

The Daily Tar Heel

Second Class Postage Paid at Chapel Hill, N. C.

Bad Math Made Good

Yesterday we named our ace reporter, Kerry Sipe, "Mathematician of the Week." He gained this honor (?) as a result of a statement he made in his story on the DTH poll.

Kerry figured that approximately 57 per cent of the people polled chose President Johnson, and the next day revealed that the same poll showed 71 per cent of the female students favoring the President.

Believe it or not, there is a reason for the figures, which are essentially accurate.

We wanted to break down the total by sexes, but in printing the questionnaire we neglected to leave a space for the person polled to indicate if he was a he or vice versa. We also said the blank for the pollee's name could be left blank, if the person wanted obscurity.

So all we could do when it came time to break the poll down by sexes was to go by the names (there aren't many girls named George you know) on the questionnaire, and compute from there.

Thus, we analyzed the voting of every person who gave his or her name, and we found the results to be weighted heavily in favor of the President—far more heavily, in fact, than for the campus as a whole. In this, we sense a possible hidden meaning — a meaning that could make the November 3 election much closer than the forecasters think.

The name Barry Goldwater has been made into the equal of Adolf Hitler by the nation's press and political leaders who do not agree with the Arizona Senator's political philosophy, and it has reached the point at which some people are ashamed to admit for whom they are going to cast their ballot.

Sen. Goldwater has certainly come under some of the most vicious attacks to be lavished on a candidate in years. And even though he merits a great deal of criticism, nobody — not even him — could be as berserk as many critics would have the world believe. If all the things said about him were true, he would be Public Enemy No. 1.

But many of those things are not true, and there are many, many people who are going to vote for Goldwater because they admire him and respect his views. We are not among those people, but we do not label all of them Birchers, Kluxers or Fascists, as is the general wont of the nation's press.

The fact that President Johnson is the top-heavy favorite among UNC students who will reveal their name, but just a mild favorite among all students polled, leads us to believe the Arizona Senator could have a huge silent vote just waiting until it gets into a voting booth all by its lonesome.

And everyone is equal in that booth. Even Fascists.

Next Time—First Place

A disappointment which must certainly rank among the biggest of the year in the world of athletic competition came to every American sports fan on Friday when the hardy Russians, relying on their specialties of gymnastics and boxing to overhaul the U. S. in the number of total medals won in the Tokyo Olympics.

Actually, it was doubtful from the beginning of the games that our swimming, track and basketball teams, good as they were, would be able to carry our weaker squads and nail down a final overall victory for the U.S. The better balance of the Russians paid off in the end.

But all is not lost. The U. S. won most of the prestige events in the games, dominating swimming and track as no nation has ever done, and the final American total of 90 medals represents a fantastic jump over the 71 captured at Rome in 1960. The U. S., considered a flop four years ago, flexed some revitalized muscles last week, with a promise of even greater things to come.

Our renewed national interest in physical conditioning and athletic training has begun to pay off. If we do not slow down in our efforts, Mexico City (1968) will find the U. S. on top. We owe it to ourselves as a nation to stick with it.

Patriot To Clean Campus

Council Should Purge Reds

By PETE WALES
Associate Editor

Thank heavens for one thing in this Presidential campaign.

We have now been officially warned by certain candidates of the evils of Communism, socialism, radicalism (extremism okay) and atheism.

Thank heavens also for the activists among our students who wish to put the doctrine into practice and rid the campus of evil.

We were ambling through Y-Court the other day, whistling a tune from "My Fair Lady," when we encountered a frantic young coed stalking about and waving a newspaper.



"You've got to help me," she said. "I want to get on the Women's Honor Council."

"Why?" we asked. "We've got to do something. There are Communists and radicals all over the campus. I've just been reading about it." She thrust a copy of the Daily Tar Heel in my face.

"Look at that. Straight out-and-out creeping socialism. Someone has to show a little initiative. Someone has to make the first step."

"What do you plan to do?" "Get on the Women's Council. Try them all for violations of the Honor Code and Campus Code."

"How is this a violation?" we asked, somewhat overcome. "Violations! Of course it's a violation. Do you think it's lady-like to be a Communist? Do you think it's honest?"

"What's dishonest about it?" "Why it's all a pack of lies. They're trying to subvert the minds of poor innocent students who are too young to know any better. Would you let your little sister go to school with a radical?"

We had to admit that this would be a terrible blow.

"Lies! It's all a pack of lies. Anyone with an education who's old enough to know any better knows that they're feeding us the Communist, socialist, atheist line. They don't believe in God and they go around stirring up trouble. And they . . . they (here she leaned over to whisper) . . . miscegenate."

"No, you don't really mean it," we shuddered. "Do you think the students can handle it." "I hadn't thought of that," she said. "Maybe we should give it to the Administration. After all, a lot of students are young and impressionable and they might be poisoned right there in the trials."

"That's right," we said, "You never know for sure until it's too late."

