

The Daily Tar Heel

71 Years of Editorial Freedom

Offices on the second floor of Graham Memorial. Telephone number: Editorial, sports, news — 933-1912. Business, circulation, advertising—933-1163. Address: Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N. C.

Entered as 2nd class matter at the Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to Act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year.

Published daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations, throughout the academic year by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Company, Inc., 501 West Franklin Street, Chapel Hill, N. C.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to United Press International and utilizes the services of the University News Bureau.

The Civil Rights Bill: Part I

The Civil Rights Bill of 1963, certainly the most controversial piece of legislation to be introduced in Congress in many years, is now in the filibuster stage in the Senate.

Of course, the length of the bill makes it impossible to reprint in the DTH—we don't have the space.

But the Durham Morning Herald, utilizing the services of the Congressional Quarterly, is reprinting all sections of the bill. Certainly, it is not as good as reading the bill itself, and if you wish to do so we have several copies on hand in the office.

So here are the first four sections of the bill. We urge you to read them closely.

PREAMBLE: "An act to enforce the constitutional right to vote, to confer jurisdiction upon the district courts of the United States to provide injunctive relief against discrimination in public accommodations, to authorize the attorney general to institute suits to protect constitutional rights in public facilities and public education, to extend the Commission on Civil Rights, to prevent discrimination in federally assisted programs, to establish a Commission on Equal Employment Opportunity and for other purposes."

TITLE I—VOTING RIGHTS. In voting for federal elections, bar unequal application of voting registration requirements, denial of the right to vote because of errors or omissions on record of applications, if not material in determining voter eligibility, and the use of literacy tests not taken in writing, unless the applicant requests and state law permits oral literacy tests. Make a six-grade education a rebuttable presumption of literacy. Authorize the attorney general to request three-judge federal courts to hear voting rights suits and direct federal courts to expedite voting suits.

TITLE II—PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS. Bar discrimination on grounds of race, color, religion or national origin in any public accommodation enumerated below, if discrimination or segregation in such an accommodation is "supported" by state laws or action, if lodgings are provided to transient guests or interstate travelers are served or if a substantial portion of the goods sold or entertainment presented moves in interstate commerce. Covered were restaurants, cafeterias, lunch rooms,

lunch counters, soda fountains, gasoline stations, motion picture houses, theaters, concert halls, sports arenas, stadiums, or any hotel, motel or lodging house except owner-occupied units with five or less rooms for rent (the so-called "Mrs. Murphy" clause). Not specifically covered: barber shops, retail stores, places of amusement such as bowling alleys, or bona fide private clubs. But any establishment within or containing an accommodation otherwise covered was brought under the terms of the title. Thus, a store with a lunch counter would be covered.

Permit anyone denied access to the accommodations covered to sue in court for preventive relief through civil injunction, and authorize the attorney general to bring such a suit if the purposes of the title would be "materially furthered" by such action.

TITLE III—PUBLIC FACILITIES. Intervention in Civil Rights Suits. Upon written complaint of aggrieved individuals, permit Justice Department suits to secure desegregation of state or locally owned, operated or managed public facilities when the attorney general certifies that the aggrieved persons are unable to initiate or maintain appropriate legal proceedings because of financial limitations or potential economic or other injury to themselves or their families.

Also, permit the attorney general to intervene in already filed suits in the federal courts where persons have alleged deprivation of equal protection of the laws on account of race, color religion or national origin. (This section was not limited to public facility cases.)

TITLE IV—PUBLIC EDUCATION. Require the U.S. Office of Education to report within two years on progress of school desegregation at all levels; authorize the office to give technical and financial assistance, if requested, to local school systems in the progress of desegregation, both through grants and loans; authorize the attorney general to file suit for the desegregation of schools and colleges if he receives signed complaints and certifies that the aggrieved individuals are unable to initiate or maintain proper legal proceedings because of financial limitations or potential economic or other injury to themselves or their families.

(To be continued.)

The Tar Heels Wrap Up Another One

Not one, fans, but two! Conference championships, that is.

The Tar Heels shut out Wake yesterday, running their season's record to 11-0, and even if they manage to lose their final three games, no one is going to catch them. It's off to Gastonia for the NCAA District III playoffs, and then, if our ability holds out, the national championships.

