

The Daily Tar Heel

In its seventieth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the University administration or the student body.

All editorials appearing in the DAILY TAR HEEL are the individual opinions of the Editors, unless otherwise credited; they do not necessarily represent the opinions of the staff. The editors are responsible for all material printed in the DAILY TAR HEEL.

December 16, 1962 Tel. 942-2356 Vol. LXX, No. 69

"Insult To Injury:" A Readjustment Due

It would seem that we have, in the Buckley vs. Carolina Forum episode, a fine example of an offended party properly upset by the addition of insult to prior injury.

The injury? Well, as Henry Mayer, Chairman of the Carolina Forum, pointed out in his letter to Mr. Buckley's agent, the decision to meet Buckley's demand of \$450 for his speaking engagement represented quite a departure from the established policy.

Not blessed with an abundance of fiscal resources — drawing entirely from student government funds — the Forum just cannot afford to pay traveling expenses and large speaking fees also. The decision to pay Buckley such a large sum came only after extensive deliberation and realization that sacrifices might be made elsewhere.

The Forum might have felt an obligation or, perhaps, merely a desire, to bring a respected conservative to the Campus. At any rate, they contracted to bring Buckley, who in turn "agreed" to speak on "Freedom and the Welfare State."

The event was announced in press releases, the word was passed around, and many were those who looked forward to Buckley's appearance. Many were those who anticipated a lecture on "Freedom and the Welfare State," including the Carolina Forum. They had decided to suffer the "injury" of more than \$500 in total expenses for the lecture by Buckley. A slice of cash that large in any student govern-

ment budget is a wound which certainly represents an injury.

The insult?

Well, there are those who would maintain that the mere fact that Buckley chose to disregard an "obligation," an "agreement," and to read an article, previously published, that had nothing to do with the announced topic is sufficient insult to merit offense being taken and a demand for readjustment of the fee.

Perhaps, this is "insult."

But if that one fact of divergence from the scheduled topic is not enough to insult an audience, certainly the fact that Mr. Buckley, in a manner so charming, dismissed his divergence with the notion that "Freedom and the Welfare State" was "too academic" for presentation to a Carolina crowd added insult to prior injury.

Of course, we must guard against the glib assumption that Mr. Buckley did such a thing on purpose, or that he did not care.

We do not think that he chose, snottily, to insult the audience. Chances are that he did not know that the *Playboy* article was already out, and perhaps he sincerely felt that his agreed upon topic was too academic for an entertaining presentation . . . chances are that he sincerely regrets the whole embarrassing episode. He would do well to make manifest his regret by "agreeing" to a readjustment in the initial fee. (CW)

Give: Aid To India

Quietly—almost too quietly—various faculty members, students and local citizens of Chapel Hill have undertaken the collection of funds to be sent as "Aid To India" throughout the coming weeks.

We would like to urge every member of the university community to contribute substantially to this fund.

The donations will be sent to India earmarked for use in non-political humanitarian projects. The money will purchase badly needed supplies and medicines for Indian casualties of the Indian-Chinese conflict. Aid will be extended to the families of casualties and generally distributed among a people badly in need of help beyond that military equipment being flown in regularly.

We must not suppose that because open fighting has halted the

Indian people may relax or that the situation is not bad. In a country which must struggle desperately to feed and equip a slowly expanding army, there are millions of civilians who are suffering personal sacrifices to support the military growth. We should be more than willing, especially in this season of giving, to contribute our due for humanitarian aid to a cause so worthy.

Although a concentrated drive has not yet been launched, contributions are being solicited continually. And a good way to approach the Christmas Season would be the timely donation of some contribution to the "Aid to India" fund. Address it to:

Aid To India Committee
Prof. R. C. Bose
340 Phillips Hall
Chapel Hill, N. C.

'Incredibly Dumb...'

The current issue of Reporter magazine contains an amazing dialogue from the University of Mississippi. The author of the article "A Visit to Ole Miss" is waiting to talk to James Meredith, and overhears a conversation among Ole Miss faculty members:

"Somebody said, 'One of the deans' wives is spreading the rumour that Meredith is flunking all his subjects except political science and he's passing that only because his teacher is an integrationist.'"

"Everybody roared with laughter, except the so-called integrationist. 'I'm no integrationist,' he said to us earnestly, 'but I do believe in supporting law and order.'"

"We asked if Meredith might flunk out. Everyone said no.

"It's pretty hard to flunk out of this university," a faculty member said. "We have a lot of students here who are really incredibly dumb. Some of them think the

Lord created the world in six days. They are invariably the ones who think it came to an end last September 30 when Meredith enrolled at Ole Miss."

