

# The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of the Daily Tar Heel are expressed in its editorials. Letters and columns, covering a wide range of views, reflect the personal opinions of their authors.  
ERNIE McCRARY, EDITOR  
JACK HARRINGTON, BUSINESS MANAGER

## A Look At University Day

It is only fitting that the nation's oldest state-supported university should place considerable importance on the observance of its anniversary.

This university opened its doors 170 years ago. Each year, since William R. Davie laid the cornerstone of Old East on October 12, 1795. That date has been set aside to honor those who built this school and those who continue to give it life. The ceremonies have been neglected only during the Reconstruction Era of 1870-1795 when the University was closed.

The historical significance of the day should be kept in mind when we consider today's program. There is added importance to this observance because it is also Chancellor's Day, an idea suggested by the faculty last spring. A resolution was passed calling on the faculty and student body to "set aside a day to honor the University, and in particular, Chancellor Paul Sharp."

First scheduled for late September, Chancellor's Day was to be an event separate from University. Construction delays at Carmichael Auditorium and other difficulties caused the fortunate combination of the two programs—fortunate because it makes the day doubly important.

Preparation for the day has been painstaking. A committee of 12 faculty members and 5 students has met almost weekly since late last May, planning the program. The committee requested the half holiday from today's classes and financed yesterday's special edition of *The Daily Tar Heel*.

Members of the General Assembly, the UNC Board of Trustees, presidents of all colleges and universities in the state, the staff of the Consolidated University office, alumni, and townspeople have been invited to the activities. And as Ackland Art Center Director Joseph Sloane, chairman of the planning committee, said, "We particularly want to invite the students and faculty, whose party it really is."

To demonstrate this concern, faculty members have been working with residence hall, fraternity and sorority residents for some time to insure interest in the activities. Campus housing units with suitable social rooms will have open house today, and faculty members have been encouraged to attend.

At a time when our University is being assailed from many sides, it is especially distressing to hear that a small number of students suggest boycotting or picketing today's ceremonies because the Student Body President was not invited to participate. When a show of University strength is needed, these troublemakers would have us prove once and for all that we are as irresponsible as many accuse us of being.

We need not kid ourselves. No matter how few their number, these "protesters" will appear to represent us all because it is an inescapable fact that students are almost always viewed collectively. If these people want to protest, let them write nasty letters to the members of the University Day Committee. A petty, childish display today will destroy, rather than create, any sympathy for the cause of these malcontents.

Is it too much to ask that we all just enjoy a day that was meant to be enjoyed?

## Barbie And The Reds

The Russian magazine Nedelya has really missed the boat in its attack on that American institution, the "Barbie doll."

The magazine said a few days ago that the makers of the curvaceous little doll, with the wardrobe big enough to fill a medium sized department store, have exploited the "urge for property and the desire to be liked."

"In this doll," the article said, "girls from the capitalistic world embody their hopes and see their fates."

"This was the basis of the devilish plan of the manufacturers who never troubled themselves about the development of different features of character in children."

Actually we think the creation of the Barbie doll is more likely a part of a sinister communist plot designed to ruin the economy of this nation.

Ask any father who has given in to his daughter's demands for one of the little playmates. With calculated precision the girl is seized by the "Barbie doll syndrome" which is satisfied only by the acquisition of all of Barbie's friends. And of course the immense wardrobe must be purchased for each doll. Before Dad knows what has happened, he has forked over the month's rent or grocery money for rest of the year for a plastic family.

Maybe they didn't really invent Barbie, but the Communists should have taken a closer look at this phenomenon before they fired their guns at such a true ally.

# The Daily Tar Heel

72 Years of Editorial Freedom  
The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carolina and is published by students daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations.

## "Gentlemen, the University of North Carolina Will Never Get Off the Ground!"



David Rothman

## Talk With Chane-Kee Inmate Shows Truth Of Freud's Psychiatry

Freudian psychiatry spawned a generation of part-hating children, according to a University of Illinois researcher.

Dr. O. Hobart Mower says that in the past psychiatrists often unfairly blamed the misdeeds of children on their parents.

But now, he adds, the psychiatrists recognize their previous mistakes, and the parents are somewhat relieved.

Probably a lot of youngsters once felt a lot more innocent than they should have. At least this is the way things seemed ten years ago when I visited Chane-kee prison.

"Why'd you do it," I asked one resident of Chane-kee's death row who had stabbed his boss, murdered his entire family, held up a bank, robbed a filling station and raped his neighbor's daughter—all in one day.

"Just a minute," he said, "and I'll give you the reason. Warden, go upstairs and get me my *Encyclopedia Riptannica*."

After the guard returned with the book, the prisoner, who had a third-grade education, excitedly pointed to the section on Freudian psychiatry.

