

Fall Slates Chosen By Campus Parties



POLITICS

Candidates Meet Tonight In Howell

A compulsory candidates meeting will be held at 9:30 p.m. tonight in Howell Hall for all persons seeking an office in the fall elections.

The main purpose of the meeting is to acquaint candidates with the relevant provisions of the General Elections Law dealing with restrictions on campaign expenditures.

The only absences that will be excused are those caused by illness in the infirmary and such other excuses as approved by the Chairman of the Elections Board. Written absence excuses must be submitted to the Elections Board in the Student Government Offices, GM by 10 p.m. tonight.

Candidates not attending this meeting and not having approved excuses will be dropped as candidates. They may be reinstated by contacting Elections Board Chairman Arthur Hays at the Student Government Office or 308 Ruffin within 48 hours after the compulsory meeting and paying a \$5.00 fine.

Persons seeking a class office as an independent candidate must submit a petition stating the office they seek and signed by themselves and 25 other members of their class.

Candidates for Honor Council seats who have not been endorsed by the Honor System Commission must also submit petitions. Petitioners must either be incumbent honor councilmen or must have participated in the Honor System Commission's training program for candidates.

Petitions must state the seat of a particular judicial district the petitioner seeks.

In the case of Women's Council seats, it should be specified whether the seat is for a year or for half a year. The petition should be signed by the petitioner and 25 students living in the judicial district.

All petitions and nominations must also be submitted to the Student Government Offices or to Elections Board Chairman Arthur Hays at 308 Ruffin by 10 p.m. tonight.

The tumult and the shouting finally died down at 11 p.m. Tuesday night and the University Party and the Student Party emerged with 15 candidates each for class offices.

Hot floor battles featured the UP meeting, and the SP was a study in contrast. Both parties had close elections, and both had a few unopposed candidates.

The UP, meeting in Carroll Hall, had its hottest moments in the election for the Junior Class vice-presidency. Johnsey Massenburg, legislator and secretary of both the Freshman and Sophomore Classes, edged out Jim Bunn after a half-hour floor battle.

The SP split almost evenly between Gene Barrier and Paul Chused for the Junior Class presidency. Barrier finally won out after extended speeches for both candidates. Chused was a near-unanimous nomination for the vice-presidency, defeating Richard Ross and Jeff Crowell.

The only run-off of the night was in the University Party, when Ken Traub defeated Tim Payne, Charles Garley and Bill Woodall for Freshman vice-president.

Other presidential nominees are Sammy Leggett (UP), who will oppose Jim Brane (SP) for the freshman class top spot, and Larry Ehrhart (UP), who takes on Bill Diehl (SP) for the sophomore class. Harrison Merrill (UP) will oppose Barrier for the junior class office.

Other junior class nominees are Judy Allen (UP) and Kay Hoyle (SP) for secretary, Susan Powell (SP) and Pat Wilson (UP) for treasurer and Cynde Wright (UP) and Matilda Gholson (SP) for social chairman.

Bernard Baldwin (UP) and Dick Baddour (SP) will contest the sophomore vice-presidency. Priscilla Patterson (SP) and Faryl Sims (UP) will oppose each other for secretary, Jerry Teele (UP) and Jeff Davis (SP) vie for the post of treasurer and Ruthie Parrott (SP) and Camilla Walters (UP) are candidates for the post of social chairman.

Other freshman nominees are Mickey Gamble (UP) and John Hedrick (SP) for vice-president, Mary King (SP) and Susie Warren (UP) for secretary, Jim Hubbard (SP) and Ken Traub (UP) for treasurer and Maureen Shannon (UP) and Ann Clemmons (SP) for social chairman.

Several independent candidates are also expected to enter the elections. These will be published in the DTH on Saturday.

SL To Meet Early Tonight

Student Legislature will consider only four bills in tonight's meeting in the Di-Phi Hall, 4th floor, New East.

The meeting will begin at 7 p.m., due to a conflict with the candidate's meeting, to begin at 9 p.m.

A resolution asking for an amendment to the UNC student constitution will be offered. If approved, it would authorize the Elections Board to place the proposed amendment on the ballot. The amendment, if passed, would delete several words from the portion of the constitution dealing with the IDC.

Another bill would allot \$240 to the National Student Association for the formation of a Leadership Institute. The Institute would investigate methods in which student government could be operated more efficiently.

Area Closed

The University's recreation area on Kerr Lake has been closed until further notice.

Walter Rabb, who has charge of the UNC facility, said the area had to be closed due to extremely hazardous fire conditions.

