Lauderdale.'

U.M.C. Library

DTH Editorial Page

Opinions of the Daily Tar Heel are expressed in its editorials. Letters and columns, covering a wide range of views, reflect the personal opinions of their authors.

The Name Game Distortion

Supporters of the N. C. State name change bill have charged, among other things, that the Raleigh institution has been "neglected" in budgetary support from the General Assembly since it became part of the University in 1934.

The charge is simply not true, as the Raleigh News and Observer carefully pointed out yesterday morning.

The newspaper brought to light data obtained from the Consolidated University office which show that, in the 30 years of consolidation, the Raleigh branch has received 45.1 per cent of the funds allocated to the University, while Chapel Hill-including the health center-has received 43.2 per cent.

Thus it is clear that legislators and others who have been crying "discrimination" have been poor-mouthing without benefit of the facts. Had they bothered to find out that the Raleigh branch was receiving more money than any other despite possession of only 32.7 per cent of the enrollment (Chapel Hill has 47.5 per cent), they might have been less eager to complain.

Indeed, the mere existence of their unfounded charges means that those who base their arguments on facts are now faced with the unenviable task of cleaning up the air behind a group of name change supporters who are apparently content to let emotion be their guide.

The name change issue is a delicate one which will affect the entire University, and it is certainly not the time to play fast and loose with the facts. Indeed, if there has ever been a time for the most careful consideration of all sides of an issue, it is now.

Those who want the name "North Carolina State University at Raleigh" do have some points in their favor, much as we disagree with them. But they can only harm themselves, and others, by attempting to appeal their case on the basis of misleading or untrue informa-

The record has been set straight, and those who slanted it will have to suffer the consequences of their error. Let us hope they refrain from making similar mistakes in the future.

Selma: Justice Sheds A Tear

Justice shed a tear this week as man's inability to tolerate his fellow man ended in death in strife-torn Selma, Ala-

Rev. James J. Reeb, the Boston minister who fell victim to hatred and intolerance in our sister state, leaves behind a weeping family, a legacy of dedication to a cause, and a sober nation.

Across the country yesterday, millions of Americans paused as they read the headlines proclaiming the tenth death in Alabama racial strife since 1962, and as they did so, probably most of them voiced an almost audible question: "Why?"

Why Rev. James Reeb? Because he was in a place where he thought he was needed at a time when hate-filled men went seeking a victim. Probably they had no special reason for choosing James Reeb, as evidenced by the fact that two of his companions were included in the

brutal attack that took his life.

But there is a bigger question-why

The answer is an old one, and one which continues to plague this, a nation founded on the principles of freedom and justice. The answer is that for some men in the Selmas of the nation, hatred is stronger than understanding and "the preservation of tradition" a stronger motive than tolerance.

As the world shed its tear, it also voiced the hope that the persons who committed this heinous crime would be brought to justice . . . a justice which they themselves obviously could not find in their hearts.

But even justice now cannot erase the fact that a man has died, and the line from a popular balled keeps returning to haunt those who view the violence in Selma: "How many deaths will it take? . . ."

It has already taken far too many.

. . . And The Good Old Weekly Awards MAN OF THE WEEK: Everyone

who had a part in letting Bell's chain of hamburger stands know that they can take their "Colonial-Style" hamburger stand and erect it where it would do most good—that is, as far away from Chapel Hill as possible.

LIZARD OF THE WEEK: The little bands of party hacks who are apparently touring the campus tearing down any and all opposition posters they can get their hands on.

THE QUALITY EDUCATION CITA-

The Daily Tar Heel

72 Years of Editorial Freedom

The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carelina and is published by students daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations.

Fred Seely, Hugh Stevens, co-editors; Mike Yopp, Ernie McCrary, managing editors; Pete Wales, associate editor; Larry Tarleton, sports editor; Mary Ellison Strother, wire editor; Mike Wiggin, night editor; erry Sipe, John Greenbacher, Fred Thomas staff writers; Richard Cummins, Mike Jennings, feature writers; Pete Gammons, asst. sports editor; Perry McCarty, Pete Cross, Bill Lee, Tom Haney, sports writers; Jock Luaterer, photographer; Chip Barnard, cartoonist; Jack Harington, bus. Mgr.; Betsy Gray, asst. bus. mgr.; Woody Sobol, ad. mgr.; John Askew, asst. ad. mar .: Tom Clark, subscription mgr.; John Evans, circulation mgr.; Dick Baddour; Jan Jorgensen, Dan Warren, salesmen; Becky Timberlake, Aleva Smith, secretaries.

