

The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of the Daily Tar Heel are expressed in its editorials. Letters and columns, covering a wide range of views, reflect the personal opinions of their authors.
ERNIE MCCRARY, EDITOR
JACK HARRINGTON, BUSINESS MANAGER

DTH Editor Ernie McCrary is in the infirmary. During his absence the associate editor will serve as acting editor. Expressions of opinion appearing in this column do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor.

Special Session

From The Raleigh Times

Wednesday's conference between Governor Moore and legislative leaders should help impress upon the people of North Carolina the fact that legislative and congressional reapportionment is almost a sure thing, and also the fact that steps have been taken to have plans ready if the federal courts do order such steps.

Some sources believed that the meeting might bring creation of a special House-Senate Committee to consider proposals for reapportionment and have plans ready for any special session which might be called. Such a committee should be named. It could do its work without the haste and confusion which inevitably would accompany such steps if taken first during the actual session. And, such a special committee could have time before a session to explain its work to the legislature, and iron out most of the problems before the session were held.

The suit challenging North Carolina's current legislative and congressional apportionment plans is now before a three-judge federal court. Most North Carolina officials think that the court will order changes in the system on the basis of the one-man-one-vote ruling of the Supreme Court.

Since such a step seems almost certain, it is wise to get the planning done well before the legislature is brought back here in special session. In fact, it wouldn't hurt to have plans definitely drawn up and submitted to legislators in a series of meetings across the State, where questions could be asked and answered, and objections smoothed out as well as possible, before a special session convened.

Wednesday's conference was attended by the Governor, Speaker Pat Taylor, Lt. Gov. Bob Scott, and Rep. David Britt, the man regarded as being most likely to be elected Speaker of the 1967 House, and chairman of the special commission now studying the speaker-ban law. Britt's presence at the conference indicates that action on the controversial speaker-ban law also probably would be included on the agenda of a special session.

This would make sense. If the study commission recommended changes in the law, action should be taken on the matter as quickly as possible. If such a matter were left hanging for months until the 1967 session convened, more and more heat inevitably would be generated over the subject, and that would hurt all concerned.

If the study commission comes in with recommendations which would provide reasonable compromises for all sides, the matter should be sent to a special session for final action.

Sanctimonious Teddy

From The Greensboro Daily News

The pot and kettle school will note with some interest the sanctimonious comment of Sen. Edward M. Kennedy concerning his family's old friend, Francis X. Morrissey.

Alarmed over the impending Senate judgeship confirmation vote, Senator Kennedy has charged Senate Republican Leader Everett M. Dirksen with using Morrissey's nomination for "partisan political purposes."

The Illinois senator has indeed rallied a mountain of senatorial strength around his conviction that Morrissey is unqualified by intellectual capacity and legal training and experience to serve as U. S. district judge in Massachusetts. He may even be stirring up this particular furor for "partisan political purposes" as Senator Kennedy charges — but it is clear that he could never get away with it except by attracting a sizable segment of senators who oppose Morrissey's nomination on principle.

For Senator Kennedy to charge anyone else with using "partisan political purposes" to oppose what is patently an outrageous example of political backscratching ought to stagger the imagination of lesser men not wrapped up in such self-serving activities. But it happens to be pretty mild these days in Washington.

Still we can hope that such oratorical hogwash may one day get too sickening even for senators to stomach.

The Daily Tar Heel

72 Years of Editorial Freedom

The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carolina and is published by students daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations.

Second class postage paid at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., 27514. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year. Send change of address to The Daily Tar Heel, Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N. C., 27514. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc. The Associated Press is entitled exclusively to the use for republication of all local news printed in this newspaper as well as all ap news dispatches.

"A Real Steal At \$50!"



Letters To The Editor

Coeds Oppose Recall Motives

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

J'accused — et tu Brute?

Since Miss Sharon Rose has presumed to speak for the coeds on this campus and their ideals, it now seems necessary that we coeds holding a different opinion be allowed to express our attitudes on this subject. We support Dickson in the office of president of the Student Body. We have no knowledge that he has fallen from any personal or student ideal and certainly not that he has committed any immoral act. We elected him last spring believing him to be qualified for this position and we have had no reason to alter this belief.

