

Offices in Graham Memorial

Student Body To Elect Class Officers Today

High Court Opens Review Of Sit-In Case

WASHINGTON (UPI) — The Supreme Court yesterday began hearings on a series of Southern sit-in convictions with the ultimate ruling expected to provide a new key decision in the racial integration controversy.

The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People has declared the seven cases from six Southern states the most important since the school desegregation ruling of 1954.

The states involved are North and South Carolina, Maryland, Louisiana, Alabama and Georgia. According to the NAACP, approximately 3,000 Negro students and other "sit in" demonstrators have been sentenced under local and state laws as a result of their activities.

Jack Greenberg, a New York City attorney, initiated the open court arguments on behalf of seven demonstrators arrested at Durham, N. C.

Pressure On Stores

Greenberg said the manager of Kress's store was "coerced" into bringing action by the "custom of the community to segregate and discriminate against Negroes."

On behalf of North Carolina, Ralph Moody, assistant state attorney general, said racial segregation is not a part of the case.

"A person who owns property can exclude the people he wants to exclude for any reason," he said. "The trespass laws don't pick out any class or group."

All nine justices questioned Greenberg during his presentation. Justice Byron R. White referred to the case record that showed Negroes and whites were served together at a stand-up counter elsewhere in the store. He asked if this did not indicate "they were allowed to follow custom in some areas" but "they were not coerced" to obey custom in all forms.

Greenberg acknowledged there was no discrimination at the stand-up counter and that mixed service had been commenced at the sit-down counter shortly after the arrests.

No State Law

Questioning by several justices brought out that the state had claimed before the North Carolina Supreme Court that there was no state law requiring segregation.

Council Leaders Support Move

Opening Of Trials To DTH Subject To Amendment Vote

Whether honor council trials will be open to newspaper reporters will be voted on today as a constitutional amendment.

Council trials at the present are closed to reporters unless a defendant asks that it be "open." Honor Council Chairman Walter Dellinger recommended recently that the trials be opened to DTH reporters, who would write stories of the trials excluding names.

Several student leaders in both the Honor Councils and political parties yesterday stated their views on the amendment. Their statements are as follows:

WALTER DELLINGER, CHAIRMAN OF THE MEN'S COUNCIL

"The proposed amendment to open Honor Council trials to two reporters from the student newspaper is the best available answer to the problem of communication between the Honor Councils and the student body.

"The publishing of depersonalized accounts (in which no names will be used) of Council proceedings and decisions will enable every member of the University community to understand and evaluate the standards used by the councils in judging ungentlemanly conduct and dishonorable behavior.

I firmly believe that weekly published news stories of action by the Men's and Women's Councils would prove to be an excellent method of reminding students of their obligation to the Honor System.

"In addition to establishing guarantees as to how an open trial will be conducted, the proposal also retains the right of the student to a 'closed' trial upon his request.

BUZZY STUBBS,

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

"In its present form, I am in favor of the amendment. The campus needs to have a greater aware-

ness of the Honor Council's activities and what the council considers a violation of the Honor and Campus codes.

"However, the student himself is in the best position to evaluate whether or not an open trial is in his interest. This bill adequately recognizes these dual needs."

BEV HAYNES, CHAIRMAN

OF WOMEN'S COUNCIL:

"I hope that the bill will be passed. I think it would definitely be an improvement for our system. I think this may definitely establish a tradition of open trials and instill more student confidence in the Honor System."

CHARLES COOPER, CHAIR-

MAN OF THE JUDICIAL

COMMITTEE:

"I unqualifiedly support open trials and would urge its passage by the student body in the fall general election in the interest of an open and more democratic judicial system. I do not see why anyone should vote against it in its present form."

MIKE LAWLER, VICE-PRES-

IDENT OF THE STUDENT BODY

AND FORMER HONOR COUNCIL

MEMBER:

"I am in favor of the proposed constitutional amendment for the following reasons:

"(1) Open trials will acquaint

students with the proceedings and general operation of the Honor Councils.

"(2) Open trials will encourage

a more standard procedure in the conduct of Council meetings.

"(3) The presence of reporters

will prove a further guarantee of the rights of the individual and of the administration of justice.

"At the same time the rights

of a student will be infringed upon in no way whatsoever since if he so chooses he may request that his trial be closed.