"What we need is a good soaking rain," Mr. Rabb said. The recreation area will remain closed until the fire danger has lessened considerably, he added.

Campus Queens To Vie For Yack Beauty Title

More than 100 girls are expected to compete in the 1964 Yack-Yack beauty contest Tues., Oct. 29 in Memorial Hall at 7:30 p.m. Roy Armstrong, director of the Morehead Foundation and former admissions officer, will be the master of ceremonies.

The number of girls that will be in the court this year has been set at eight, plus the queen.

Late permission will be granted to all girls who are either in, or attending the contest.

Rehearsal for the Yack beauty contest will be Monday night, at 7 p.m. in Memorial Hall. Attendance is compulsory for all contestants.

All contestants may have their hair done free by Town and Country Beauty Salon, but it is each girl's responsibility to call for her appointment, a Yack official said.

The following is a list of contestants and sponsors, subject to change:

Gwendolyn Lee Grice, Pi Kappa Phi; Mary Ann Butcher, Pi Kappa Phi; Judy Tucker, ATO; Pam Hooper, ATO; Matilda Gholson, ATO; Sharon Nichols, Phi Mu Alpha; Diane Monroe, Cobb; Melinda Long, Cobb; Mary French Mason, Chi Omega; Elizabeth Lackey, Chi Omega; Jean Anderson, Aycock; Jerri Moser, Nurses; Dusty Anderson, Nurses; Mary King, Nurses; Sandra Rich, TEP.

Also Nancy Tillman, TEP; Frances Lichtenfels, TEP; Diane Jackson, Old West; Sally Wilson, Ruffin; Carole Miller, Ruffin; Diane Littlefield, Tri Delta; Patricia Kesler, Alpha Gam; Katharine Elizabeth Lowry, Alpha Gam; Frieda Collins, PiKA; Evelyn Long, PiKA; Em Howell, PiKA; Judy Dossenbach, Lambda Chi Alpha; Jilly Lettette, Chi Phi and Jackie Marks,

Chi Phi.

Also Ann McDaniels, Phi Kappa Sigma; Karen Phillips, St. A.; Mebane McDonald, SAE; Alice Brown, SAE; Roxanne Kalb, Epsilon Sigma; Mary Gray Teague, Joyner; Carolyn Platt, Craige; Joan Groce, Craige; Cecile Maynard, Craige; Betsy Meade, Craige; Judy Flanders, Phi Delta; Charlotte Winstead, Phi Delta; Paula Parker, Phi Delta; Bernice Bazemore, SPE; Alice Brown, SPE and Linda Kelly, SPE.

Also Toni Snider, Winston; Sandy Kelly, Kappa Kappa Gamma; Janet Eaken, Kappa Kappa Gamma; Ginger Sneagle, Kappa Kappa Gamma; Pam Ulmer KA; Sharyn Rassmussen, KA; Beth Curry, KA; Barbara Gregory, KA; Sandy Iulucci, KA; Susan Osbin, KA; Susan Jones, DU; Glenda Lee, DU and Cynde Wright, DU.

Also Gretchen Schoof, Alderman; Bonnie Raines, Smith; Francis Pierce, Pi Phi; Sally Roper, Pi Phi; Kana Bray, Angel Flight; Shelia Sherrill, Arnold Society; Sally Elmore, Sigma Chi; Barbara Martin, Sigma Chi; Donna Hennessee, Sigma Chi; Denise Saunders, Sigma Chi; Glenda Boggs, Chi Psi; Judy Bassett, Chi Psi; Joy Cain, Chi Psi; Sally Levam, Chi Psi and Louise Menettee, Chi Psi.

Also Betsy Stapleton, Chi Psi; Sandy Brown, McIver; Marsha Taylor, Kappa Epsilon; Frances Best, Whitehead; Nancy Jobst, Whitehead; Gail Hearn, Kappa Delta; Nancy Pruitt, Kappa Delta; Evelyn Whitley, Kappa Delta; Pam Weddle, ADPI; Jeane Yeager, ADPI; Chatterye Cornelius, ADPI; Gaye Glover, ADPI; Kathy Chalk, ADPI and Sondra Childress, ADPI.

Judy Nelson, Old East; Alice

(Continued on Page Three)

Students Dropped From Judicial Review Board

Decision Is Made In Private Meet

By PETE WALES

Students have been removed from the Student-Faculty Review Board, Dr. Gordon Cleveland, chairman of the Faculty Committee on Student Discipline, revealed Tuesday night.

The announcement, made before student leaders and members of the three student judicial councils, took the students by complete surprise.