His trial should never have been made a matter of public knowledge. The names of persons involved in Honor Council trials have always been inviolate information and for the administration to reveal this information and for student government officials to ask for his resignation was a betrayal of the inherent dignity of the individual on this campus as manifested in our honor system. For Miss Rose to demand a recall election because Dickson's conduct was "ungentlemanly" and to encourage this demoralization and subversion of student autonomy is much more detrimental to the ideals that coeds at UNC believe in than anything that Dickson has done.

Women students on this campus do have ideals; however, the only cause on this campus that coeds have a greater incentive toward (and which is possibly the real reason behind Miss Rose's support of this petition) is revenge upon those who have imposed this double standard upon them. Rather than being an attempt to adjust this standard in an adult manner, I think the true sentiment behind this petition is "since we can't go out and have fun — nobody else can either!"

Miss Rose, it is perhaps time that you came out of the shadows of The Cave into the sunlight.

Natasha Alexandra Kern
 Virginia Cornue
 Elizabeth Mabe
 Paula Michalove
 Whitehead Dormitory

Public Censure Will Harm Code

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

I can agree with the opponents of Dickson on only one point; in the past weeks student government has indeed lost prestige. However, I cannot agree that Dickson is responsible for this degradation. A reprimand is designed and intended to bring a sense of personal shame to the individual, and to him alone. It was the illegal disclosures and underhanded tactics of Dickson's opponents which brought, and continue to bring, shame and degradation upon our entire student government.

When Dickson's opponents made his reprimand public, they committed the most blatant transgression in this entire affair. It is absolutely illegal to publicize the proceedings and conclusions of an Honor Council trial. In fact, we do not, and cannot legally know whether Dickson has received a reprimand.

This right to privacy is the essential basis of our Honor Code. Our Honor Code attempts to develop a personal morality among students. It is hoped that a con-

victed student will work out a true personal penitence and, through that, strengthen his own code. It is felt that public censure only develops defiance of false penitence, and that it is in no way conducive to the development of a personal moral code. If we now publicly censure Dickson, we will completely destroy the basis of our Honor Code.

Jeremy Thomas Monro
 309 Grimes

Towing Standards

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

Thank you, Mr. Robl, for that fine front page article on car-towing. You sure came right to the point and said absolutely nothing — not why the cars are towed, how many a week, or even where all the thousands of dollars go which come from the towing fines. It was just another in a long series of uninformative articles meant to take up space in the DTH.

The chill has gone up everyone's spines. Where can you park? Nowhere. If you're forced to park illegally in order not to have to walk three miles, you shake with fright all day. In most parts of the civilized world cars are towed away for two reasons:

1. they are in an accident and must be towed away for repairs.
2. they are illegally parked, i.e., liable to cause an accident.

The truly amazing thing about it all is that they distinguish between student and Chapel Hill residents. Come by fraternity court Sunday morning. The students try to park off the street and therefore they jam into fraternity court on the way to church. They constantly get towed away. But the cars parking away on the wrong side of Rosemary Street, sticking out dangerously and restricting traffic, are never touched. I thought we were getting rid of "dual standards."

The important questions are why these cars are being towed away all the time, where does all the money go, and doesn't anyone give a damn about the student and his problems anymore. Four thousand cars into two thousand parking spaces theoretically equals over \$20,000 each time the towers come.

Jay Brilliant
 107 Fraternity Court

Letters To The Editor

Rational Majority Advocates Pro-American Demonstration

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

In view of the recent irresponsible demonstrations, which have spread a blight across this land, condemning the Administration's stand in Viet Nam, it seems opportune if not necessary that the student body of some major university should advance a demonstration backing our Viet Nam policy.

As it stands now, a small minority of of United States student population is giving the world the impression that the American student is an irresponsible rabble rousing anarchist, easily swayed and motivated by shallow and insidious statements of the Far Left. That he is incapable of serious, rational, and coherent thought or consideration of world politics or aware of the extent and meaning of the communist threat.

It is time for the majority of the student population to shake off their apathy and show the world that the American student is first and always an American, proud of his heritage, enamored of freedom, and willing to give all for his country. The world should be made aware that this is his true image and that he is not the draft dodging dilettante which has become his stereotype.

However, it is unfortunate that those who have a rational view of the world situation are the serious students who have neither the time nor the exhibitionistic personality needed to march around carrying placards. It is, however, time for some demonstration which will allow the rational student to display his distaste for the small faction of exhibitionistic professional agitators, pseudo-humanistic ultra liberals, and their unfortunate ignorant dupes, who decry the presence of American troops in that troubled land, but see no wrong in the presence of Chinese trained, Russian armed North Viet Nam battalions in that same land.

