

## The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of the Daily Tar Heel are expressed in its editorials. Letters and columns, covering a wide range of views, reflect the personal opinions of their authors.

### You Are Invited . . .

It's that time of year when secret honorary societies come forth and bestow the great favor of initiation upon the fortunate few.

"Loyal orders" exist for practically everything, but we see a definite void yet unfilled.

We propose a new society, the Loyal Tar Heel Order of Carolina Gentlemen. It will not be a secret organization and no initiates will be forced to stumble around campus or nearby boondocks at 4 a.m. There will be no discrimination in selecting members and there will be no stereotyped initiation speech, filled with "welcome" cliches.

Some of the existing societies charge an initiation fee of almost \$15, elect some new officers and disappear until next year.

We will eliminate this rather unethical practice by making the LTHOOCG an organization which really has something to offer. The fee will be a paltry \$5. Membership will be limited to 8,000 students. A beautifully engraved certificate of membership will be given to each initiate, but the really unprecedented thing is that the LTHOOCG will have meetings.

Mass gatherings will be held next September 18, October 2, October 16, October 30 and November 6. The site has been tentatively set for the south stands of Kenan Stadium.

Consider this to be your formal invitation to membership. Send \$5, cash or check, to the Editor, Daily Tar Heel chairman of the LTHOOCG and you will soon be a part of the most elite society on campus.

## Medical College, Junior Grade

THE CHARLOTTE OBSERVER

Last week's preliminary report by the Medical Center Study Commission gave the lie to Eastern North Carolina charges that Charlotte was determined to land a state medical school regardless of its merit and need.

The commission includes three Charlotteans who joined in the report to the General Assembly that neither Charlotte nor East Carolina College should get a medical school at this time.

Instead, the commission recommended that the state finance expansion of the existing facilities at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and student-aid programs at Duke University and Wake Forest.

East Carolina partisans, including Senate President pro tem Robert Morgan of Harnett, began at once to attack the integrity of the committee rather than debunk its findings. Morgan doubles in brass as chairman of the ECC board of trustees.

The progress report (the commission asked that its study be extended beyond the 1965 session) said Charlotte and Charlotte College are years away from any serious consideration of a four-year medical school. It added that a proposed two-year school at ECC would be wasteful and futile.

Both Sen. Morgan and ECC President Leo Jenkins implied that commission members had made up their minds against ECC before the study began. But anyone bothering to read the 33-page report would be most unobjective to deny the validity of the commission's findings and the integrity of its efforts to get at them.

In addition to Dr. James M. Alexander, Dr. Addison G. Brenizer Jr., and John W. Rankin of Charlotte, the distinguished study group's membership includes William F. Henderson, executive secretary of the N. C. Medical Care Commission chairman; Dr. W. Reece Berryhill and Dr. Henry T. Clark Jr. of Chapel Hill; Mrs. George L. Carrington of Burlington; Dr. Joseph C. Hinsey, director of the New York Hospital - Cornell Medical Center; and Dr. Vernon W. Lippard, dean of the school of medicine at Yale University.

The study is being directed by Dr. John B. Truslow, formerly dean of the Medical College of Virginia and most recently executive dean and director of the University of Texas Medical Center at Galveston.

These are hardly people whose motives should be impugned. Nor is the ECC rah-rah talk engaged in by President Jenkins any serious substitute for the kind of solid information and opinion in the preliminary report.

To the cry that there were no members from east of Raleigh on the commission, we would remind the people that the study resulted from a proposal by Dr. W. D. James of Hamlet in 1963 that a medical school be established in Charlotte. The two-year school at ECC was not a burning question at that time. The interest of the General Assembly was to select well-qualified commission members without regard to places of residence.

The report revealed that the commission yielded neither to prejudice nor expediency in affirming that a matter of this importance and expense shouldn't be decided by a public-opinion poll, by political pressure or by individual institutional ambitions.

As for Charlotte's part in this matter, we are willing to take "no" for an answer until such time as conditions may change enough to sustain our case for a medical school.

While ECC has not taken the report in the same spirit, the General Assembly would be flying in the face of the fiscal and medical realities of the hour to make an initial appropriation of \$1.5 million for a medical college, junior grade, at Greenville.