The Board, created as an experiment by the faculty committee in 1956, handles all cases that are appealed from the Men's and Women's Councils.

It was composed of three or more faculty members, along with the President of the Student Body and the chairmen of both the Men's and Women's Councils.

Dr. Cleveland said that the Committee's power to make such a decision came from the University Administration Code approved by the trustees in 1957.

Final Authority

The Code delegates "full and final authority" in matters of student discipline to the chancellor and faculty.

Under the new organization presented by Dr. Cleveland, the following changes are now in effect:

(1)—The Review Board will be made up of a minimum of three faculty members and no students.

(2)—The chairman of the Faculty Committee on Discipline will be required to sit on each case.

(3)—Student representatives will be allowed to observe each case but can not examine witnesses.

(4)—Members of the press will be admitted if the defendant does not object.

(5)—The Dean of Men and all student observers will be dismissed during the deliberation of the case.

(6)—All requests for appeal will go directly to the Review Board. They have previously been made to the Chancellor who had the power to grant or deny requests.

"The Committee felt that it had responsibility in this area," Dr. Cleveland explained, "and it has come to conclusions, some of them reluctantly.

"The Committee tried to consider every possible aspect of review and to check due process. It felt that the mere presence of those who had a stake in student government and the Councils... might be prejudiced for the student.

Rights Of Defendants

"We are more concerned with the rights of the defendant than with the rights of students to sit on the Board.

"It's not a case of the faculty wanting more jurisdiction — it's within our jurisdiction — but rather it's a question of due process for the defendant.

"Every member of the Committee is deeply committed to the Honor System and Student Government."

Both Dr. Cleveland and Dean of Student Affairs C. O. Cathey said the experiment of having a Student-Faculty Review Board was not in itself a failure.

"It was a success," Dr. Cleveland said. "But the members of the present faculty committee feel that it should never have been tried.

"This Board has been quite effective. In fact some of the faculty have commented that the students are occasionally better than the faculty members.

"We hope to restore some of

(Continued on Page Three)

LIBERAL ARTS

James G. Harvey of the Harvard School of Education will speak at two group meetings this morning in 204 Gardner.

Harvey will discuss the teaching program for a MA at Harvard. All interested liberal arts students are invited to attend.

SG Reaction Is Surprise And Shock

Student leaders reacted with surprise Tuesday night to the announcement of changes in the Student-Faculty Review Board; surprise that the Faculty Committee on Student Discipline had acted without consulting students.

"I can't understand why there was no confrontation of the students in the formulation of this decision," said Mike Lawler, president of the student body.

"This was a decision the committee felt it had to make itself," Dr. Gordon Cleveland, chairman of the faculty committee, told students.

"We felt we had to take the responsibility. We felt there was sufficient reason to take these steps."

Chancellor William B. Aycock said he had not heard that the faculty committee was discussing the change until Tuesday morning.

"This is entirely the faculty's business. Their decisions in this area don't have to be okayed by either myself or President Friday."

Dr. Cleveland expressed the committee's unwillingness to discuss the situation with students because it had already made up its mind.

"The committee felt that it knew what it had decided ahead of time and that it would not be quite cricket to have negotiations when we knew the negotiations would not be in good faith.

"The committee has made its decision without negotiation and within the areas of its own jurisdiction.

"We were very much aware of the fact that there would be some objection to it."

Lawler expressed the feelings of several of the student leaders when he said:

"My reaction is a reaction to the process by which the decision has been reached.

"The content of the proposal itself should be discussed on the merits of that proposal."

Student Body Vice-President Bob Spearman objected to the committee acting in conflict with the Student Constitution.

"I am both shocked and alarmed at what they've done.

"The committee has made a decision to abrogate a section of the Student Constitution without a single word of consultation with student officials.

"The Student-Faculty Review Board was set up by the faculty committee in 1957 as a joint enterprise.

"Now the faculty has just decided to end this joint enterprise without a word of consultation with their partners."

Dr. Cleveland replied that since the faculty committee had originally set up the joint board under a trial basis, they now had the power to end the experiment.

He questioned the wisdom of the students in writing the Review Board into their Constitution, but he added: "It was done with the knowledge and the apparent good wishes of the committee at that time."

Lawler also expressed fear of a trend of similar unilateral decisions.

(Continued on Page 3)



EIGHT BALL IN THE SIDE — With a steady eye and a calm hand a visitor to GM's pool room lines up his shot. The GM pool room was equipped with new tables this year, replacing those that had become worn from use. Also, it was announced earlier this week that Craige Dorm will also get some tables, a goal they have been working on since last year.