"Consequently, Open Trials

would enhance the efficiency of our Honor System while offering a further guarantee of the rights of students. I am confident that the campus will see the value of Open Trials and vote for the amendment in Tuesday's election."

RUFUS EDMISTON, FLOOR

LEADER OF THE SP:

"I think this amendment gives

the student body no real choice and only changes the wording, not the action. I feel that the student body should have had the privilege of choosing among all the alternatives. Yet, this is a step in the right direction. The students should vote for it."

INMAN ALLEN, PRESIDENT

OF THE STUDENT BODY:

"I think the most significant aspect of this proposed amendment is that the defendant still has the right to decide whether his trial will be open to the DTH."

BOB SPEARMAN, FLOOR

LEADER OF THE UP:

"I believe that the proposed

amendment, if adopted, will help the student body to more fully understand the workings and philosophy of the Honor System at Carolina. At the same time the amendment provides adequate and needed safeguards for the protection of the individual. I wholeheartedly urge its adoption."

GRANT WHEELER, HONOR

COUNCIL MEMBER AND CHAIR-

MAN OF THE SUMMER SCHOOL

HONOR COUNCIL:

"Open trials are in my mind a

serious thing. They should be left up to the individual on trial. The purpose of open trials is to help inform the student body of the Honor System's functions.

"It seems to me to be extremely

selfish to inform the student body at the expense of those who are being tried. Open trials deprive a defendant of one of his basic and most important rights."

Dorm Social Fee Hike To Be Decided

The campus referendum on the social fee hike goes before the students in all men's dormitories today.

The purpose of the referendum is to determine student opinion on the proposed raise of \$75. If the referendum is passed, its results will be presented to the administration as proof of the desire of the men's dorms for an increased social fee. The administration will then take action on the matter.

Bruce Welch, president of the IDC, gave four main reasons for the passage of the referendum.

Under the present social fee, according to Welch, the dorms are overcharging their accounts and are still not able to provide sufficient social life for their occupants.

Welch said that the present social fee was adopted in 1949 and inflation and other factors have made this amount inadequate today.

Last year, many dorms had three men to a room. This year with the placing of only two men in most rooms, dorm revenues have been radically reduced, he added.

The IDC President said that if the new dorm social fee is passed, there will be no extra assessments in the future, as have been experienced by Craig and Ehringhaus this year.

Welch said that if the referendum were passed, the hike would go into effect as soon as the administration took such action. He noted, however, that the increased social fee would not be levied this semester, but would probably become effective next semester when it would be tacked onto the dorm room rent bill.

In conclusion Welch stated, "I'd like to urge each of the men's dormitory residents to vote in favor of the proposed social fee increase in order that they may reap the benefits of an improved and expanded social program."

Both campus political party chairmen have voiced their approval of the issue.

Robin Britt, Student Party chairman said, "Most dorms do not have sufficient funds to present activities necessary for a good dorm program including academic projects and especially social events. Last year a few dorms did not even have the funds to put out a dorm newspaper."

"The problem is acute and the only solution is the proposed raise of the dorm social fees. This is an extremely important issue for the dormitories."

The Student Party strongly urges passage of the referendum.

Mike Chanin, University Party chairman noted, "The present \$75 dorm fee was passed in 1949 and it is obvious that inflation and the increase in dorm activities has made this fee inadequate.

In order for a dorm to have a few parties, a dorm newspaper, and floats for the various contests, not to mention intramurals, it is a necessity that this increase be passed. This will double the money available to each dorm and will more than double the activities that can be undertaken. I urge all dorm residents to vote tomorrow, as I will myself, 'Yes' on the IDC referendum to raise social fees."

WC To Decide NSA Position


A referendum against continuing W.C.'s membership in NSA may be presented to the Woman's College student body in the next two weeks.

Virginia Harmon, W.C.'s representative to the National Student Congress this summer, said the referendum was being backed by the speaker of the editor of the "Carolinian," W.C.'s weekly newspaper.

Carol Fury, president of the Student Government, indicated Sunday night, that she was in favor of postponing the referendum until the student body had had enough time to discuss it.

A group of students from UNC may go to W.C. to discuss NSA's activities at Carolina.

A panel discussion with Mike Lawler, student body vice president and Harry Delung, NSA co-ordinator, may also take place depending on the date set for the referendum.



THIS MAP shows how town districts are divided for the election today. All town residents should vote in the districts in which they live. North is at top of the map.