These are the people who are quite justifiably horrified and indignant about the loss of innocent life due to American action, but who appear to approve of wholesale murder and terrorist campaigns conducted by VC in the same villages. These same people protest the American bombing of military objectives but apparently see nothing amiss in the VC bombings of cafes, bars, hospitals, and public buildings.

It is therefore time for the rational majority to express their views.

Harry A. Webb
 Tom H. Ridgway
 Lloyd E. Fox
 Arthur F. Eidson
 Frederick D. Vastine
 Charles E. Twine
 Walter C. Worsham
 Chapel Hill

Wake Challenge

(The following letter was written to Claude Pickett, Jr., 201 Mangum, by a friend of his at Wake Forest. Claude submitted the letter to the DTH because he thought it might be interesting reading for a few other Tar Heels.)

Dear Claude,

Well, Saturday is the big day. I suppose that, considering what happened last Saturday, you Carolina students don't consider this game as a very difficult obstacle. However, I hope that you don't make the same mistake that you did against Virginia. We at Wake Forest are neither awed by nor impressed by the Tar Heels, and we will definitely not roll over and play dead when the "mighty Tar Heels(?)" enter Bowman Gray Stadium Saturday. As a matter of fact, we do not consider Carolina as tough as other teams we play such as Florida State, Maryland and Duke.

Don't forget last year, when we completely demolished a big Duke team, leaving four Duke players on stretchers in the process. Also, don't forget last year's Wake-UNC farce at Chapel Hill. You may rest assured that we haven't. That score will be evened this coming Saturday. See you then.

Bud Talley
 Wake Forest College

Positive Comment

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

Congratulations to Steve Lail for his front page article on Tuesday entitled "Quaker Graduate Student 'Stands Up For Decision.'" By closing with an argument opposing Coe's stand Lail suggests that perhaps he, himself, does not agree with Coe. All the more reason why his treatment of Coe as a human being with a dignity of his own and a right to be respectfully heard is so laudable.

I am thankful for this opportunity to make a comment on this subject in a positive vein.

Robert DeMaine
 306 Connor

Puritan Element

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

The University of North Carolina has been plagued this year by many controversial issues that have threatened to impair its image. However, unknown to many, we have discovered a latent threat to the liberal image which Carolina so proudly possesses.

There is, on this campus, an element of puritanism which must be cast aside. It was evident last year among the attire of many of the campus coeds. We are speaking, of course, of the hideous decorations on hidden legs. We hesitate to call them hose for they are indeed more like rattlesnake skins.

What possesses these girls to do such a foul deed? Are their legs in such poor shape that they must be hidden by these atrocities. If so, the remedy is much worse than the disease. Ladies, please cast off this element of puritanism before it destroys Carolina's true image.

Leg watchers of the Hill unite! Cast the evil eye upon these gross conceptions of beauty.

R. J. Angell
 D. V. Lewis
 231 Craige

Let's All Scream

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

In answer to the letter concerning cheerleading in the October 12 Daily Tar Heel, I would like to differ in opinion. Before transferring to Carolina, I was head-cheerleader at a college on the North Carolina coast. Therefore, I feel as though I have some authority to say the things I will. Soon after becoming a cheerleader, I learned that the actions of collegiate cheerleaders were kept to rather uncomplicated but exact movements. There were also fewer cheers, using chants or just a word in many cases.

True, the UNC cheerleaders differ from the ones we had in high-school, as they certainly should. Yes, the actions and cheers are smaller in number but this follows tradition too. The important thing is that the fans make the cheerleaders really worthwhile. In a school this large a few people can't do the cheering for thousands of us. The next game let's all back up the cheerleaders, show some real appreciation, because the lack of enthusiasm may have been in us, not in them.

Michael Freeman
 114 Graham

Barnard's Talent

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

First it was the nurses, now it's those students who don't subscribe to "Kill the enemy" commandment; why is Barnard wasting his talent in ridiculing groups of Carolina students? And why do you allow him to waste DTH space with his misguided and partisan comments? We get enough of that from you, and he should try to entertain us with some pleasant cartoons.

Kunio D. Kikuchi
 401 Connor