It appears that, at Ole Miss, "ignorance is our most important product." (JC)

Bus

The bill to buy a bus for Craige-Ehringhaus residents will come up in Legislature at Monday's proposed special session. Bill supporters say it must be passed at this meeting for the bus to be bought before second semester. If this is done, student government can go through the cashier's office to charge students for this bus service—a factor which could make or break the whole project. (JC)

"Shut Mah Mouth"



Letters To The Editors

Administrative Act Was 'Dangerous'

Wheless Hits
SG Hesitancy

To the Editors,

Rather than Student Government be dead than Administration-led. Our University has a long tradition of strong Student Government; our University has a long tradition of strong and competent University administrators; and of great importance is the tradition that Student Government and Administration are compatible entities.

Student Government and Administration have long been friends. Working together, what greater friends? (Working in diametric opposition, what greater enemies?) The alliance is an important one; but the alliance has been attacked by distrust, disapprobation and disrespect. The Administration has attempted a dangerous precedent, the full implications of which are at the moment incomprehensible when applied to our University.

Administrative cooperation is a faculty but not a necessity. Students can and will solve student problems ably and capably in spite of administration harangues. In the past these aforementioned entities have worked well together; I sincerely hope that the recent Administrative action concerning the "Beat Dook" parade does not mark the mood of the future. There is too much to be lost by hasty, ill-measured decree.

It is my hope that the Executive branch of Student Government will assume the lead in resolving this or any other student problem, regardless of legislative or judicial prodding, and regardless of Administrative threat. Compatible entities, yes; but separate.

—Dwight Wheless

Christmas
Decorations

To the Editors,

Warmest congratulations to the 450 students of the Pan Hellenic Council, under the direction of Graham Memorial, on the very beautiful job they did Sunday afternoon, decorating Graham Memorial for the Christmas season.

Hither-to, as I remember it, there has been a decorated front door, and one tree inside the lounge, with some mantel decorations.

It is delightful with two decorated trees on the porch, a huge 12 foot wreath over the main entrance.

Inside the hall, a lovely centerpiece on the table, four lighted wreaths hang from the four windows, and an evergreen arrangement, with candle, on the window sills. The two stairways are draped with long strands of evergreens and red balls and ribbons.

Inside the lounge, evergreen arrangements, with candle, on the sills of the seven windows, the mantels decorated with greens and large candles, and another huge Christmas tree, beautifully decorated with all the Christmas lights and trimmings. There was still another tree in the TV room.

I know I haven't named everything, but be sure to drop in and see the decorations and express your wonder and admiration to these boys and girls who gave so much of their time, and spent so many hours at hard labor, as their contribution towards the enhancing of the Christmas spirit. They are smarter than I am, and I wish to express our hearty thanks to them. They have added to the spirit of the season for us all.

—Otelia Connor

Clyde Wilson

Try Picketing Some Day...

Reflections from the picket line

To anyone who has never tried it, I would recommend several hours spent on a picket line for a new and vivid experience.

I spent an evening recently carrying a sign in front of Memorial Hall protesting Pete Seeger. Our purpose was to make sure that people were well-informed about his sponsor (the New Left), his record (many Communist-front affiliations) and the probable destination of their money (a "freedom rider's pocket"). If knowing this, they still wanted to put down their dollar and see the show, then they of course had every right to do so.

Those who did put down their dollar were a highly unrepresentative segment of the campus. They were mostly the self-styled bohemians and

the sillier sorts of graduate students and that group of journalism students which prides itself on its liberalism. We didn't expect anything else from them.

What did bother us was the coterie of the fraternity-sorority set who giggled, made a clever remark to their dates and swept past the picket line. They would probably agree with our line (if they took the trouble to think about it). But they wouldn't stoop to anything so uncool as to lose an evening's fun for principle. (Maybe they have to wait for their parents to tell them what to think.)

Picketing has its rewards and its liabilities.

There was the handful of nuts who actually screamed at us. There were the mouselike creatures who sidled

Finally Praises
Clotfelter

To the Editors,

Congratulations to Clotfelter for finally mustering up the courage to write a positive editorial. It is most encouraging to find out at long last that a 'student leader' with your infinite understanding of everything from international politics to the character of the past Vice-President has finally been able to find something with which you can agree, if only the Reflections magazine.

A. Gordon Appell

Team Should
Have Negroes

To the Editors:

Carolina is being cheated out of top national ranking in athletics.

Have you heard of Bobby Bell, the only repeat first string All-American? Bobby hails from Mooresville, North Carolina, but he performs his tackle duties for the University of Minnesota. Why? Because Bobby is a Negro.

Bobby would have gladly come to Carolina if he had received a scholarship; in fact, the late Jim Tatum liked Bobby so well that he arranged for Bobby to go to Minnesota. With our recruiting area restricted, we should tap the entire potential of this area. People who complain about our athletics should realize that the situation could be solved by giving scholarships to all qualified athletes from this state, regardless of race.

A Bell or two could have put Carolina in the Top Ten, as was

From Conflict Lessons India Must Learn

The recent clash between India & China told more things about many nations than any other historic event of our time. In general, it brought the unity of the free world as well as the disunity of the communist world into a sharp focus.