Second Class postage paid at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc. The Associated Press is entitled exclusively to the use for republication of all local news printed in this newspaper s well as all AP news dispatches.

TION: To the Kellogg Cereal Co., which distributed 4.5 million biographies of Huck Finn on the backs of its Sugar Smacks boxes, only to discover they had given Huck an "Aunt Sally" not mentioned by Mark Twain.

FACT OF THE WEEK: At the most recent survey, there were only 270 living Polar Eskimoes.

OUR SPECIAL SAFETY AWARD: To the town fathers of Chapel Hill, who finally put a traffic light at the corner of Rosemary and Hillsboro Streets, after at least 13 accidents in the past 15 months at that corner.

THE LENOIR HALL AWARD: To a dining room at a women's residence hall Rochester (N. Y.) University, where a one-inch bolt was found in a bowl of spinach. It was observed by the school newspaper that even though spinach provides iron required to build bodies 12 ways, it should not be given in such concentrated portions.

GOOD NEWS OF THE WEEK: UNC's baseball team is not ranked in the Top Ten in pre-season polls.

DISAPPOINTMENT OF THE MONTH: The "anti-necking" bill introduced in the General Assembly a week ago didn't pass, thereby preventing everyone from finding out how in the world it would have been enforced.

WHITE MAN OF THE WEEK: Whoever decreed that the Confederate flag should be flown on the dome of Alabama's State Capitol, thus relegating the American flag to a staff on the side

THEY DON'T WANT HIM EITHER: Dean of Men William G. Long's office was moved from the third floor of South Building to the basement.



Letters To The Editors

Campaign Taste Questioned

Seely Overdoes Bid For Clark

Editors, the Tar Heel:

Admittedly the prestige and the quality of a college community depends on the abilities and the attitudes of its students. particularly its outstanding ones whether they are scholars, athletes, or Student Government leaders. I also recognize that it is in the best interests of the University to try to "recruit" this high caliber of stu-

UNC has many excellent opportunities to offer to this type of students, and they are well presented throughout the state by agencies such as the Morehead Scholarship Committee and the Student Government National Merit Scholarship by Carolina Committee and students and alumni as well.

Nevertheless, even in this feverish yearly competition for outstanding young potential, Coeditor Seely's impassioned plea to Fayetteville's Rusty Clark is distasteful to me for several

akin to the "second coming." His advent, Mr. Seely implies, induce unprecedented swooning on the part of faculty, opposing basketball teams, the freshman class, and coeds. I was surprised that Mr. Seely didn't mention the advantages of the Arboretum (Davidson

Mr. Clark appears to be an outstanding young man. Nevertheless, if he comes to Carolina, he will find that there are students who disagree with his ideas, professors who doubt his genius, and someone might dare to run against him for freshman class president. hope that Mr. Clark does not try to assume the role of messiah — supperman that Mr. Seely is trying to place on him.

doesn't have that either).

The article also contains a derogatory implication about Student Government in general. Mr. Seely awards the presidency of next year's freshman class to Mr. Clark (who would vote against him?)

If this decision can be made a year in advance without having heard either candidate, then Student Government amounts to Mr. Seely assures Mr. Clark little more than playing in a that his appearance will be re- sandbox. Such highly personal-

garded as something closely ized recruiting should not be carried on in this manner, if at all, when it entails a contempt for the judgement of Carolina students and also for Mr. Clark's.

Mike Byrd 620 Ehringhaus

Pratt Misquotes DTH Reporters

Editors, The Tar Heel: It has been brought to our attention that Norwood Pratt, candidate for the DTH editorship, used our names as references at a meeting in Joyner Hall Monday night in conjunction with his campaign speech.

As we were not present at the time, we hesitate to attack the statements attributed to us concerning Mr. Pratt's qualifications for the post. However, we do resent being implicated in any way with his candidacy without our express consent and ask that Mr. Pratt refrain from any further actions of this na-

Tom Clark Kerry Sipe DTH Staff

Don Carson

On March 23, you will choose The office of Student Body man to succeed me as Presi- President is one requiring dent of the Student Body. I do not envy that man. The administration of Carolina's Student Government complex becomes increasingly difficult with each

You have an important choice to make. If the man you choose is inexperienced in Student Government programs and inept in diplomacy with the University administration, an unimaginative and ineffectual Student Government will be the result and the Student Body will be the ultimate losers.