Fred Seely, Hugh Stevens

Co-Editors

Managing Editor — John Montague
Associate Editor — Mickey Blackwell
Editorial Page Editor — Pete Wales
News Editor — Dennis Sanders
Copy Editor — Nancy McCracken
Sports Editor — Larry Tarleton
Photo Editor — Jim Wallace
Editorial Assistant — Shirley Travis
Staff Artist — Chip Barnard
Reviews — Henry McInnis

BUSINESS STAFF

Business Manager — Art Pearce
Adv. Manager — Fred McConnell
Asst. Adv. Manager — Woody Sobol
Asst. Bus. Manager — Sally Rawlings

Coach Walter Rabb's charges play two games in South Carolina this weekend, but return home for the season finale a week from today to play Duke.

Then just an exhibition game with Davidson in Gastonia stands before the Tar Heels and the playoffs. So mark that date down on your calendar—Wednesday, May 13.

The Tar Heels play Duke, and a record crowd would be a good way to spur our boys on to national honors, something we haven't had since 1957. See you there?

Cone Out, Peacemaker

We have said that we welcome columns, and that we do. But, unfortunately, the ethics of journalism force us to limit columns to those which are free of libel, in good taste and signed.

Yesterday we received one in the mail signed by "The Peacemaker." It was an excellent piece of writing, and we would like very much to print it, but alas.

Who is "The Peacemaker?" Come see us. Talent should not be hidden behind a pseudonym.

Integration, YDC, Radio Discussed

Subtle Point Gets Extra Emphasis

Editors, The Daily Tar Heel:

Last week the DTH printed a borrowed article which made a good point. But it made it only in very subdued tones and to ward the end. I doubt if many people read that long article all the way to the end; also, it would be easy to read it and not see the subtle point.

The point needs to be shouted. It goes like this: it's not right to say that an idea is a bad one just because the Communists happen to like it. Maybe I am in favor of a certain thing for good reasons of my own. Then I find out that the Communists are in favor of it too, but for other reasons — bad reasons, from my point of view. For ex-

ample, maybe they think that by advocating this idea they will hurt the United States. Now I think they are mistaken. I believe that this thing we're both in favor of will be good for the United States. The people who are on the extreme right today would have us to believe that as soon as we find out that the Communists are in favor of something we should renounce it. All right, so the Communists think they will use some cause to spread chaos, undermine our way of life, and take over. It hasn't occurred to the extreme right that the Communists may be less than omniscient in their choice of ways to subvert us, that the Communists may think a certain cause will hurt us, when maybe it will really help us. Nor has it occurred to the extreme right that, if everybody followed their ideas, this would

give the Communists total control over us without taking over. The reason it would do that is that all that the Communists would have to do in order to make us oppose something would be to speak in favor of it, and all that they would have to do to get us to favor something would be to speak in opposition to it. It's a wonder that the extreme right has not condemned shoes and goulashes!

Now let's make an application. At an opportune time, the Federal Bureau of Investigation announced that there are Communists in the civil rights movement (as if this was something new). So what? There are probably Communists in business, Communists in farming, Communists in labor, Communists in both the Ku Klux Klan and the Black Muslims. The question to be considered is whether or not

the civil rights movement is good for the United States. I think it is, and the Communists think it's not. So I'm for it and they're for it, but for different reasons. Judge for yourself whether it's a just and good cause. Then be for it or against it on the basis of what you, as an educated and loyal American, think of it—not on the basis of what the Communists think of it.

Timothy Ray
202 E. Rosemary, Apt. 1

Dept. Of Defense Chastises DTH

Editors, The Daily Tar Heel:

I am afraid the headline on your report of my discussion with Senator McGovern does not quite match the accuracy of the report itself. I do not believe that defense spending is hurting the country; indeed, I think it is very clear that this country can readily afford whatever is needed to provide for our security. I think the current Defense budget is a reasonable one. I believe my remarks during the Symposium made both these points.

Let me also note that although you have honored me with a doctorate, no one else has had the good judgment to do so. With best wishes,
Asst. Secretary of Defense
Adam Yarmolinsky

Leaders Fail To Contact Members

Editors, The Daily Tar Heel:

Being a faithful member of the UNC-YDC, yet missing one meeting, I was denied the privilege of voting for YDC officers. This same fate was mine last year after I had anxiously awaited the coming elections. Earlier this year it was suggested to the past president that letters be sent out to announce meetings; faithfully he went about this task, sending something like three letters the entire year, one of which did not relate directly to UNC-YDC meetings. But this was an improvement over last year. With such improvement being attempted how could anyone pull the something less than astute move of again electing officers by 25 "individuals" representing 400 without notification of all members. This seems to be more of an appointment of officers.

