

The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of The Daily Tar Heel are expressed in its editorials. All unsigned editorials are written by the editor. Letters and columns reflect only the personal views of their contributors.

SCOTT GOODFELLOW, EDITOR

DTH Awards Of The Week

Lizards of the Week—To the campus cops who maintained such a high degree of order at the Dook ticket sales Monday morning that there were only a few fights and only one broken nose.

Father of our Country Award—To LBJ, whose Austin radio station called up DTH editor Scott Goodfellow because "our listeners will be so interested in your birth control pill ideas."

Slash of the week—To HHH, who handily fielded a question by Student Body President Bob Powell, saying, "Let's look at facts, not emotions."

Quote of the Week—To journalism professor Joseph Morrison. "I've given quizzes and I've taken quizzes in my time. Giving them is the only way."

Demonstration of the Week—To Michigan State University, which last week held a "kiss-in" in protest to a warning to a college couple engaged in a good night embrace in a dormitory lounge. Demonstrators carried signs, "Make Love Not War," "Love Thy Neighbor."

Useless Fact of the Week—Wednesday marks the seventh anniversary of the day the battleship Wisconsin was put in mothballs.

Self-Liquidation Principle Is Suspiciously Beneficial

(The following is the third and last of a series of editorials discussing items which need restoration in the University's budget.)

The principle of self-liquidation has become entrenched in the budget of the University in the past few "bienniums." Although it has without a doubt provided the University with many facilities which otherwise might not be available, it represents a trend which must be viewed with some suspicion.

Basically, self-liquidation means that an item of construction will be paid for with money obtained through student fees. Few buildings are entirely self-liquidating, since the State frequently pays a substantial part of the cost, but the cumulative investment is usually so large that even a small percentage tagged for student payment can amount to a considerable burden.

An example of a building which will be paid for entirely from student fees is the Student Union, a \$2.5 million construction which will lay its cornerstone later this month. Payment is not starting from scratch, since fees have been accumulating for some time.

In the past two years and in the coming two, approximately \$175 million in construction will occur

on Consolidated University campuses, a large part of which will be in Charlotte. Interestingly, the book value of the entire University is presently a little under \$250, so the new construction represents a major addition.

In the past and coming biennia, under the proposed budget, 41 per cent of the construction costs will be state-supplied. The remainder will come from student fees.

The tendency toward self-liquidation is one which is occurring in many universities. It is an excellent means of financing expensive projects until the point comes when students begin to turn down their acceptance invitations to the university because costs are too high.

Costs now vary from \$1400 to \$1700. This is a fairly decent range. But when the financial burden is increased, as self-liquidating projects force it to do, these costs may begin to prohibit acceptable students from coming to a University campus.

We find the system of self-liquidation a very satisfactory means of financing some large capital improvements. But we are suspicious. Great care must be taken in projecting costs to the student, who in effect, is buying the building himself.



Peter Harris

Viet Life-Death Conflict Disconcerts Americans

Each person feels that he is a worthy human being, that he is capable of contributing something to the society in which he lives.

I dare say that the idea of after-life, is, at best, an uncertain hope for most Americans and, therefore, our immediate life on earth is highly valued. We treasure the gifts we have inherited, the friends we have won and the accomplishments we have gained as well the fabulous hopes that we carry with our idealistic dreams. In short, we value life very highly because we hope to do so much with it.

The irony of our times is that this same life force which we cherish so greatly is often disregarded in spite of controversial national goals. There is a conflict between the prize of life and the risk

of dying of bodies by our war bullets.

Naturally, this same conflict exists as far as the "enemy" is concerned.

The question is, to all men of all religions, who has the damnation to be called enemy and further more what right does any man have to kill another. It is a conflict because while we honor the ideal of life, we also honor the ideal to live that life in freedom and the "pursuit of happiness."

In Vietnam, we try to hold a black and white view that there are two idealistic forces at odds, one expounding freedom and the other tyranny. Disregarding the factor of whether we have any right to aid the South Vietnamese, because that is a rather mute debate in light of our obvious commitment, the real question in the heart of every American ought to be whether he can honestly condone the killing of one man by another.

