

Colder Today

Colder and windy today with highs in the 50s. Generally fair and quite cool Sunday.

Volume 75, Number 132

Founded February 23, 1893

## Tar Heels Fast Break Into NCAA Finale Heels' Defense Does It Again



Ohio State's Steve Howell

... falls over Joe Brown during rebound attempt in last night's Carolina victory

By LARRY KEITH  
of the DTH Staff

LOS ANGELES — A largely complacent crowd of over 15,000 could have cared less here at the Los Angeles sports arena Friday night as North Carolina overcame its own shoddy play to shatter the glass slipper of Cinderella team Ohio State 80-66 in the NCAA semi-finals.

The Tar Heels, now champions of the East, take a 23-3 record into the 10 p.m. EST national championship game this evening against the Houston-UCLA winner.

As has become the custom, it was North Carolina's always-pressing defense which provided the victory. Near-unknown Bill Bunting was the individual standout, however.

Atoning for his play in last year's semi-final loss to Dayton in which Flyer star Donny May tore him to pieces, the 6-9 junior scored 17 points and retrieved 12 rebounds.

Defensively, he teamed with Rusty Clark to hold the Buckeyes' leading scorer and rebounder Bill Hosket, to 14 points and 9 rebounds before he fouled out with 9:22 to play.

After Hosket departed, Ohio State, the Big Ten and Mid-East Champions never had a chance.

The Buckeyes were down by eight then, 58-50 and they weren't to come any closer.

North Carolina, which never shot nor rebounded impressively took a lead and never

three point margin, its largest of the night, when the Tar Heels scored ten straight points to go from 27-24 down

relinquished toward the end of the first half. Ohio State was sitting on a

to 34-27 up. The half ended there as the Buckeyes did not hit a basket in the final 3:21 of the period.

15 while Scott had 13 and Grubar 11. Grubar scored all but one of his in the final 10 minutes of the game.

### Statistics

UNC	FG	FT	TP	OSU	FG	FT	TP
Miller	10	0-1	20	Howell	6	1-1	13
Bunting	4	9-10	17	Hosket	4	6-9	14
Clark	7	1-1	15	Schnabel	5	1-3	11
Scott	6	1-4	13	Meadows	3	2-2	8
Grubar	4	3-3	11	Finney	8	0-2	16
Fogler	1	0-0	2	Smith	2	0-0	4
Brown	0	0-0	0	Andres	0	0-0	0
Tuttle	1	0-1	2	Geddies	0	0-0	0
Totals	33	14-20	80	Totals	28	10-18	66

OSU hit only 35 per cent of its shots through the first 20 minutes, but it out-rebounded North Carolina 28-25.

The 35 per cent accuracy trend continued for Ohio State in a final half which belonged entirely to the Tar Heels. Their great defensive play made possible enough fast break opportunities for them to hit 18 of 33 shots.

A substitute guard, Jody Finney paced the Buckeyes with 16 points. Hosket's 14 were followed by Steve Howell's 14.

Tar Heel Coach Dean Smith, enjoying his first NCAA final round victory called Bunting "the key to it all."

"He did a great defensive job on Hosket and scored well for us," Smith said.

Ohio State mentor Fred Taylor was "disappointed."

He explained, "We didn't get good penetration on the North Carolina defense and we got hurt much too often by their fast break."

The Tar Heels' second half play was more representative of their true talent.

The Buckeyes never came closer than 6 points and when they did, at 50-44 midway through the period, Clark, Scott and Bunting combined for five straight points.

North Carolina went into its four corners attack with 2:32 remaining and the score 74-62.

Scoring by periods: UNC: 34 46-80 OSU: 27 39-66 Fouled out: UNC, none; OSU, one. Total fouls: UNC, 14; OSU, 18.

UCLA--101  
Houston--69

## Rocky's Pullout Wreaks Havoc

WASHINGTON — Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller's rejection of an active presidential candidacy leaves the national political situation in the following incredible state:

—The Democrats, who already have a man in the White House, are snarled in a three-way battle for the presidential nomination.

—The Republicans, the "outs" for eight years, have only one major candidate for a nomination that looks more valuable every day.