The Moore-Preyer issue is not the main point, although it may have been with the gathering of brilliant politicians a few nights ago. The main concern results from the ineptitude of the UNC-YDC officers as evidenced in the

UNC's Co-Ed Problems: Over-Protected Immaturity

By PETE RANGE

Ed. Note: Pete Range is a senior from Athens, Ga., majoring in German. He spent 1961-62 in Germany on the Goettingen Scholarship and half a year in India. He has traveled throughout Europe and the Near East. This is the first of three articles on the University's attitude toward its women students.

One of the most striking sensations I had upon re-entering American society at UNC last spring after my stay in Europe and Asia was that the maturity of my fellow students was lower than I found abroad. More specifically, the women students seemed unbelievably immature compared to their European sisters. I felt like I was suddenly among girls again, instead of young women.

What is it about our woman student that makes her seem more like a "girl of 20" than a "young woman of 20," even when she graduates from college?

The most common and obvious criticism of the Carolina coed is that she is neither informed about nor interested in anything of a serious nature. Not only does she not know the difference between the views of H. R. Gross and Wayne Morse (or who they are!), but she usually lives in an aura of ignorance about her own university, about the operations of student and faculty affairs alike.

Firstly, then, our women are sworn non-intellectuals—or better, anti-intellectuals. It is troublesome. It takes work. It is of no importance to us Americans in our affluent, isolated society, where the pressure of the Cold War and the urgency of

civil rights and the war on poverty can be ignored. And—most of all—intellectual endeavor is unbecoming to the "sweet Southern belle."

Here we come to one of the sorest points: our women (like our men) are obsessively concerned with acceptance and approval by the opposite sex. And for this approval, our women feel that an eighteenth-century naive, singular stupidity and rivers of honey-tongued sweetness must be the substance of her personality. Even those few who sometimes feel a desire to discuss something more than the new Mustang, the relative merits of Old Crow over Kentucky Gentleman and the wonders of the Doug Clark combo feel obliged to suppress their curiosity if they shall incur the disfavor of the equally ignorant Carolina gentleman.

Be it far from me to wish our women to abandon reasonable attempts to look good, be cheerful and please their men! I have to much of the Georgian in me to reject the Southern belle! But unless this sweet personality is combined with an independent and inquisitive mind, the young lady is more of an insult to the South than an asset.

A high school poll I participated in some years ago, turned up the conclusion that (1) girls should go to college today, and (2) that they are not primarily motivated by the search for husbands. I believed that then and was very encouraged. But my years at Carolina have drained that faith.

Judging from the approach and lack of sincere concern our coeds show towards their courses here, one wonders what college means

to them besides a stop-off to occupy themselves until the men become able to marry them. At most it provides some of them with training to get a job (teaching) to extend the interim between high school and marriage a bit. So it is clear why their greatest interests here are social and not academic; one's actions must, after all, be in keeping with one's goals.

To me one of the most serious aspects of the problem is that our women never learn social maturity. They do not get any practice in making independent decisions about behavior in society.

While the male student has complete discretion as to the regulation of his life from the day he enters the university, the woman student has discretion over her life only between certain hours, only in certain places (she cannot leave the county without permission or spend the night out), and only in certain dress. And then her freedom still finds little fences scattered all about the battleground, "protecting" her here and there, guarding her against the evil demons in her soul which would weaken her ruin if she were allowed to make decisions for herself.

At the heart of this problem, I think, stands the misguided, well-meaning, nineteenth-century rules system regulating the behavior of women students on our campus. Not simply the system as such, but the fundamental presumption upon which it is grounded, creates an atmosphere very detrimental to the social maturation of the girls. This will be discussed further tomorrow.

... And Those Are My Parting Shots!



Communists Can Sing & Dance At East Carolina

By DENNIS SANDERS

Tra-la-la-la, those nasty old Communists can't speak on state-supported college campuses, but they can sing and dance, says Assistant Attorney General Ralph Moody.