It has been shown time and time again that the pain of

war is felt on both sides and that on an individual level the desire for peace is equally strong. Therefore, it cannot be moral to blame the war on any single soldier who is a mere pawn in the game of "leaders". It is wrong to cheer the killing 128 Viet Cong in one battle just because we came out the victor.

No man has the right to kill another man. Yet, there is still the question of protection and self defense. If someone came at your love with a knife, you would undoubtedly feel the greatest and most honest compulsion to stop him, no matter what steps were in order. The same, surely, holds for someone trying to kill you, since each of us values his life so highly.

So, here is the conflict; killing is wrong, but apparently only under normal circumstances. The best way to avoid this life-death conflict is not to become involved in it. Yet, sometimes we have no choice. Or do we?

In The Mail

Adultery And The Pill: Or, Adult Sex Education

To the Editor:

You are breaking one of God's Commandments when you commit adultery, whether with or without birth control pills.

The advantages of abstaining are: a clear conscience, avoiding syphilis which causes blindness and other diseases, and self control. The pleasure lasts five minutes and even with pills sometimes pregnancy results.

If you read history you will find that the decline and fall of the Roman Empire was because it became rotten morally. The present immoral status will fade. Pray God! Do you want your daughters twenty-five years from now to become pregnant out of wedlock? Would you like to pay the costs for your daughter's stupid action? Think! Think! Think! God's wheels grind slowly but very fine. We pay for our folly.

P.S.—Twenty years from now you will realize your present thinking is wrong. Archie Stabler Detroit, Mich.

Sex Course

To the Editor:

Now that it is generally agreed that it is the duty of the coeds at U.N.C. to satisfy the sexual desires of the male students, and that the University Health Service should supply birth control pills to them to free them from the guilt and fear which can make execution of this duty uncomfortable at times, shouldn't we begin to look at other University responsibilities in this matter?

It would probably be surprising to learn the number of coeds who come from home situations in which mothers holding antiquated sex views have neglected to teach them the fine arts of seduction and lovemaking. These girls deserve help. I recommend that the P.E. Department institute a two-hour course in sex techniques geared primarily for such girls.

Also, the Dean of Women's Office has been supplying the coeds with guest speakers on such future-oriented topics as careers and marriage and the family. Should their present sex life be neglected for these?

Really, it is not fair to expect the Health Service to place the pill in the hands of inexperienced girls. Neither is it fair to expect Carolina gentlemen to have their needs met by a group of amateurs. We want the BEST at Carolina, in everything, and in this matter no part of the University should neglect its responsibility.

Elizabeth Grady Senior Education Major

ities in this matter?

It would probably be surprising to learn the number of coeds who come from home situations in which mothers holding antiquated sex views have neglected to teach them the fine arts of seduction and lovemaking. These girls deserve help. I recommend that the P.E. Department institute a two-hour course in sex techniques geared primarily for such girls.

Also, the Dean of Women's Office has been supplying the coeds with guest speakers on such future-oriented topics as careers and marriage and the family. Should their present sex life be neglected for these?

Really, it is not fair to expect the Health Service to place the pill in the hands of inexperienced girls. Neither is it fair to expect Carolina gentlemen to have their needs met by a group of amateurs. We want the BEST at Carolina, in everything, and in this matter no part of the University should neglect its responsibility.

Elizabeth Grady Senior Education Major

FDA Approval

To the Editor:

Friday's DTH carried a letter by one S. Bowling who asks, "Can anyone not agree that it is the moral stamina of the co-ed and not the co-ed herself that really needs a pill from the Infirmary?"

As a public service, we would like to warn all potential users that the "moral-stamina" pill does not have FA approval. It is produced under conditions far from sterile and is thought to have harmful side-effects. It is not, to our knowledge, being dispensed by the school Infirmary.

D. F. Crane J. Courtney

UNC Respected

To the Editor:

Much praise is indeed in order for the behavior of the student body at Carolina during Vice-President Humphrey's visit. Some of those chose to demonstrate but did so in a quiet, orderly fashion, showing the respect due a national leader. Hopefully, this was an example to the nation that immaturity, disrespect for national leaders, and violence are not present on the campus of every great University.

