidly, and turning to the last advertisement, we were heartened by what we saw.

FOR RENT: Warm, cozy, intimate, snug, efficient and convenient. Easy to clean and heat. Quiet. Just right for graduate student.

Wow, we thought. This seemed like the answer to our dreams, if it was everything the ad said it was. Upon arriving there, we found the ad had not lied. It was warm, cozy, intimate, snug, efficient and convenient.

It was a closet.

Later . . .