## The Daily Tar Heel

The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carolina and is published by students daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations.

Second Class postage paid at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc. The Associated Press is entitled exclusively to the use for republication of all local news printed in this newspaper as well as all AP news dispatches.

# Goodykoontz Tops Teacher List

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

In my four years as an undergraduate, I have witnessed a great deal of conflict and controversy about the University. Some of it has, by my own standards, been trivial, some has not.

A news item in Wednesday's DTH gave me the greatest shock I've received since becoming a student here. The item concerned an organizational meeting of students and faculty members interested in the "publish or perish" policy which has received recent emphasis by the release of Dr. William Goodykoontz from the Department of English.

I had previously been aware of the "publish or perish" problem, but as such a system seemed to me to be incompatible with higher education, I had considered it to be more fiction than fact.

It was implied in the news item that Goodykoontz had been released because he failed to publish. As a student, I have only incidentally been aware of which professors have or have not published.

I do not know if this was the reason Goodykoontz was released, but I am sure that the dismissal he received made no mention of a lack of ability in teaching, for in this area he excels. I may be suffering from a misunderstanding, but I had supposed that this was the job he was hired to do, and this he has done.

Since I have been at the University, I have had four faculty members I would

consider as teachers, and Goodykoontz tops the list.

The DTH was to offer further disappointment Thursday. After reading the news item, I immediately turned to the editorial page, fully expecting an editorial review of the situation. There was none. I can only hope that this will not be the case in the future. Past months have offered an abundance of news, editorials, and letters on coed dating practices, metered motorcycle parking and hamburger stands. Personally, I have little concern for such subjects, but I am concerned with the quality of the education I receive, and that which will be available for future generations.

If the "publish or perish" policy exists, and causes the firing of men such as Goodykoontz, it is the system that must go, and not highly qualified teachers.

Terry Ronner  
203-B Branson

## Flurry Of 'Facts' Counters Column

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

A few facts to falsify Rothman's at a column last Thursday.

Rothman: The "progressive" dogma-

## "Help Me, Charlie, I'm Not Supposed To Be Tapped Tonight."



## UMO May Prove Useful To Mayors From South

By ART BUCHWALD  
New York Herald Tribune

WASHINGTON — The Honorable Rep. William Dickinson of Alabama has just put in the Congressional Record his charges of sexual orgies in the march from Selma to Montgomery. While everyone is concerned about these, the main problem at the moment seems to be what's happening in Washington when a Southern Mayor visits this town.

Recently another Southern Mayor, this time from Arkansas, was bilked in a flimflam game in Washington. As with the Mayor of Selma, Ala., the victim was out on the town looking for fun and games. Instead his guide left him holding a brown envelope with newspaper clippings in it in what has now become known as the "Murphy" game. ("Put your money in this envelope mister, so it will be safe.") Many public-spirited citizens in Washington have become deeply disturbed by what is happening to our Southern mayors when they come to the nation's capital. They've decided something has to be done about it, not only to protect their good names, but also to protect the good name of the city.

The trouble seems to be that when a small town Southern mayor comes to Washington he doesn't have enough to do at night. He's left more or less to his own devices and, not knowing the ways of a big city, he's bound to get into difficulty.

Therefore, a group of citizens have decided to start a sort of USO for small town mayors, where they could come in the evening and play ping-pong and get hot dogs and hamburgers and meet nice girls from good homes instead of the type, they are bound to run into if they're left on their own.

The UMO (United Mayor's Organization) as it would be called, would advise mayors on

where they could get clean rooms, what there was to see in Washington, and how to avoid being cheated by undesirable elements in the city.

"Why do you think mayors are so susceptible to the flimflam game," I asked a spokesman for the UMO.

He replied, "We have Mayors coming in all the time, many from small Southern towns, homesick and far from their families."

"If they had a decent place to go in the evenings, they wouldn't be tempted by all the debauchery in Washington. We owe it to them to see they have someone to talk to, a friendly ear to listen to their troubles, and a person who can keep them from getting their pockets picked."

"I know that if our club had been going neither the mayor from Selma nor the mayor from the town in Arkansas would have lost any money when they were here."