"Here it is — right there!" he exclaimed. "The experts say a defective introjected parent and an unsatisfactory family relationship can cause a person to stab his boss, murder his offspring, hold up a bank, rob a filling station and rape his neighbor's daughter."

"But that's not the entire story," I said. "Surely there must be other explanations."

"Yes, there are," he replied. "Dad and Mom made me acquire a poor attitude toward my ego, though the ego, I admit, can take itself as an object like any other object, observe itself like any other object, criticize itself and do heaven knows what besides with itself."

"Yet," I interrupted, "you, not your parents, were the criminal in the end."

"I know," he sighed. "Nevertheless, the super-ego serves as the vehicle of tradition and of all the age-long values which have been handed down from generation to generation."

"How do you relate this to your own misdeeds?"

He looked at me as if I'd lost my marbles. "You mean you actually have the nerve to suggest that personality is not only a product of past experience but is also constantly engaged in an on-going social process?"

"Well not exactly," I confessed.

"That's better," the prisoner happily remarked. "Say — I was just thinking how goals and ideals as well as norms and moral standards derive from the social and psychological interaction between parents or parent substitutes and the child. No wonder I robbed that filling station!"

"And furthermore," he added, "an individual's response to sets of expectations concerning behavior and to other persons with whom he comes into contact will be affected by the personality he has earlier acquired — i.e. the one acquired through Mom and Dad."

"That's why I murdered my boss."

"What about the ruthless slaying of your entire family?" I asked.

"For an explanation of this specific action," the prisoner declared, "I just refer you to Freud's major work dealing with the structure and functioning of personality, its disorders and the development of methods for treating these disorders."

"And the rape of your neighbor's daughter?"

"My parents created a cultural interference — with the instinctual, biological needs. Don't believe those quacks who say the problem is rather an incapacity or failure at the interpersonal, social level of human functioning."

Just as I was leaving the room, the prisoner said he hadn't told me everything.

"Damn it!" he yelled. "I wouldn't be in such a fix if my parents and I had not believed that psychiatrist who was such a nut on Freud."

## Agenda Delayed

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

Tonight I, like many of my fellow legislators, attended legislature with the intention of working on the many pieces of legislation now before our body. However, we were not permitted to do this nor were we permitted to discuss the very strong and controversial address by Rep. Rose. Instead, the body was adjourned by the speaker twenty minutes after we had convened. This is a more blatant neglect of responsibility that that about which Rep. Rose spoke. Although we, as the elected representatives of the student body, were put in office to serve our constituents, we are not being permitted to do so. There is a lot of important legislation on the agenda which needs to be acted upon if student government is to accomplish anything this year. I would like the opportunity to help provide such action. The Dickson administration has been working diligently since last spring on reforms and new projects which will benefit every student at our University. A revised administrative procedure for student government, a reformed judicial system, a review of the residence college system, and a new look at student government in general are but a few of the goals which could become realities if the legislators could ever get to them.

Vital issues of any sort should certainly be brought before the legislature, but they should not stifle the functioning power of that body. If I have been elected to legislate, I request the right to do just that and not to attend legislative sessions which are a mockery of student government.

Elaine Carolson  
WD II

A  
n  
d  
y  
C  
a  
p



## Letters To The Editor

### Cheerleaders 'Don't Care'

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

This letter is in reference to the photo spread on the Carolina cheerleaders in the October 6 *Daily Tar Heel*.

Although the spread did not overtly praise the cheerleaders, it did put them in a favorable light. This did not seem correct to me.

As a freshman, I was surprised by the actions, or lack of actions, of the cheerleaders at the last two games. I thought that they would be just as excellent as everything else is here. But they were obviously insincere, devoid of any true spirit, and lacking in any cheers, except for a few minor wavings of arms. As the cheerleaders went through their simple movements they seemed to sneer at the crowd. One particular male cheerleader was more interested in putting his arm around the other female cheerleaders than in cheering. Their entire performance can be summed up in the words, "I don't care."

I do not know why these people chose to be cheerleaders but they certainly do not appear to be interested in the job for cheering's sake.

Douglas R. Stanton  
309 Joyner

### Spelling Errors

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

I have staunchly defended the DTH for two years. As a journalism student, I found it to be reasonably fair. But this year's policies are too much.

Since there are many different opinions on the Dickson case, there are naturally going to be letters differing with the DTH's editorial stand and on the kind and amount of coverage given the affair. And the DTH has printed critical letters.

But I have noticed something which I think is directly contradictory to current journalistic ethics. And that is the practice of leaving misspellings in only those letters uncomplimentary to the DTH. The (sic) deftly inserted behind the error shows the sharp wit of the editor.