With admirable speed and efficiency Britain, U.S.A., and several other countries rushed to the help of India. On the other hand, Russia showed reluctance to take sides in this issue. In the Cuban incident, Russia took 3 different strategies at different times. First, she denied the existence of offensive weapons in Cuba, until the photographic evidence showed to the contrary. Then she tried to ignore the seriousness of the situation while justifying her position, until she was faced with an ultimatum from Washington. Then, finally, Russia tried to picture Kennedy as the aggressor who backed down before the Russian ultimatum. Russia took a similar line of actions regarding the Indian-Chinese dispute. First, she acted as if nothing happened between India & China. She didn't even mention about the conflict in her Party Congress in Moscow. Then, she said it was only a border trouble. Finally she began to blame the western powers for making a big issue out of a border trouble. Though Russia is quite different from what she was a few years ago, we can not take it for granted. India is still hoping against hope that salvation might come from the North.

China pushed deep into the Indian territory playing the strategy

of brinkmanship. She retreated only when the whole world reached the brink of total war. Pakistan tried to fish when the water was stirred, not realizing the danger it was inviting for itself. Most of the old "neutral" friends of India were mostly neutral to the issue.

India herself was put into a bright light. The conflict not only revealed the military unprepared & backwardness of India. Even the communication for help reached its destinations slower than one would expect at a time of crisis. People of India became more united under Nehru than ever before. However, Mr. Nehru felt the pressure of the people of India too more than ever before. It was this pressure which caused the demotion of Mr. Krishna Menon.

In her long history, India failed to think in certain realistic terms. She did not develop a realistic sense of history, of geography, of politics and of unity. India was mostly regarded as a cultural unit rather than a political or geographical unit. It was due to the lack of unity among the Indians that India fell under foreign rule quite frequently. Moreover, due to a lack of sense of politics, Delhi seldom paid any attention to the political developments in other countries. The central government was mostly for negotiation to settle the disputes between the local autonomous political units and seldom for direct actions. And each issue was taken separately to deal with, whether it was a matter of internal or external relationship.

India is barely realizing who her friends and enemies are, but yet to learn to make the fine discrimination among her friends and enemies. China is a greater threat to India than Pakistan and India can not expect help from Moscow with the same speed and sympathy in her fight with China, as it can from Washington or London, for example. India is painfully learning that something more than thundering speeches is necessary to fight an enemy. India is beginning to learn the place of might in international politics, but she has yet to learn that most helpful when one is negotiating. Before aggressors are kept back from aggression by the military preparedness of others than by the goodwill or negotiations of others. India realizes that China is a threat to her, but she is yet to learn that communism is a world enemy. India recognizes internal unity as strength, but she has yet to learn that the same principle applies to the whole free world in fighting against the world communism. If India, as part of the world community of democracy, expects help from other democracies, when her freedom is in danger, is she not morally obliged to help other democracies when their freedom is in danger, not because others helped India, but because India values democracy? India is yet to realize that there is no substitution for internal governmental efficiency. No country can help a country which is internally corrupt.

—M. J. Pittman

Students Tried To Embarrass Guest

To the Editors,

It was distressing that several Carolina students had the poor taste to take advantage of a question and answer session to rudely ridicule our guest. Acting Chief of Russia's Tass News Bureau for the U. S. and the U. N., Nikolai D. Turkatenko, visited the University Thursday evening and talked to a group of students in Carroll Hall. After his talk he answered individual questions.

Instead of taking a genuine interest in learning what Mr. Turkatenko had to say about the Russian dissemination of news, several students designed questions to embarrass our guest.

An example is a question sarcastically phrased to the effect that if a Russian reporter criticized Khrushchev, "would he be around the next day to talk about it?" It would have been quite easy to have asked how much individual freedom a Russian reporter has in criticizing his government. I am sure that a more genuine answer would have been given to such questions if they did not indicate animosity, but merely honest curiosity.

—Don Carver

Otelia On UNC Coeds

I had many reactions to my letter in the Tar Heel on Campus Manners. Most of them favorable. However, one student told me he read it and was "highly displeased" with it — (You can guess who he was). I said, "Good, I am glad you were."

One student said, "Mrs. Connor, you are always writing about the boy's manners. Why don't you say something about the girl's manners?"

I answered, "Well, I don't come in contact with the girls much. In general, I always liked men better than women, anyway."

Since I have been in Chapel Hill I have lived in the house with graduate students who are nearly always mature, and pleasant to live with. But when you do run across a few who are not thoughtful, they usually get worse instead of better as time goes on.

However, although they may not be old, they are usually the old maid type, and not the pretty, sweet ones who attract the opposite sex. I suppose they have to have some compensation for their state of sin-

gle-blessedness, and we shouldn't be too critical of them.

Most of the girls, like most of the boys, on the campus, are all right.

—Otelia Connor

Conservative Called 'Unreal'

To the Editors,

Monday evening in Memorial Hall. William F. Buckley . . . quite presumptuous, very unreal, and completely disappointing.

—John C. Ulfelder