Former Vice President Richard M. Nixon would have been the betting favorite for the GOP nomination even if Rockefeller had joined the race. To say the least, his odds improved Thursday afternoon.

Obviously, some effort to build a Rockefeller draft movement will be made. But it was precisely the difficulty of such a campaign that impelled the New York governor's supporters in Oregon and elsewhere to urge him to declare.

Men can win the nominations of major parties without actively campaigning, but such episodes as the 1952 Democratic selection of Adlai E. Stevenson are rare. And it must be remembered that when there are strong active candidates in the field, a "draft" seeker tends to get lost. John F. Kennedy and Lyndon B. Johnson fought for the nomination in 1960; Stevenson waited and never got called.

Rockefeller, the non-candidate, does have some things going for him, however. First, if he continues to lead Nixon in the public opinion polls, against Johnson or other Democrats, the New York governor is a live possibility.

Second, Rockefeller's rejection of an active campaign role withholds from Nixon the element he lost when Gov. George W. Romney of

Michigan departed opposition in the primaries to dispell any "loser" image remaining from 1960 and 1962.

Finally, Rockefeller may have done himself some good with Republican workers and leaders who have been watching the strife among the Democrats with high glee and hoping that the same would not befall their party.

If Rockefeller intends to remain receptive to a draft, his announcement Thursday carried with it some built-in dangers for that kind of candidacy. Most important, it permits Nixon to generate the kind of winner's momentum that allowed Barry Goldwater to bowl over all last-minute opposition in 1964. In effect, the Rockefeller decision could well have handed the nomination to Nixon five months before the convention.

Also, the decision might open the way to other hopefuls to challenge Nixon. For example, Gov. Ronald Reagan of California, as his state's favorite son, feels no compulsion to withdraw his name from the Oregon primary even though he denies presidential ambitions.

## Bill Courtney Governor Of Ehringhaus

Bill Courtney was elected Governor of Ehringhaus Thursday to succeed Ken Neher.

Other officers elected include John Calder, Academic Lieutenant Governor; Julian Causey, Social Lieutenant Governor; and Charles Gaylor, Treasurer.

Some 40 senators were elected.

## UNC Junior Wins In Writing Contest

Steve Knowlton, a junior from Cape Kennedy, Florida, has been named second place winner of the William H. Hearst Award.

The award consists of a \$500 scholarship and an opportunity to compete in the national championships to be held in New York City, April 26.

Bill Amlong, Editor of the Daily Tar Heel, won two Hearst Awards earlier in the year, and will also participate in the national meet.

Knowlton, who is a candidate for DTH Editor, received the Award for a spot news story written several months ago for

the Suffolk Sun, a newspaper in New York.

The news story, entered into competition by the Carolina Journalism school, concerned SDS-sponsored demonstrations at the University of New York.

Knowlton, a journalism-English major, was chosen sixth place Hearst winner several months ago for editorial writing.

The Hearst Awards are designated each month for excellence in journalistic writing. Entries are judged by a panel of professional journalists.

## Board Rezones Property

By REBEL GOOD

of The Daily Tar Heel Staff  
The Chapel Hill Planning Board recommended Thursday night to the Board of Aldermen that the Eubanks property be rezoned to allow another Granville-type structure to be built.

### High Rise Dorm Planned

The property fronts on Cameron Ave. and extends back to the site of the present Granville Towers-University Square complex.

The dormitory planned for the site would be an eight-story structure to house 746 students.

Much of the Board's discussion centered on the impact such a structure would have on the present character of Cameron Ave.

Robert DeMaine, in a report to the Board, said the "long-range goal for the (Cameron Ave.) area should be basically residential."

"The business district should not be pushed toward Cameron Ave.," he added.

DeMaine did not feel the project would change the area since a "dorm is basically residential." However, he felt that the parking area should not encroach on Cameron Ave.

Several alternative proposals were brought up by DeMaine. The first would require that no parking be allowed on the front 50 feet of the lot facing Cameron.

This would reduce the number of planned parking spaces by 30, and since there is a regulation requiring four spaces for every ten students, would necessitate a reduction in students by 75.