"Sir, what is your advice to a small town mayor coming to Washington for a meeting?"

"First of all, he shouldn't speak to strangers, no matter how accommodating they sound. Secondly, if anyone tries to sell him something like the Washington Monument, he should check with a reliable source to make sure the monument has not been sold already."

"Thirdly, if anybody suggests 'entertainment' not of a theatrical nature, the mayor should reject the offer and report it to one of our chaperones at the clubs."

"Isn't it true that many mayors who are afraid to integrate at home are trying to do it in Washington, D. C.?"

"That seems to be the problem," he said. "We believe in integration, but we do not think it should take place after 12 o'clock at night. That's when all the trouble starts."

tists" are too busy worrying about Viet Nam and similar matters to attack this situation (that only 84 Negroes attend UNC with the same vigor they use in denouncing U. S. foreign policy."

Fact: Our little group is very aware of the tokenism of UNC integration, and since UNC administrators have not recruited at Negro high schools unless epically asked by a high school, we have set up a recruitment program to remedy this lack.

We've spent hundreds of hours writing to Negro high schools throughout the state, getting information and asking if we could come and speak to juniors and seniors. We have driven probably thousands of miles to these high schools, spending valuable time and money to present our program of slides of the campus and information about financial assistance, admittance requirements and curriculums.

We have taken students on tours, arranged interviews, gotten information for them. Faculty members have helped us financially. (No publicity from the Tar Heel though.)

Rothman: "UNC students have associated the protestors' radical stands on other issues with their support of integration."

Fact: This misassociation is more the fault of the press than our fault because we happen to have minds that function on more than one topic. To my knowledge, no member of Chapel Hill CORE or UNC-NACP belongs to SPU. Not that I am discrediting SPU, I admire anyone who acts on his convictions. I am just saying that a distinction must be made between groups, a distinction that the DTH constantly ignores by calling us all The Unshaven Ones, the "Progressive" dogmatists, or whatever. Neither local or national CORE or NACP have taken a stand, radical or otherwise, on the other issue Rothman mentions, Viet Nam. Individual members have individual opinions, ranging from the view that we must die, if necessary, to make men free everywhere to the view that civil rights aren't going to do much good after World War III. Individual members act individually on these beliefs as their consciences dictate.

The vast majority of people active in civil rights are not active in the peace protest; they haven't time; we have work to do. But for the ones who are, what of it: We're not allowed to think on two issues at once?

Rothman's shabby coverage of the peace march on Washington locally, and efforts to find any stone to throw at civil rights nationally, have led people to think we are all one, dirty, bearded, screaming bunch of idiots, "viewing protest as an end to itself." Sorry. Wish reporting were quite that simple.

Rothman subjectively decides that thus, we "lose one of their few legitimate battles: the fight for racial equality." He may find that in the long run, his personal belief that the peace protest is not legitimate is false too. Our wars are certainly our most important international issues, as civil rights is our most important domestic issue. Public discussion and active expressions of opinion on such a vital issue are to be expected and welcomed, not discredited and shushed merely because you disagree with them without knowing what they really say.

Rothman: "End the wary!" the stalwarts yell. Then, once they catch your ear, they admit they don't have a definite solution in mind."

Fact: It is ironic that on the same page one finds Constance Ray's excellent letter on a solution to Viet Nam, one of many ideas which have appeared even in the DTH letters and reprints.

Carol Schmidt  
326 Kenan

## Faculty Urged To Take Action

Editor, the Daily Tar Heel:

I for one am delighted to see a Students for Teachers movement springing up, but I must admit it seems one-sided.

Why have alert, unpublished teachers deliver brilliant lectures to an audience of students who have become exhausted from working overtime on term papers?

Students will have to devote their whole energies to classroom listening if they are to be properly appreciative of their teachers' new high-powered performances; it is therefore imperative that the faculty institute a simultaneous Teachers for Students movement to liberate us from the oppressive system which threatens us with dismissal unless we research dozens of subjects in a year and submit our ideas to our classes on paper.

J. K. Houck  
400 McCauley St.

## Letters

The Daily Tar Heel welcomes letters to the editor on any subject, particularly on matters of local or University interest. Letters should be typed, double spaced and include the name and address of sender. Names will not be omitted in publication. Letters should be kept as brief as possible. The DTH reserves the right to edit for length.