"We ought to beef up the At-

torney General's staff also," she said. "We could write J. Edgar Hoover and get some pointers."

"Yea, maybe Bobby Kennedy too."

She started, recoiled, then stumbled backwards, her mouth a yawning cavern. "Oh no," she said weakly.

"What's the matter?" we asked.

"Oh no, don't tell me. You,

you . . ."

"After all, he did a pretty good job on Jimmy Hoffa."

"You . . . you're one of them too," she screamed.

She clutched her head with both hands and ran hysterically across the street, past the Bloody Old Well and the Davie Poplar. We couldn't swear to it, but we thought we heard a gun go off as she rounded Silent Sam.

A Closer Look At Labour's Win

CHRISTOPHER KELLERMAN
(Ed. note: Mr. Kellerman is a British citizen and a member of the Labour Party.)

Labour's victory puts an end to 12 years of Conservative rule, although the people are already predicting new elections within two years.

The result was in many ways a negative vote against the Conservatives rather than a positive vote for Labour. It was a desire for a change and a new approach that prompted many middle class Conservatives to vote Labour.

The last Tory term of office has seen many domestic failures, which made the Conservative posters "You have never had it so good" sound rather hollow. Britain's economy has been characterized by a "stop-go" situation whereby increased growth leads to inflation and balance of payment difficulties, which is then counteracted by wage restraints and a general stunting of growth in the economy.

This has meant that Britain has not approached a four per cent growth rate, which the Conservatives promised, and falls far behind the Common Market countries.

The balance of payments difficulties (the difference between exports and imports) have been caused primarily by miserable export figures, which will get worse as the Common Market tariffs are further varied to outsiders.

Labour has promised export subsidies and special tax rebates as export incentives. Also by the system of "government development contracts" Labour hopes to boost the economy by increased public spending and aid to science-based industries.

The trouble is that this can easily lead to protectionism and restrictive practices, which keep uneconomical industries running through public spending. Labour has also promised to increase taxation on company profits as well as modify the capital gains tax.

One of the major differences between the two parties has been over defense, and in particular over Britain's independent nuclear deterrent. Labour has said it will get rid of Britain's deterrent and will concentrate on building up a strong, mobile conventional force.

Conservatives on the other hand have maintained that in order for Britain to remain a world power and have a place at summit conferences, it is essential that it has an independent nuclear deterrent.

This has cost Britain a great deal of money. The Blue Streak ICBM was cancelled three years ago after it had cost over \$300 million to develop and when America cancelled Skybolt, Britain's bomber force became obsolete and was handed over to NATO. The Conservatives intended to buy Polaris from America.

Neither party has committed itself in the mixed man nuclear

fleet and it remains to be seen whether Labour will participate.

One of the biggest disappointments for the Conservatives was the Common Market failure since they placed such importance on entry that De Gaulle's veto came as a great shock. Since then the Conservatives have been trying to re-orientate themselves and find a satisfactory alternative to the Common Market.

The Commonwealth, which might have been a possible alternative, is in a period of great transition with many of the newly independent members seeking markets and support from countries outside.

Labour has laid down "five conditions" for joining the Common Market, although it has always seemed very lukewarm about Britain's entry and there is nothing to indicate that Labour will try again.

Personalities don't usually play a great part in British elections, although this year was an exception. The selection of Douglas-Home represented the old, land-holding aristocracy aspects of the Conservative party, which was just the image they had to avoid. It would have been much better for the Conservatives to have chosen either Mr. Butler or Mr. Maudling, since they are both much closer to the middle-class urban voter than Douglas-Home could ever have been.

Also Harold Wilson, the new Prime Minister, went to a grammar school (state-owned) and has a very down-to-earth way of speaking, which greatly enhanced the Labour cause.

The Labour Party's trade union, working class image has also been greatly modified, which was essential, since without the support of the middle-class white collar workers Labour would never have been elected. The Labour party was founded on traditionally trade union, working class lines and it is only recently that other social classes have been willing to support Labour.

The major proposals that Labour have put forward concern an incomes policy, which should prove more successful than with the Conservatives, because of Labour's connection with the trade unions.

With regards to nationalization, Labour has promised to renationalize steel and take over urban development which is very sensible considering the amount of profiteering that goes on in land development.

Other Labour legislation could be to abolish capital punishment, which is a large blot on British justice and long overdue.

I don't envisage any really spectacular changes on either the domestic or international fronts and relations between Britain and America should in no way be weakened.

I think Labour will exercise a dynamic policy towards Russia and on past visits to Moscow, Harold Wilson has been well received, having the advantage that he speaks Russian.

OOPS!

The DTH inadvertently left out two lines in a letter to the editor from Mrs. John A. Zunes Thursday. The corrected paragraph in a letter about William Stringfellow reads:

"Fascism is so subtle and evil that it can creep into our society without being recognized. The open Nazi hates Goldwater because of his Jewish background. The subtle fascists are using him."