Norman Smith N. C. State University

Well Done!

To the Editor:

My sincere congratulations and endorsement goes to you for your recent editorial advocating the dispensing of birth control pills to those coeds who want them. The decision to use them or not is indeed an individual's decision — the methods of control should be obtainable without stipulation.

A job well done! Indianapolis, La.

Preach To Us

To the Editor:

I was shocked to read your

views on the pill for coeds. Enclosing an article by Billy Graham so that two sides of the serious subject will be known to the students at Chapel Hill.

Aren't you aware of the Commandment 'thou shalt not commit adultery'? May our dear Lord guide and enlighten you!

Ann Barton Ft. Lauderdale, Fla.

By BILLY GRAHAM

According to the Bible are sexual intimacies, aside from intercourse, wrong, if they are deep and intimate expressions of true love before marriage?

This is a question that is asked by many young people today. A young person in love is confronted with a real problem. His physical being cries out for expression, and every nerve and fiber of his being demands intimacy with his beloved. But, as I have said over and over, expressions of love prior to holy matrimony require discipline and restraint.

If proper respect for your beloved is not maintained, the whole structure of love breaks down, and dissipates into the common thing called lust.

Many marriages are ruined before the marriage ceremony is performed because the restraint which is a part of true love is not exercised. This is why the Bible urges young people to "flee from youthful lusts."

Through this admonition the Lord is trying to perpetuate the warm glow of real love and guard against it becoming a thing on the mere animal plane, and wise and happy are the young people who heed it.

The Scriptures are clear at this point: "Love doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, thinketh no evil... rejoiceth not in iniquity, but rejoiceth in the truth; beareth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things."

These were written for your happiness. Believe me!

Teddy O'Toole

The 'Wild Armenian' Slandered NSA

(Fourth in a series of articles about the NSA-CIA relationship)

Yes, NSA's philosophical impetus had been student truth and honesty. Its financial impetus had been secrecy and the CIA. After seeing those present officers and coming to my senses, I resolved that the former impetus would live and the latter would die. Later that evening I managed to talk to the other NSA members and found that there was unanimity on that resolution. Our job was clear and we set about it.

But clarity of purpose doesn't necessarily insure success in the objective. During the next six days we further learned firsthand the treacheries of secrecy.

First, there was the problem of bugging. It is one thing to discover a microphone under your pillow or find hot wires going into a pipe in the wall. It is one thing to hear a peculiar buzz in the telephone each time you call out. We found none of these things.

It is another thing to have someone who has been working with the CIA tell you point blank that the Agency had the building and the phones bugged and that agents had been instructed to "keep tabs" on what the NSA was doing.

That was told to us. That takes the mystery and glamour out of bugging stories. That puts invasion of privacy of United States citizens and organizations in the cold, hard perspective it deserves.

I remember smiling as the bugging revelation was made to us. I smiled because I suddenly dragged up from my memory a certain clause in a certain contract that the NSA had reviewed late one night several months before. It was the contract NSA had negotiated with the Independence Foundation of Boston, Massachusetts, in which, through a very complicated lease arrangement, the foundation agreed to pay for the \$700,000 building in which we were meeting. I went up to the President's office and obtained the contract.

Sure enough, it was just as I had remembered it. Included as part of the contract was a stipulation that a certain Washington firm would "renovate" the building. This, of course, meant rewiring the walls, putting in new phone systems, etc.

The Independence Foundation was the second largest CIA front contributor we had received money from. The CIA had helped to write the contract. The CIA knows how

to "renovate" buildings.

Strangely enough, however, the fact that the CIA probably had us bugged did not affect the members of the NSA to any large extent. So what, we thought. We were going to conduct an investigation privately and then we were going to publicly announce our findings and publicly sever all relations with the CIA. It didn't make a great deal of difference to us if the CIA heard what went on in the investigation, because we were going to put it in the newspapers as soon as we had finished. At least, that was our attitude toward the bugging at first. We changed our mind.