The plans drawn up by Northwestern Mutual Life, the financial backer for the project, already lack 12 spaces. With the loss of an additional 30 spaces the building would have to be cut from 8 to 6 stories.

Frank Hitchens, a representative of Northwestern, said the plans for an eight story dormitory were "borderline" in the economic sense. A reduction in the number of stories would make the project impractical, he said.

Two other alternatives offered by DeMaine called for 30 and 35 foot clearances on Cameron Ave. Both of these proposals, however, would necessitate a reduction of one story due to the elimination of parking spaces.

Dr. Fred Cleveland stated the project was "inherently incompatible with the area." If some way could be found that would keep the area the same, however, then he would support the proposal, Cleveland said.

Cleveland then moved that the Board disapprove the re-

quest for rezoning. The motion failed by a vote of five to two, Mrs. George Welch joining Cleveland in opposing the proposal.

Cleveland then moved that a provision be made that a 50 foot strip fronting Cameron be reserved for landscaping.

It was questioned whether this would not, in effect, kill the project since it would cut parking back so much it would be uneconomical.

Mrs. Welch stated that the visual impact of the parked cars on passers-by must be minimized.

The motion was defeated, Cleveland and Mrs. Welch being its only supporters.

It was then moved that the property be rezoned "as advertised." This was amended to include a provision that a ten-foot strip fronting Cameron be reserved for landscaping. This would reduce the parking spaces by four to six.

The motion carried with only Mrs. Welch dissenting.

The Board then took up consideration of a Special Use Permit requested by Allen Bros. & O'Hara, the developers of the project.

(A Special Use Permit places stipulations on property zoned Limited Business District, as was the portion of the property fronting Cameron.)

A motion was made and passed unanimously to deny entrance and exit by automobiles to the proposed parking lot via Cameron Ave.

It was also moved and carried that the building be set back a minimum of 130 feet from the property line.

Mrs. Welch then moved that a six-foot screen of some form of vegetation be required to shield the viewers' eyes from a "sea of automobiles."

This motion failed and it was finally decided that a landscaping plan be submitted to the Planning Board for approval.

A motion was also passed to provide for pedestrian access to Cameron Ave.

Hitchens said after the meeting that it was uncertain whether Northwestern would continue the project in light of the recommendations of the Planning Board.

All recommendations made by the board will be submitted to and acted on by the Board of Aldermen at a meeting Monday night.



—DTH Staff Photo by Sam Williams

There's nothing quite like going barefoot in the spring, as this young Chapel Hillian discovered Friday in McCorkle Place. Sucking on a lollipop and munching potato chips does much to increase the pleasures of this afternoon romp.

## SL Okays Court

By RICK GRAY

of The Daily Tar Heel Staff  
Student Legislature Thursday night okayed a resolution which, if a majority of the students agree, will establish an honor court composed of members of both sexes.

The resolution was approved by two-thirds of the body, and it passed without any negative votes.

In addition to creating a coed honor court, the bill also provides for the establishment of a graduate school court, making a total of 12 judicial bodies.

The resolution will be voted on by the student body on April 9 when students go to the polls to elect new student body officers.

One other bill voted on by the Legislature called for the establishment of a Student Government scholarship, to be awarded to one student each year and totaling \$200.

The bill was defeated. A second judicial bill on the penalties available to the honor courts was re-committed to committee.

One of the main reasons for the bill's re-commitment was the fact that it contained several references to the "Honor Court" which will not be created until after the stu-

dent body had approved it's establishment.

The bill went back to committee after an amendment proposed by Larry Richter to strike part of Article One, Section One which said that the Dean of Student Affairs would consider a court reprimand in making out recommendations for students who have received a court reprimand.

In other business the body approved an appropriation of \$168.18 to the elections board to conduct the spring elections and a supplementary budget for the Carolina Talent Search.

The election appropriation includes funds for printing, supplies and transportation of ballots to polling places.

The budget approval merely approved the spending of funds which the Talent Search received from "several interested persons." These funds (total—\$149.17) will be used for travel, postage and supplies and printing.

The court bill would create a court made up of members of the Men's and Women's Honor Courts which would try all cases involving academic and non-academic violations of the honor code. Men's and Women's courts would hear campus code violations.