

## Washington Froghawk: Rare Find

By ART BUCHWALD  
New York Herald Tribune

A whole new set of terms are now in order concerning the position people have taken in Washington in the last few months. During the Cuban crisis the town was divided between the Doves and the Hawks and this made life very simple for everybody.

But as our foreign position becomes more complex so do the designations of people who are involved.

For example an ultra - conservative Hawk is someone who wants to bomb Hanoi and Peking with atomic weapons.

A moderate Hawk is someone who wants to bomb Hanoi with conventional weapons and Peking with the hydrogen bomb.

A liberal Hawk is someone who wants to bomb only North Viet Nam, including Hanoi, but reserves the right to pursue communist planes into China if it becomes necessary.

A moderate Dove believes we should bomb only the Viet Cong in South Viet Nam and fight for what we now hold until we can get negotiations.

A liberal Dove is one who doesn't think we should get out of Viet Nam, but also feels there is no reason to be there in the first place.

An ultra - conservative Dove on the other hand believes we should bomb all of North Viet Nam except Hanoi, and increase our ground commitment to show the North Vietnamese we mean business.

Then there are the Frogs. The Frog designation came into being when President Johnson told a story about a farmer who was kept awake by frogs croaking in a pond all night long.

The farmer finally drained the pond and found only two frogs.

Therefore, according to the President, any Senator who disagrees with the Administration's Viet Nam policy, and says so out loud, is a well-meaning, but badly misinformed Bullfrog.

People who are for a strong American policy in the Dominican Republic are Sharks. And those who believe we should never have sent troops into Santo Domingo are Sardines.

So it isn't strange to have people in Washington who are known as Hawk - Sharks, Sardine - Hawks, Shark - Doves and Dove - Frogs.

It's rare to find a Frog-Hawk at least one who will speak for attribution.

The President maintains he is neither Hawk nor Dove. Shark nor Sardine, and certainly not a Frog. He sees himself as just a large benign Eagle, flying around with an olive branch in his mouth and a bomb in each claw, willing to sit down with any bird who is willing to sit down with him.

## Letters

The Tar Heel welcomes letters to the editor on any subject, especially those of campus or community interest.

Letters should be typed double spaced and kept as brief as possible. They must include the name and address of the sender. Names and addresses will not be omitted in publication.

# Emotional Innuendos Characterize Morgan's Verbal Assaults On UNC

(ED. NOTE — Stevens was co-editor of the Daily Tar Heel during the 1964-65 school year. He is at UNC this summer working with the team which is compiling a history of the UNC Student Government.)

By HUGH STEVENS

It is almost axiomatic by now that whenever State Sen. Robert B. Morgan of Harnett County opens his mouth to say something about the University of North Carolina, the result is nasty.

Ever since the 1960 gubernatorial primary, when Morgan twisted the truth about an appearance by Langston Hughes on the Chapel Hill campus in an attempt to aid I. Beverly Lake's chances, he has been broadcasting a message of "subversion and communism in the University" to the state's right wing.

Morgan's innuendos and allegations, supported more by emotion than fact, have in the course of time become an inevitable (if not enjoyable) part of the state political scene. After all, Morgan is really nothing new. Other small-minded, big-mouthed, flint-hearted men have vocally crucified the University almost since its inception, and the University has weathered their verbal galés.

Further Than Usual

On Sunday, however, Morgan went even further than usual in a speech to the American Legion's statewide gathering in Dunn. I got mad — and so did a lot of other people. I'm still mad, and probably will be until somebody washes Morgan's mouth out with truth. For the remarks he made, for all intents and purposes, were lies.

Here are some of the things he said:

"... I must recognize that the people have rightly in many instances lost confidence in these boards (of trustees) to clean their own house."

"... Communist leaders... desire more than anything else to gain respectability by appearing on the same platforms on which great Americans have appeared. If they should lead only one more Larry Phelps to their cause, then they have destroyed a little bit of democracy."

Look at that first statement. It cunningly says that those people who label Chapel Hill and the University "a hotbed of communism" are right. Further, it implies that the boards of trustees of the University and other state schools have shirked their duties and their "housecleaning." Such accusations are nothing less than outright falsehoods, as the Chapel Hill Weekly so pointedly stated a few weeks ago when it offered to pay \$100 for the name of each communist in the University. Fear not, my friends — the Weekly's bank account will remain unscathed, because the Robert Morgans and Chub Seawells of the state cannot produce a single person to back up their wild claims.

But, vile as it is, this first statement is fairly commonplace. Dave Clark, the Charlotte textile executive who loosed his venom on the Uni-made similar unfair allegations many times, and such statements have been repeated by John Birchers and other right-wingers for years. Today, as in the past, such

remarks serve only to reinforce the shameful dichotomy between those who accept the principle of freedom for the University and those who would pervert its purposes to their own ends. Today, as in the past, the University can best discredit such lies by ignoring them.

Senator Morgan's remark about Larry Phelps, however, is even more misleading and dirty. It implies that Communist speakers "led" Phelps down the road to Marxism and the repudiation of his country, and that more appearances by "subversive" speakers will result in a mass rejection of democracy by Carolina gentlemen and ladies.

Let's call a spade a spade; that's what we're here for. Phelps became a Marxist because he wanted to, and for no other reason. Nobody led him down any path; he chose the path and walked down it under his own steam.

Now, lest that be taken as an attempt to cast undue aspersions upon a tragic individual, let's set the record straight. I knew Phelps. I went to high school with him and I knew him at the University, and I was not particularly surprised when he adopted his radical views.