## Breaking Up Power Blocks

By CHRISTOPHER KELLERMAN

The past year and especially the past six months have witnessed a phenomenal amount of independence and realignment within the traditional two power blocks.

This has been particularly noticeable in the case of the Western alliance since President Johnson took office. The President has been so very successful in domestic affairs that the international scene has witnessed an almost "couldn't care less" attitude being developed by America toward her allies and the non-aligned world.

This disintegration can't be attributed only to Washington since both the countries of Western Europe and Asia have been following increasingly independent paths.

French President Charles de Gaulle seems to have succeeded in disrupting NATO and the Atlantic alliance. The mixed nuclear fleet seems to have been shelved indefinitely, and America no longer seems very interested in organizing a European nuclear club. France is boycotting the SEATO ministerial meeting set this week as a direct protest toward American policy in Viet Nam.

Last week Soviet Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko was in Paris and there is every indication that the Russians are keen to improve relations with Western Europe, especially now that relations with America are so strained over Viet Nam.

De Gaulle has always sought to improve east-west relations independently of America, and his policy seems to be paying dividends. France has also been improving relations with Britain, although admittedly this has been on purely technical, non-political projects. And Britain is still very far away from applying to join the Common Market again.

Germany, perhaps America's strongest ally in Europe, will face elections in September, and there is still no clear indication of whether Germany envisions her future in a Gaullist Europe or in the Atlantic community. However, the shelving of the mixed nuclear fleet obviously snubbed Germany the most since they had the most to gain by getting a finger on the nuclear trigger, because unlike Britain and France, Germany has no independent nuclear deterrent.

Relations with Britain have also cooled off partly because the left wing of the Labor Party has always been traditionally anti-American and this feeling is starting to spread through the rest of the party over America's policy in Viet Nam.

Prime Minister Harold Wilson received a rather cool reception in Washington recently, and I don't think Britain's efforts to seek negotiations with China and North Viet Nam have been really appreciated by President Johnson.

Turkey is another interesting case of allied independence. There seems to be developing a modus vivendi between Turkey and Russia, who in the past have been bitter enemies, especially since Russia has recently supported Greece over Cyprus. Turkey is also hoping to cut her military commitment with NATO, which in the past has been crippling.

In Asia the story seems to be the same. Pakistan, an important country in SEATO, has been having very important negotiations with China and President Ayub Khan has recently been in Peking. Although these negotiations have probably been at the expense of India, they nevertheless show increasing independence among America's staunch allies.

Although this trend is not new, it has certainly been heightened by American policies of the last few months. There is no doubt that the daily bombings of North Viet Nam have been greatly resented in Europe and although only De Gaulle has shown open hostility, there is a general feeling that America has gone too far. President Johnson recently canceled the official state visit of President Ayub Khan of Pakistan and Prime Minister Shastri of India, which also seems to indicate a general American disregard of world public opinion.

President Johnson's plans to visit Latin America and Europe with a possible meeting with Khrushchev's successors receded into the background because his domestic commitments leave no time for foreign travel.

Perhaps the most blatant disregard of allied opinion was the case of the Dominican Republic. Under President Kennedy the Organization of American States seemed to have acquired great importance in solving both political and economic problems within an American framework, and there was great hope for even closer ties among all the countries of the western hemisphere.

However, President Johnson seems to have had no prior consultation with OAS countries before sending in U. S. troops. One wonders whether the problems of a Central American country could not have been solved by the OAS rather than by unilateral action.

The eastern block has also become increasingly fragmented. Independence from Moscow, which was started under Khrushchev, seems to be continuing in the same direction. Even Bulgaria, traditionally Russia's closest ally, just managed to suppress a recent revolt demanding increased Bulgarian independence.

Sino-Soviet relations also show no signs of improving although increased American military participation in Asia could lead to a narrowing of the rift.

A simple division of the world into western, eastern and non-aligned countries is becoming less meaningful every day as new shades of affiliation develop. International relations based on a bipolar system of east and west each centered around one great power has given away to numerous complexities and rifts.