For this reason, I was greatly pleased that Don Carson was chosen by the University Party as its Presidential candidate. Don has an outstanding record of service to the University community. He is almost single - handedly responsible for the initiation of several valuable programs including Residence Hall social room improvements and campus radio. Don was one of the initial backers of the Residence College System and has made many valuable contributions to the Residence College concept. Don's ability is a matter of

record. I feel that the choice is clear.

Bob Spearman

Ernie McCrary

The Daily Tar Heel needs an editor who is completely familiar with every facet of the production of a daily newspaper.

It needs the kind of editor who will merit the support and enthusiasm of the experienced Tar Heel staff members.

It needs a man who is neither a political radical nor a political weak-sister. It needs an editor who knows enough to lead instead of follow, and will turn the paper into a smoothly operating and constantly improving publication.

We believe that Ernie Mc-Crary is the only candidate who meets these qualifications. Mike Yopp,

DTH Managing Editor Larry Tarleton, **DTH Sports Editor** Kerry W. Sipe, John E. Greenbacker, Fred Thomas, **DTH Staff Writers**

Tom Clark, DTH Subscription Manager Mary Ellison Strother, DTH Telegraph Editor Becky Timberlake, **DTH Secretary**

Jim Hubbard, University Party Chairman Sonny Pepper, President of MRC James W. Light,

Treasurer, Student Body Teddy O'Toole, Chairman, Student Legislature Rules Commtitee Tim Haithcock, Governor, Morehead Col-

Nita Wilkinson, Social Chairman, Freshman Sandy Hobgood,

Chairman. Y-Dorm Speaker

Above all, electing Norwood Pratt insures a fair and responsible newspaper, intelligently concerned with student and University problems.

The UNC campus is not dead. It is time the Tar Heel came

Pete Wales -DTH Associate Editor,

John Leonard -President, Parker Hall Randy Old -President, Everett Hall Wayne King -

former Editor, DTH Bill Schwartz — Gerry Hancock -

dence Council Rick Edwards -President, YMCA Chuck Wyre -

Festival John Hill -Varsity football

NSA candidate Steve Dennis -Chairman, The Toronto

1964 Symposium Kay Phillips -President, East Cobb Neal Jackson -

NSA Coordinator

New Approach On Gag Law

By TIMOTHY RAY Third in a Series

In Part II of this series, the change of the name "Free Speech Movement" to "Free Speech Forum" was explained as being due to an effort to clarify the prevalent and disunderstanding of the ideas and efforts of Mr. James Gardner and others.

It was pointed out that Mr. Gardner's motive was founding of an open forum for the free discussion of ideas and in the significant social and educational issues which had emerged from the activities of Free Speech Movements at Berkeley and elsewhere - not in the sometimes disruptive methods by which the Berkeley students had initially expressed their desire for a more free and creative educational experi-

Also, it was explained that one can subscribe to a belief in the value of an open forum for the free discussion of ideas without necessarily agreeing with particular views on contemporary events and issues in Chapel Hill. This central idea has been spoken of highly by a number of influential persons in Chapel Hill.

Chancellor Sharp wrote Mr. Gardner a letter in which he said, "Your desire to conduct forums on pertinent topics of interest to Carolina students is commendable."

It has been the position of the Administration that efforts were being made at the highest levels of University authority to exert a constructive influence which might enable the North Carolina State Legislature to understand better the lack of need for, or appropriateness of. the Speaker Ban Law.

It is best, this viewpoint main- ministration - sponsored Univertains, to treat this issue through the proper channels, in order to avoid creating any undesirable repercussions in the form of increased opposition to a removal, or an amending, of the

Now the Administration's viewpoint has a certain initial plausibility to it. Certainly, one would think, our methods of opposition to the Ban ought not to be offensive methods which might actually reinforce the Ban and thereby undermine our own efforts to have it re-

Despite the apparent reasonness of this point of view, it contains, I believe, an enormous fallacy that completely undermines the position's plausibility, once that fallacy is thoroughly understood.

I do not wish to criticize or underestimate at this time the Administration's efforts to have the Ban removed. However, the how the University's future is to be affected by the removal of the Ban (if it is removed), when that removal is accomplished by the means which the Administration has chosen.