Voter Regulations Listed By Board

Rules for voting in the General Election today and the location of ballot boxes for the various campus districts were announced yesterday by Polly Hastings, chairman of the Elections Board.

In class elections, a student may vote only for candidates of his own class. However, members of all classes may vote on the open honor council and increased dormitory fees proposals.

ID cards or other suitable identification will be required of each student before he is given a ballot. Voters must sign a pledge stating that they have not voted previously in this election and that they are voting at the proper polling station. Violations of this pledge will be considered violations of the Honor Code.

All men and women dormitory residents will find poll boxes in their dorms with the following exceptions: Connor men vote in Winston, Emerson men vote in Ruffin, and Kenan women vote in McIver.

Residents of Town Women's District I may vote at Gerrard Hall or Graham Memorial.

Residents of Men's Town District I vote at the Naval Armory or the Carolina Inn.

Residents of Men's Town District II vote at the Scuttlebutt or Gerrard Hall.

Residents of Men's Town District III vote at Gerrard Hall or the Victory Village housing office.

The following boundaries will determine each District: Men's Town District I is composed of all men town students residing in the area bounded by Columbia St. on the east, Cameron Ave. on the north, and the corporate limits of Chapel Hill on the south and west.

Men's Town District II contains all men living in the area bounded by Cameron Ave. on the south, Columbia St. on the east, and the corporate limits of Chapel Hill on the north and west, and the city of Carrboro.

Men's Town District III contains all men living in the area bounded by Columbia St. on the west, and the Corporate limits of Chapel Hill on the north, south, and east.

Men's Town District IV is all men students residing in the area outside the corporate limits of Chapel Hill and Carrboro.

First returns were due early from Hart's Location, the New Hampshire hamlet with the quick count. But it could be 1960 all over again in California where the result of the governor's battle between Richard M. Nixon and Edmund G. Brown may not be known until late Wednesday.

At stake were all 435 seats in the U. S. House of Representatives, 39 U. S. Senate seats, and local offices.

National interest centered on the head-to-head battles between Brown and Nixon in California; Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller and Robert M. Morgenthau in New York; George Romney and Democratic Gov. John B. Swainson in Michigan, and between the President's brother, Edward M. Kennedy and Republican George Cabot Lodge in the Massachusetts senatorial race.

On election eve, a non-partisan poll showed Brown leading Nixon. Rockefeller was heavily favored over Morgenthau, Republicans had high hopes for a Romney victory, and Democrats were equally high on young Kennedy's chances against Lodge.

In one sense, the year's election was a battle for the House of Representatives. Republicans once talked of winning control of the House but have shied away from such predictions in recent days. They were still favored to register a small House gain, but considerably short of the 44 seats they need to control. The present House lineup is 261 Democrats to 174 Republicans.

The Democrats hold a 64 to 36 majority in the Senate and the Republicans will be hard put to change that margin. Some Democrats even talked of perhaps picking up a couple of Senate seats, a rarity for the majority party in a non-presidential election year. Of the 39 seats at issue, 21 now are held by Democrats and 18 by Republicans.

Junior Race Is Highlight Of Election

A hard-fought race between wrestler Gordon Appell and basketball player Charles Shaffer, Jr. for the presidency of the Junior class is the highlight of today's fall elections.

Shaffer, chairman of the Student Athletic Council, is running on the University Party ticket. Appell, who serves on both the Student Legislature and the IDC, is the Student Party nominee.

In other presidential races, Bill Aycock of Chapel Hill, SP, faces Harrison Merrill of Atlanta, UP, for thophomore class job. Jack Harrell, SP, and Earl Johnson, UP, face off for the freshman class leader spot.

Over 3,000 voters are expected to vote today in the elections. Party leaders on both sides have predicted victory.

In other junior contests, Woody Harrison, SP, treasurer of his sophomore class, will meet Watts Carr, former freshman president, for the vice-presidency. Bonnie Hoyle and Pam Rudy vie for the secretary spot; Anne Eskridge and Gerry Goode are trying for treasurer; and Dianne Hile and Joan Haley contend for social chairman.

Sophomore candidates also include: Vice-president, Kip Carter and Pud Hassell; secretary, Cookie Cochran and Johny Massenburg; treasurer, Paul Chused and John Schultz; social chairman, Judy Tyson and Dee Johnson.