I was disturbed, yes, and I got a little sick to my stomach when I got a call at my office saying he was dead, stabbed by a hysterical ex-

tremist in a dingy Harlem office; but I was not surprised.

Needed Attention

Phelps, moody and introverted, needed something to attract attention to himself, and he chose Marxism and a defiant trip to Cuba. It could just as easily have been ice-boating or nudism or Zen. But once this young man, brooding and unsuccessful, chose his unusual path, the entire University was indicted as a place where radicals lurked behind every door and Marxism was taken straight, like the whiskey at an American Legion convention.

To even imply that speakers on a public platform "turned Larry Phelps into a Communist" is to distort the truth beyond all reason. Worse, it is to use as a scapegoat for one's conservative fear of academic freedom a young man whose own fears and inability to cope with society resulted in his adoption of an extreme way of life.

To indict the University on the basis of Phelps, then, is like indicting an entire country club because an alcoholic on its membership list happened to do a little of his drinking in the locker room. Those who imply that Phelps would be alive today if it were not for "communistic influences in the University" should place the blame where it really lies — on a severe home life, or

rejection by the fickle, materialistic society of a typical large high school.

Larry Phelps was not a close friend of mine, but I felt sorry for him because I knew he was troubled and felt that he was making a dangerous mistake. I do not even know Robert Welch, head of the John Birch society, but I feel sorry for him, also. I think he is making no less an error than did Larry Phelps by spreading his hallucinatory fears of deep-rooted communist influences into the lives of well-meaning citizens.

The funny thing is that Robert Welch is also a graduate of this University, but I have yet to hear anyone attribute his political paranoia to "conservative influences in the University." I have never heard Robert Welch used as a scapegoat in a political speech by a man like Robert Morgan, and certainly I have not heard an advocate of the speaker ban law state that it should also cover extreme right-wingers lest they "lead only one more Robert Welch to their cause ..."

Remember The Facts

So, if Morgan and his comrades wish to vent their wrath on the University, let them do so. But let us also keep the people of the state attuned to the facts which the Robert Morgans so cleverly bend, or stretch, or even avoid entirely.

And the facts, so far as this particular incident is concerned, are these: (1) the University does not need to do such have-you-stopped-beating-your-wife accusations should no longer be tolerated by responsible citizens, especially from men who are supposed to be of integrity (such as state senators); (2) no one "led" Larry Phelps to communism at the University, and implications that such was the case are malicious, irresponsible and brutal.

One further fact, which should be applied in every incident of this type, is this: for every unusual character such as a Larry Phelps or a Robert Welch turned out by this University, thousands of responsible citizens also go forth from her educational portals.

Yet, by accusing the institution itself, disgusting individuals such as Robert Morgan go a long way toward demeaning the institution which has helped make these thousands of individuals progressive citizens in a progressive state. No doubt they do it out of fear — ungrounded, ridiculous fear that free, intelligent young people will hate democracy or despise their country unless, like the citizens of a totalitarian nation, their thoughts are shaped for them.

I've been an enthusiastic participant in the life of this University for four years, and it hasn't caused me to despise anything. It has only made me feel sympathy for the tortured individuals whose depth of understanding makes them incapable of coping with the tremendous responsibilities which democracy demands. I speak of men like Larry Phelps and Robert Welch — and Robert B. Morgan.

### STUDENT OPINION

## Cars, Grades Greeks Draw Student Gripes

By BEV COLEMAN

When given the chance, UNC students can complain about campus facilities, activities, or what not. The complaints range from parking facilities to fraternity villains. One coed remarked about fraternity men: "I am sick and tired of fraternity villains. They think they are God's gift to women because they wear a jeweled pin on their V-neck cashmere sweater. You see them trot along at every function with their madras flask. They consider themselves men of the world just because they are in a fraternity. To hell with fraternities. I am not a beatnik or a social outcast. I may be the girl you are dating, fraternity villain."

These are other complaints issued by students:

Betty Young, junior, secondary education, Aiken, S. C.

"The parking facilities are a disgrace at Carolina. You might as well not have a car because you have to walk so far from parking lots to class. The police around UNC are slappy with tickets. I protest! Something should be done about the parking facilities around here, and fast."

Walter Brown, sophomore, Radio-TV, Charlotte

"Students who don't have 2.0's can't have cars in the summer."

Jack Allison, senior, chemistry, Avon Park, Fla.

I don't like introductory courses where the gardner doesn't attend class."

Dan Welch, junior, political science, Jacksonville

"No cartoons, no cross word puzzles. The movie schedule is not as extensive as it should be. It should include all the Durham movie listings. We need better summer flicks. We also need better sports coverage."

Charles Shore, junior, business, Thomasville

"The parking problem is very bad. They should have you park according to where you live. You have to walk miles to your classes."

Tommy Cavalaris, sophomore, history, Charlotte

"I don't like 7:30 classes for those who live a half a mile away and have no car because of a 2.0 average."

Visiting Student, junior, political science, Pennsylvania

"The campus is dead. I don't know how it is in the winter, but the summer session could be the most monotonous event I've ever attended. I have found few people with interests lying out of the realm of partying. It is not that I am against partying, I just get bored with it after continuous repetition. It is a shame that the fine faculty here can't provoke any extra-curricular interest. Even in glancing over this list of complaints, the most apparent manifestation of the student apathy is the constant complaint that the University is not providing enough for you — the student. Well, I would say, open your eyes and just try to realize all that the University does offer you. Fellow Tar Heels, ask not what the University can do for you, but what you can do with the University."