If we are given back the trust and confidence of the Legislature on the grounds that we are already safe from any pollutive and infective ideas and that we will be vigilant to prevent any politically unclean and unsafe messages from ever being spoken in our hearing, this will have profoundly seri-ous and undesirable implica-

Specifically, it will imply that the State Speaker Ban is unnecessary because we will have already imposed uponourselves an independent Ad-

sity Speaker Ban. The chief reason for opposing

the Ban is that it goes against fundamental element in true education of willingness to listen to any point of view and to separate the good from the evil, the true from the false, by thoughtful and reasonable discussion and consideration under the timeless, if never universal, standard of intellectual honesty. It is not, as some have argued,

that we are begging to have Communists come and speak to us in order to sop up their propaganda. No, it is based on the fact that all destruction of freedom is done in the name of a high or holy cause, which is always said, by those who impose it, to be so important as to warrant that destruction of

But all great nations and states that are supported by the suppression of thought become corrupted and tyrannical. Therefore, the Ban is wrong regardless of the cause that is said to justify it. Whether such a Ban prohibits anti - vivisectionists, monarchists, anarchists, republicans, hedonists, vegetarians, librarians or Communists, it is always wrong.

It follows that the way to deal with the Speaker Ban is not to purge ourselves automatically so as to avoid external purging. That's a very trivial gain, in the final analysis. It may aid automation, but it does nothing for education. In fact, it harms education by

giving a false appearance of freedom, and such hypocrisy is intolerable to the honest search

for dealing with the Ban is to Mind.

seek to acquaint the Legislature with the concept of education and the concept of freedom, or, if that is impossible, to set an example of belief in these bring about an enlightenment of men's minds all across this great state, and result in a reinstatement of democratic institutions and values.

The founding of the UNC Free Speech Movement, or Forum, was grounded in the belief that freedom is the heritage of American students and that it is wrong to forbid students to demand that heritage.

How often we hear it said that we had better watch out lest we disturb the Legislature before it has granted our requests for more money. We should stop and realize what a disgrace it is to have such a thought. We do not want the right to tiptoe past a sleeping Our forefathers fought and, in

their fighting, gained for us the right to tread the paths of freeom without fear or servile cringing. To quiver in the lust for money is to sell our birthright for a mess of pottage. The criticism that is often

made that everyone is opposed to the Speaker Ban and that it was therefore unnecessary and otiose to make public speeches in opposition to the Ban is based on a failure to realize the fallacy in the view of the Administration.

By attempting to address stu-dents directly, Mr. Gardner and others of the FSM sought to make evident the need that the Ban be dealt with openly and be opposed by the entire University community as inconsistent with the ideals of, to use a phrase of Chancellor The only appropriate method Sharp's, the Kingdom of the

broad knowledge of all areas of

Student Government and cam-

pus life. One whose view is nar-

rowed cannot hope to solve the

immense problems which find their way to the desk of the

ches of Student Government, residence hall and fraternity life Paul has ably represented Ehringhaus in Student Legislature this year. A former president of Everett and member of the MRC, Paul has talked extensively with the Chancellor about residence hall problems, the possibility of more student participation on faculty committees, and other problems facing the University.

Paul has shown sincere concern for the University, the role it sees for itself, and the part students can play in enriching its life. For these reasons, we support Paul Dickson for Presi-

Gerry Hancock Chairman, Carolina Political Union Rep. Jim Brame

President, Sophomore Class Jim Medford Chairman, Collegiate Council of the UN Rep. Bob Wilson Chairman, Orientation Com-

Rep. John Froneberger Co-chairman, Academic Affairs Committee Nita Wilkinson Social Chairman, Freshman

mittee

Class

Chairman, Publications Board Chuck Miller President, Lewis Hall Gene Barrier Vice-President, Senior

Rep. Hugh Blackwell

Wyatt McCallie Co-chairman, National Merit Scholarship Commit-Randy Old

President, Everett Hall Lanny Shuff Co-chairman, Campus Affairs Committee Byron McCoy

President, Ehringhaus Hall Bob Smith Director, Educational & Cultural Department Bill Robinson President, Stacy Hall

Norwood Pratt

We urge all students to support Norwood Pratt for Editor of The Daily Tar Heel. He has the qualifications, the wide experience and the thorough knowledge of the University that the office requires. Moreover, he is gifted with outstanding writing and executive ability.

Under his leadership, The Tar Heel will be a lively and interesting newspaper, reporting news not only of Student Government, but also of the students themselves.

alive.

Chairman, Carolina Politilina Political Union Mary Linda Lewis -President, YWCA George Butler -Chairman, 1966 Symposium

Billy Cunningham Captain, basketball Paul Russell -Secretary, Men's Resi-

Former Editor, DTH Gray Temple -Goettingen scholar Henry Aldridge -Co-Chairman, Fine Arts

Karen Parker -Editor, UNC Journalist, Wright Doyle -

Exchange, Vice-Chairman,

Sandy Alexander -Curry Kirkpatrick former DTH sports editor