I have friends, Republican and Democrat, liberal and conservative, pro-and-anti-DTH, who make grammatical errors talking to me. I would be stupid if I tried to ridicule their grammar, thereby distracting from the value of their opinions. Besides, I make enough errors myself.

I am sure that if the DTH editor will reach deep down into his conscience, he will admit that at least once during his reporting days he made an error later caught by the copy editor, who is paid to keep things correct. Not everyone can afford an (sic) copy editor. I had to let mine go last week when they raised the student special to 50 cents.

Friday's paper had a letter with two (sic)'s in it, and strangely enough, the letter was sharply critical of the DTH. The errors: adding an "e" to Chancellor Sharp's name (careless, but I have known people who spelled it that way); and omitting "ali" from "editorialize." In the next-to-last line of the letter, "editorializing" is spelled correctly. Did the DTH have mercy and correct the second "editorializing" or did they print the letter knowing fully that the first error was probably a careless one, since he got the word right later?

I'm getting sick.

Tom McKinnon  
513 Maverick House

(Editor's Note: The DTH denies discrimination. Obviously those who write letters complimentary to us are so discerning and intelligent that they do not make errors in spelling and grammar.)

### Dual Standards

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

The UNC administration recently pledged to end "dual standard" verdicts by UNC honor councils. Unfortunately, these verdicts cannot logically be changed unless significant alterations are made in men's and women's rules.

Thus if the administration is to be logical in its attack on the student judiciary, they must not only refuse to tolerate dual verdicts, but they must also strike out against the dual court system and dual social regulations for men and women.

They must, in fact, choose between imposing curfew hours and housemothers on the University's male population or eliminating most of the girls' rules and letting them live with the freedom of Carolina Gentlemen.

Both of these ideas have unpleasant ramifications that would send shudders resounding through the halls of this cer-

of learning. At great expense housemothers, spies, and the like might be able to keep the 9,000 plus male students in their dormitories, fraternities and apartments after 11 p.m. on weekdays and after 12 or 1 p.m. on weekends. And soon the University would be relieved of this financial burden: most males would transfer to colleges and universities without curfews and housemothers.

The girls would be just as upset over their rule change. Freedom would require that they discipline themselves. Having a set curfew gives them a good excuse to terminate a bad date. It also assures them of adequate "beauty" sleep.

Even if Carolina coeds didn't rebel against this new system, their parents and the state legislature certainly would.

Something effective, however, can be done about dual standard verdicts. The punishment for women breaking their curfew rules are too severe. Coeds are sent packing for spending the night outside the dormitory without the permission of the "authorities."

I believe they should be reprimanded the first time, put on probation the second, and suspended if they make a habit of it.

In this way the University could proudly announce to the state: "UNC's judicial system has made great strides toward eliminating unfair and discriminatory verdicts. Our men and women now get the same punishment for spending the night together."

If North Carolina's enlightened politicians and newspaper editors speak out against this new punishment as being too lenient, let them recall their college days and slowly their mouths would close.

Jack P. Tate  
416 Ehringhaus

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

We are writing in regard to your editorial of October 7 concerning dual standards for men and women at the University of North Carolina.

We do agree with your opinion that different penalties should be handed out to men and women students because there are two different judicial codes. However, we don't agree that the system should be overhauled so as to formulate one code for all students, men and women. The purpose of the women's judicial system would appear to be for protecting the women students. As we see it, the purpose of the men's judicial system is to keep the men in line, i.e. living like "Carolina Gentlemen." Combining the two sexes under one code would necessitate treating all the students as Carolina Gentlemen, or all as Carolina Ladies, thereby causing the women to lose their "protection" or the men to lose their freedom.

We must decide if Carolina is a University or a prep school.

Richard Manry  
115 Everett  
James Nisbet  
211 Manly

(Editor's Note: The editorial said only that changes in the judicial system are necessary. It did not advocate a "single code" system.)

### Rude Audience

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

The last two Tuesday evening recitals at Hill Hall have been marred by incredibly rude audiences who insist on being late. Last week, Prof. Matthews, pianist, waited and gazed icily from his piano stool until the last of the embarrassed stragglers was seated. However, his freeze-out had no effect on this week's audience at Dr. Kremen's organ recital. Seads of arrogant, mis-dressed students and chatting faculty strolled in while he was performing.

These audiences displayed not only bad manners but also a low consideration of the artists, the music, and the members of the audience who had arrived on time. Perhaps these people are taking the cultural opportunities at Carolina too much for granted.

And would the motorcyclist who insists on parking directly outside of Hill Hall auditorium please refrain from revving his engine during concerts?

Stanley Gilliam  
1041 Morrison

## LETTERS

The Daily Tar Heel welcomes letters to the editor on any subject, particularly on matters of local or University interest. Letters should be typed, double spaced and should include the name and address of sender.