—Photo by Jim Wallace

Carolina Roundtable

Carolina Roundtable begins a new season on WUNC-radio tonight at 7 p.m., with a discussion on "The Educational Horizon, 1963-1964."

As in the past, listeners are invited to phone in questions to the panel while the program is on the air.

Dr. John S. Clayton, associate professor of Radio, Television and Motion Pictures at the University of North Carolina will moderate the program which is in its fourth year. The panel will analyze the problems, goals, contributions, and short-comings of education on all levels with an emphasis on the needs of the pupils and adult citizens of North Carolina.

Featured panelists are Dr. W. W. Edmonds, principal of the Frank Porter Graham School of Chapel Hill; Dr. John C. Otts professor and director of graduate studies of UNC's School of Education; and Dr. Fred M. Weaver, secretary of the Consolidated University of North Carolina.

COMMUNICATIONS

The Communications Committee of Student Government will meet this afternoon at 4 p.m. in Roland Parker I.

Plans will be made for John Motley Morehead Day and subcommittees will be set up. All members are urged to attend. Any who cannot should contact Fred Seely at 968-9062 between noon and 2 p.m.



MAX MORATH and his piano will be featured tonight at 8 p.m. in a one-man show "Ragtime Revisited" in Memorial Hall. Morath has been praised by reviewers for his use of contemporary humor and period slides used in his performances. Doors open at 7:30 p.m. and admission is free.

Redistricting Plan Hit By Rep. Osteen

By J. A. C. DUNN
In The Chapel Hill Weekly

Rep. William Osteen told the UNC Young Republicans Tuesday night that the redistricting bill passed last week by a special session of the Legislature was good; that the Constitutional amendment also passed in the special session was bad; that young Republicans were good; that one-party government was bad; and that in recent years the people of North Carolina have deserved the kind of representation they have gotten.

On the redistricting bill, he said: "Not the best, but I think it will pass the test in the Federal courts."

"But the Legislature went a step further and passed a Constitutional amendment," he called the "Little Federal" amendment, to be voted on in a State-wide referendum Jan. 14, perhaps the Legislature's most inequitable act, and explained its proponents' thinking.

"They say, 'This is what we need because it copies the Federal Congress.'"

The amendment would reduce the present 120-member House of Representatives to 100 members, one for each county; and increase the present 50-member Senate to 70, distributed by population.

"But the sponsors of the amendment overlooked one thing. The Federal government was not the autonomous gov-

ernment these advocates would have it be." The original union of 13 states intended the distribution of representation according to both population and geography to insure reservation of some powers to the states, some powers to the Federal government. The states, sovereign in their own boundaries, surrendered some powers to the Federal government, and vice versa.

"But the same argument doesn't apply to counties and states because counties are not autonomous. The counties are not entitled to representation — they ought to have it, of course, but they're not entitled to it, because they are not sovereign. They are creatures of the Legislature. They can't surrender some powers to the State government in return for keeping other powers, because they haven't any powers to surrender."

The proposed amendment, said Rep. Osteen, would improve Senate representation, but would harm House representation by eliminating the 20 "floater" representatives.

"The result would be that 19 per cent of the population of the State, in the least populated counties, could dominate any legislation in the State."

"... By and large the people of North Carolina have gotten the kind of representation they deserve, because there is not very much interest in politics."

Telephone Company Ends Beeper Line 'Service'

The local phone company has apparently launched a counter attack against students who use the "beeper line."

The "beeper line" has been described thusly: All telephone calls that reach a busy number are channeled into a central circuit where the busy signal is produced.

Someone found that conversations could be carried on between callers while the busy signal beeps on endlessly in the background—and the number of possible connections is apparently limited only by those patient enough to listen.

The result has been a nightmare for the phone company and many of its customers.

Beginning early in the evening, and continuing until about midnight, dormitory residents, fraternity men, coeds and an occasional town resident have jammed the busy signal line with all types of conversation.

But, no more.

The local phone company has installed a special current that comes on when a busy signal is reached. It increases the loudness of the busy signal so that it is impossible to keep the receiver up to the ear without great discomfort.

Chapel Hill telephone manager John Cox said that the powerful busy signal would operate only during the night hours and would continue operating until the phone company discourages the practice.

"Students are about the only ones on this," Cox said. "I guess they are enjoying it. But too many phones are being tied up and we had to do something to stop it."

HIT AND RUN

The Durham Police Department requests the nurse who gave first aid in the hit and run accident on the 15-501 bypass yesterday afternoon to contact Lieutenant Jones or officer Martin at the Durham Police Department.