Freshman hopefuls are, for vice president, Stewart Kagel and Hap Stewart; secretary, Polly Osborne and Dusty Johnson; treasurer, John Sheldon and Paul Jansen; social chairman, Pam Weddle and Katherine Jones.

Campaign platforms have stressed party activity in promoting both academics and social functions. Combo parties have been promised by all candidates.

IDC BOOKS

The IDC will buy these books: Brinton, Christopher, & Wolf, A HISTORY OF CIVILIZATION, Vol. 1, 2nd Ed., MODERN CIVILIZATION—A HISTORY OF THE LAST FIVE CENTURIES, and Columbia Univ. Press, INTRODUCTION TO CONTEMPORARY CIVILIZATION IN THE WEST, Vol. 1, 3rd Ed., 1960. Anyone wishing to sell these books should contact John Mitchener, 6 Old East, 968-9162.

Campus Party Heads State Positions Before Election

MIKE CHANIN, UP

The University Party this fall is presenting a slate of experienced and active candidates. Under extreme competition in our convention—competition that produced run-offs in one third of our nominations—we have selected two dorm presidents, two candidates who were elected to class offices last year, and three who were presidents of their high schools last fall. We have not allowed for hand-picked candidates as in the opposite party, where they had eleven out of fifteen chosen by acclamation. This fall we are stressing the fact that our party and candidates represent every area of the campus and every aspect of campus life.

We want to see better social programs for the classes, more people working in class governments, and more emphasis on class academic and residential problems. The University Party is moving upward to more active class leadership and more active class programs. The platforms of our three slates have been presented in the Tar Heel. I urge you to study these platforms: to study the candidates who will be fulfilling these platforms for the University Party; and to study the rational approach to class problems presented by the candidates and the University Party. This year is a crucial year for class government; it must show that it functions actively and that it fulfills the needs of the class. The University Party candidates will make class government work and their platforms, which do fulfill the needs of the classes, will be carried out if they are elected.

Therefore, it is most important that you the voters, are not blinded by irresponsible actions and promises of the political parties, that

you judge the candidates on what to do, and on your qualifications, and that you vote next Tuesday. And when you do vote, I am sure that you will have judged the candidates and their platforms and realizing the rational approach of the UP candidates, that you will vote for the University Party this fall.

Robin Britt, SP

Until a few years ago the election of class officers on this campus was little more than a glorified popularity contest. However, recently class offices have begun to make a greater and greater contribution to the campus and are becoming an integral part of Student Government.

Paralleling this rise in importance of class offices has been a healthy development in the election of these positions. The campus has ceased to elect candidates on the basis of popularity and has begun to elect them on their qualifications. In short, students are looking for the real issues that underlie the fall campaign. These are the two points that the Student Party is stressing in this election—issues and qualifications.

(1) First in regard to qualifications, the Student Party candidates have the experience in Student Government necessary to fulfill the progressive ideas outlined in their platforms and to exert effective class leadership. An example in point is our candidate for President of the Junior Class, Gordon Appell. Gordon is now in Student Legislature (he was elected by a record-breaking majority in his district), he is on the Rules Committee of Legislature, he is the representative from his district to

the IDC, where he was elected to the IDC Court and in turn elected Clerk of that court. This type of experience is invaluable to a class president who needs to work closely with Student Government.

The University Party candidate for Junior Class President, on the other hand, has been connected with Student Government only through the Carolina Athletic Association. This experience in Student Government means that our candidates will continue the integration of class offices into an even more useful and effective role in Student Government.

(2) In regard to issues, the predominant issue is which slate of candidates will make the greatest contribution to the campus through the fulfillment of their platform promises and through an imaginative, progressive program. Thursday night the Student Party fulfilled another of its campaign promises from last spring with the introduction of a bill in Legislature by Gordon Appell which proposes to buy a Student Government bus to be used for an Ehringhaus-Craig bus service, for trips to Woman's College on weekends, and for other Student Government purposes. This, in addition to the projects mentioned in Thursday's Daily Tar Heel that the Student Party has accomplished this fall, indicates that the candidates selected by the Student Party WILL fulfill their platforms and WILL offer imaginative and progressive leadership.

The campus, then, is now choosing its class officers on the basis of qualifications and issues. On this prime basis, as on every other basis, the scales weigh heavily in favor of the Student Party candidates.