

# The Tar Heel

CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 12, 1965



32-14—HIKE: Coeds Lynne Harvel (tallback) and Chris Pettee (center) herald the coming football season as they get in a little pigskin practice on the grass in front of Graham Memorial. Their only comment: "Whew!" Watch the Tar Heel next week for a preview of UNC football and predictions on the Atlantic Coast Conference race.

— Photo by Ernest Robl.

## No New Leads Discovered In Slaying Of UNC Coed

No new leads were discovered this week in the July 30 slaying of coed Suellen Evans, Chapel Hill Police Chief William Blake said late yesterday.

"We're working on some of the old leads," Blake said, "checking some of them out more thoroughly."

Blake said that one of the three chief suspects had been eliminated this week, but declined to make any identifications.

Two knives which were found in Chapel Hill were also eliminated from the case according to Blake. "The knives were checked by the SBI lab, and no traces of blood were found," he said.

Another knife is still being examined by the lab.

The first two knives were a carving knife found in Cobb and a pocket knife found in a restroom of the Presbyterian Church, 209 E. Franklin St.

The knife still being examined was found near Vine's Veterinary Hospital.

Police are continuing their search for a knife with a blade of four-and-a-half to six inches in length which is believed to be the weapon with which the 21-year-old coed was stabbed to death in the Arboretum.

An inch-by-inch search of the campus botanical garden last Thursday failed to reveal any trace of the murder weapon.

The search, which was conducted by some 200 male students, was organized by the Student Government with the

assistance of Chapel Hill and campus police.

Police have so far received two lengthy SBI reports on the slaying, and say that they may lead to an arrest.

Findings of the reports have not been disclosed and police decline to identify suspects.

Gov. Dan K. Moore drove Sunday to the home of Miss Evans' parents in Mooresville. He assured them that the SBI is making every effort to capture the slayer.

Some 53 contributions have been received so far for the Suellen Evans reward fund. They total \$1,265.

## Educator Tells Gag Panel 'Undue Outside Pressure' Are Harmful To University

By MIKE YOPP  
And ERNEST ROBL

The speaker ban study commission opened public hearings yesterday and heard a warning that the University "cannot function effectively" under "outside interference."

Dr. Emmett Fields, chairman of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges, told the nine-member panel that governing boards such as the University Board of Trustees "should not be subjected to undue pressure from outside political or religious groups."

Fields referred to the General Assembly which passed the speaker ban law in 1963. The decision of who can and cannot speak on campuses should be left to the "governing boards," he said.

He said the governing board should be "as sensitive to feelings (in the state) as it can be, but must, by standards of the Southern Association, be finally responsible."

Fields was the first speaker in the morning session which spilled over into an additional hour. Gordon Sweet, acting secretary of the Commission on Colleges, also represented the Southern Association.

Fields warned that accreditation is definitely threatened by the law. The decision, he said, will be made by the 54-member delegate assembly which will consider the ban in November.

Fields said he "would not enter into speculation" about what the decision might be. He repeatedly refused to say what he thought the full assembly might decide, and said that he, as chairman of the Commission on Colleges, would not give his personal opinion about whether accreditation would be lost because of the ban.

Fields was questioned by

each of the nine study commission members.

Commission chairman David Britt, prefacing his question by saying it was a charge he had heard repeated in various circles, asked Fields if the "action of the commission was precipitated by people in North Carolina."

"Yes," Fields replied, "by the General Assembly in 1963."

Britt rephrased his question: "Did the head of any institution get in touch with you or anyone you know about to take this action?"

"No," Fields replied. "No one urged that we act in the way we did."

Commission member W. T. Joyner, who questioned Fields longer than any other member of the panel, asked what would be the affects of loss of accreditation.

"With respect to the Association, expulsion from membership speaks for itself," Fields answered. He said that independent evaluation would have to be conducted on each student from a non-accredited school who transfers to an accredited institution. Accredited schools have a reciprocal understandings that students they admit have had

a quality education, he said.

Joyner asked Fields about his earlier statement concerning "pressure from outside political or religious groups."

"You would consider the sentiments of the great body of the people of North Carolina as pressure from an outside group?"

"We are speaking about the final authority for decision-making," Fields replied. "The board should be responsive to as many people in the state as it can be. But we are talking about what must be the final responsibility in making decisions."

Commission member Ben C. Fisher asked about the "lateness" of the Association's action. It was almost two years after the passage of the ban law that the Association notified the University that accreditation was threatened.

"We acted after our investigation and deliberation were concluded and not before," Fields answered.

State Sen. Tom White of Lenoir appeared briefly before the panel. He said that he would be out of town for this week's sessions, but that he wanted "to be heard (at a later date) as a proponent of this so-called speaker ban law."

In the afternoon session Rep. Phil Godwin, co-author of the speaker ban law, and Dr. Howard Boozer, acting director of the N. C. Department of Higher Education, presented evidence and were questioned by the panel.

"If I could do it all over again, it would have been done differently," Godwin said, commenting on the controversy the law had stirred. "When the bill was presented, I honestly couldn't see anything wrong with it."

Godwin emphasized that he had "no personal animosity toward the University," and said that the bill had not been introduced for such a reason.

In answers to questions from members of the commission, Godwin said that he had not made any attempt to talk with University officials about the possible effects of such a law before introducing the bill.

When Zollicoffer asked Godwin if an amendment allowing Communists to talk on non-political topics would be acceptable to him, Godwin replied: "A Communist is a declared enemy of our country and should not be allowed to speak."

Godwin said that he did not want state-supported institutions to lose accreditation, but said that he did not want the General Assembly "threatened."

Boozer testified on how grants from government and private agencies would be affected by a possible loss of accreditation. (Continued on Page 4)

## Inside

Read the Tar Heel's expanded editorial section, pages 6, 7, and 8.

Hearing is continued for UNC student charged with illegal possession of narcotics. See page 10.

Sports news appears on page 11.

Among other innovations, new Chase Cafeteria will feature the "scramble" serving system. See page 3.

## All Machines To Be Replaced

## Coke Gets Vending Franchise

By ERNEST ROBL  
Tar Heel Asst. Editor

The Durham Coca-Cola Bottling Co. will be given an exclusive franchise for campus vending machines under a new university policy effective this fall.

Book Exchange director Tom Shetley, who is responsible for all vending operations on campus, announced the change this week.

According to Shetley, the exclusive contract was awarded to Coca-Cola on the basis of competitive bids.

Under the new plan, vending machines owned or oper-

ated by concerns other than Coca-Cola in campus areas under the jurisdiction of the Book Exchange will have to be removed.

"No competitive drinks will be kicked off," Shetley said, explaining that where these are now available, they will continue to be available. Instead of being dispensed by a number of machines however, all drinks will be dispensed from one large-capacity machine operated by Coca-Cola. Shetley gave these reasons for the change in policy:

1. Large batteries of unsightly, worn-out machines cluttering up many campus

locations.

2. A desire to start over with new equipment.

3. A desire for better service and maintenance of machines located on campus.

The vending of cigarettes, candy, crackers and sandwiches will also be handled through the Coca-Cola franchise under the contract.

Shetley said that the only campus areas which would not be affected by the change would be machines in Graham Memorial, the medical complex, and athletic department property.

Existing Coke machines (Continued on Page 5)