Moody said recently that the controversial Speaker Ban Law does not apply to artists and entertainers.

That's very interesting. Students of college age are not allowed to hear Communist or Communist-affiliated scholars speak on their respective fields, but entertainment, Red or otherwise, is allowed.

This is another instance which points out, or seems to point out, the absurdity of the so-called Gag Law. There is more than enough American entertainment floating round the country, as was proven in last week's Jubilee. And there are some known Communist scholars who might impart knowledge and enlightenment were they permitted to speak on campus.

Moody made his comments in response to a question posed by Dr. Leo Jenkins, president of East Carolina College, where the Raduga Russian Folk and Ballet Ensemble was invited to perform next fall.

"The performance of such a folk and ballet ensemble composed of Russian personnel is not an appearance on the campus of your college for 'speaking purposes,'" Moody told Jenkins. Horashe! (That's Russian for "great.")

Spoken or sung, a word is a word, and Red speakers aren't permitted to speak a word on campus. There is a difference between a performance for entertainment purposes and a scholarly address, but a Communist is a Communist, whether he's speaking, dancing or eating in Lenoir Hall.

The 1963 law forbids Communists, any persons who pleaded the Fifth Amendment in security cases and advocates of the overthrow of the government from speaking on state-supported campuses.

It seems the law was original-

past few years at UNC. Few YDC clubs even come close to being as large as ours, yet I would maintain that many arouse much more enthusiasm, as does the lesser UNC-YRC. The slow death of the YDC here results from such election stunts as recently seen, combined with attitudes of "undergraduates don't care about anything but campus politics" and "letters don't achieve greater participation," although to my knowledge when letters were sent out, participation did increase.

If 400 letters bring out only 10 more people is the YDC not just a little more active? Surely it is and just as surely with the previous negative attitudes, negative leadership abunds and with it negative participation. Thank you politically astute leaders for the demise of our YDC.

Charles M. Taylor II
1209 Hillview Road

Reader Agrees Radio Terrible

Editors, The Daily Tar Heel:

I believe many students are in agreement with Henry McInnis' article "Primitive Sounds Dominate The Airways All Day Long" (DTH, Sunday, May 3).

There is much the commercial stations can do to improve their programming. I believe the University should take the first step by making WUNC-FM's programs available to the great majority of students by installing AM transmission facilities. Most of us do not own FM receivers due to their high cost and thus must miss many good programs. The initial cost of the facilities would be the only objection to their use. WUNC-AM would have no competitors with other local stations, and if they object to this programming, they could fight fire with fire and make the day's listening much better.

Sam Blate
716 W. Main St.
Carboro

Letters

The Daily Tar Heel invites comments on current topics from its readers regardless of viewpoint. All letters to the editors should be typewritten, double-spaced and of reasonable length. All letters must be signed, with the address of the author. No letter considered libelous or in poor taste will be printed.

riedly rushed it through the General Assembly, to prevent the infiltration of Communist propaganda into our childish minds. It also seems we are too young to decipher for ourselves what is right and wrong, good and bad, healthy and diseased. In brief, we are children.

All this legislative jumble means that a Communist scientist or physicist can't speak on his vocation. But the powers-that-be have relented and allowed the "children" under their wings to enjoy entertainers. Great! (That's English for "great!")

In November of this year, the Moscow Chamber Orchestra was allowed to perform at UNC, but only because University officials took the position that the so-called Gag Law applied only to speakers, not musicians.

This is a credit to the officials involved; at least they managed to bring some high-class (although Communist) entertainment to the campus for the benefit of those who were deprived by the Gag, the students.

Still, the question of what harm a Red speaker could do arises. Any number of books on Communism and Marxism can be read at the Wilson Library, and you can't ask a book a question. When the reading is finished, the printed words are still there for thought. On the other hand, a Communist speaker's words, like those of any other speaker, float through the air. Some are remembered; more are forgotten. And you can ask a speaker questions, should he be bold enough to infiltrate his talk with propaganda.

What it all boils down to is this: in the eyes of those backing the Speaker Ban Law, we are too immature to hear a speech and draw conclusions on it ourselves. Rather, we are to be spoon-fed, led by the hand, and endowed with someone to think for us. It speaks poorly of us, but until some same minds amend the Gag that chokes us, we are stuck with it.

Anyway, see you and your Red friends at ECC.