We changed our minds when we found out the next day one of the principle reasons why the officers of NSA had been forced to keep the relationship secret. One reason was a twenty year jail sentence. Each officer who had been told about the relationship was told in a certain manner. He was taken to a meeting place, often a motel or hotel, where he would meet some older officers of NSA and some other people whom he thought were strangers. He was told that there was another organization which had some information that might be helpful to NSA in its inter-

national dealings, but that some of the information was classified and he would have to first sign a national security oath in order to receive that information. Having signed the oath, the officer would be told that his organization was partly financed by the CIA and had long been cooperating with the CIA. Revealing the relationship could involve a twenty year jail sentence for endangering national security.

Naturally, we had called in some of those older officers to testify in our investigation. They were still under the oath. There was still some information that the NSA would be seeking that had not as yet been declassified by the Attorney General or leaked out to the press (automatically declassifying it) from sources not under the oath, i.e., Mike Wood and Ramparts. Those officers were not exactly wild about getting into that touchy area or classified information while the friendly ear of the CIA was tuned in.

For that reason, we decided not to hold the investigation in the national office building. So the next day, February 15, we journeyed to the plush International Inn hotel located further downtown in Wash-

ington to begin our investigation.

I am not sure that we got away from the CIA by moving to the Inn, although it's probable that we did not. But we soon learned another lesson in the power of suspicion — this one the most jolting — and we learned it from the good old free responsible American press.

We had told the press as soon as we arrived that the NSA was going to conduct a full investigation and then issue a statement, and that we would not issue a formal statement until the investigation was complete. Then we had moved downtown to the International Inn. These seemed rather innocuous, normal statements and actions to us. But there was a young UPI reporter in Washington (whom other members of the Washington press later referred to as the "wild Armenian") who had contracted suspicion badly. He put two and two together and decided the NSA was just stalling for time while we waited for our instructions from the CIA.

So, during the first night of our investigation the wild Armenian slipped into a room in the International Inn adjoining ours, pressed his ear to the wall, and got his scoop

the old fashioned way. Apparently, the walls were just a little thicker than he had realized, however. One of the things we decided that first night was too continue our affiliation with the International Student Conference (ISC) for seven months, but to discontinue our affiliation after seven months if the ISC hadn't admitted by that time that it received over a million dollars from the CIA last year. The vote on this issue was six to three, with three strong dissents.

The next day, lo and behold, we read the wild Armenian's story of the UPI wire service and in a few of the papers. "The National Supervisory Board is presently meeting secretly in downtown Washington to decide how to secretly continue the NSA-CIA relationship. The vote on the issue of that previous night's secret meeting showed at least six NSA members in favor of continued affiliation, while three members expressed strong dissent from continued CIA affiliation."

A case of suspicion and a thick wall caused a dirty, insidious lie about the NSA to be carried coast-to-coast on a national wire service.

Yes, I learned a lot during those six days in Washington,

The Daily Tar Heel

74 Years of Editorial Freedom
Scott Goodfellow, Editor
Tom Clark, Business Manager
Sandy Treadwell, Managing Ed.
John Askew, Ad. Mgr.
Peter Harris, Associate Ed.
Don Campbell, News Editor
Donna Reifsnider, Feature Ed.
Jeff MacNelly, Sports Editor
Owen Davis, Asst. Spts. Ed.
Joek Lauterer, Photo Editor
David Garvin, Night Editor
Mike McGowan, Photographer
Wayne Hurder, Copy Editor
Ernest Rott, Steve Knowlton,
Carol Wonsavage, Diane Ellis,
Karen Freeman, Hunter George,
Drummond Bell, Owen Davis,
Joey Leigh, Dennis Sanders,
Joe Saunders, Penny Raynor,
Jim Fields, Donna Reifsnider,
Joe Coltrane, Jalis Parker
CARTOONISTS
Bruce Strachan, Jeff MacNelly

The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carolina and is published for students daily except Mondays, examinations periods and vacations.
Second class postage paid at the Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C.
Subscription rate: \$4.50 per semester, \$8 per year. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc. 501 W. Franklin St., Chapel Hill, N. C.