

# The Daily Tar Heel

76 Years of Editorial Freedom

Wayne Hurdor, Editor  
Bill Staton, Business Manager



Dale Gibson, Managing Editor  
Rebel Good, News Editor  
Joe Sanders, Features Editor  
Owen Davis, Sports Editor  
Dick Levy, Associate Editor  
Kermit Buckner, Jr., Advertising Manager

## Trustees' Visit Crucial In Seeking Changes

The Visiting Committee of the University's Board of Trustees pays what could be a very fruitful visit to the Chapel Hill campus today.

They will eat lunch with student leaders today and afterwards will talk to whomever wishes to talk to them. After supper, they will visit the University's only coed residence college.

The Trustees' visit is much welcomed since they hold crucial power in the University and any substantive change in rules must meet with their approval.

Despite the fact that they have often been portrayed as ogres, we think that much good can come from their sitting down and talking to students about what direction the University should take: whether it should allow students more freedom to develop their capabilities or should maintain the present strictures which hamper the student in his trying to adapt to the environment he'll face after college.

The last time the visiting committee came here was two years ago. Since then students have changed their attitudes greatly in reaction to the escalation of the Vietnamese war, the worsening of the urban crisis, the increase in violence in America (evidenced in

the assassination of Robert Kennedy and Martin Luther King) and because of their failure to dent the political system this year.

Thus the Trustees will find a different type of student when they come here than the ones they met with two years ago.

At the same time, if they expect to find here the type of students that precipitated the Columbia revolt they are mistaken. They will find that most students here don't have the same goals that the Columbia radicals have nor do they wish to work outside the system, at the present time.

Hopefully, the Trustees, in their visit, will try to really find out what is happening on the college campus, why it is happening, and why most students feel a change has to be made.

If both groups sit down together and try to discuss each other's attitude without the feeling in mind that the group they are meeting with is the enemy who has to be overcome, then much can probably be accomplished.

At a time when there is a great desire on campus to have the University progress, the visit of the Trustees will have a crucial effect on the University's future.

## Students Ignore Registration Because Of Parking Problem

The parking problem continues to grow on campus and so far this year the Administration's response to the situation has been to say that parking rules are to be more stringently enforced.

University Parking Director Alonzo Squires said Wednesday that the rate of registration of cars indicated that a lot of students were not registering their cars.

The campus Police, because of the failure of many students to register their cars, is going to crack down on parking violators and try to find those students who have not registered their car and still park on campus.

Right now the Administration plans to do no more than force those who didn't register to pay a late registration fee. This is good.

However, an effort to go even further in punishing the student would be unwarranted because the parking situation on campus can only lead students to try to beat the system.

What good can a student see in paying \$10 or \$7.50 to register his car and find that that sticker, one doesn't guarantee him a parking place, and, secondly, even if he can find a space, the lot the student is usually told to park in is far from where he wants to go.

One example of the ridiculous system is the case of Scott Residence College. Male residents are given stickers which entitle them to park in either the Ehringhaus parking lot (or the Craige parking lot, far away.) Scott drivers have to compete with Ehringhaus residents for a space in their lot, the only lot close to Scott College that the residents are entitled to use.

Even more absurd is the T-Sticker. Owners of the T-Stickers (those persons living off-campus but within 30 minutes walking distance of campus) pay \$2.50 so they can park nowhere on campus.

Little wonder then that students don't register their cars in an effort to get a parking space.

The University has finally decided to do something to make the coeds' dorms more secure. The action has been long awaited and much needed.

Last year a prowler proved the security arrangements faulty several times but little was done then to make them stronger.

Now, unfortunately, the Dean of Women's office has decided to install stronger screens, more lights, and an alarm system in the women's dorms.

The Dean of Women's office deserves more congratulations for finally getting around to insuring coeds some security in their dorms.

Hopefully, in the future they can continue to act so positively on the needs of UNC coeds.

## Improved Security In Coed Dorms Finally Comes

Unless something drastic is done shortly, the problem will continue to grow as the student enrollment and number of faculty members increases.

While a high rise parking lot on campus is out of the question, the Administration needs to give some serious thought and lots of time to the problem. They should seriously consider an alternative such as building a mass parking lot on the fringe of the campus and banning cars (of both students, administrators, and faculty) from the main campus, with decent bus service provided.

In the meantime, before anything major can be done, the Administration should not be surprised when students refuse to register their cars at the start of the year.

Otelia Connor

# Manners: Better Or Worse?

By Otelia Connor

In 1963, the year I was in Time Magazine, a graduate student whom I met on the campus said, "Mrs. Connor, beware of hubris." I asked, "What in the world is that?" He answered, "It is the Greek word for too much pride!"

It would have been well if I had taken his advice. I have been bragging too much about the good manners of my students. During the summer I have told many of them that the manners in Lenoir and the Pine Room were the worst I had ever seen. And they were not all summer school students either.

They eat with their arms and elbows on the table. They pull chairs away from tables without asking permission. They say huh and un-huh, and one person had one leg on the table. They wear pencils behind the ear, and most of them were chewing gum.

A great many students are pulling off their shoes before putting their feet on the tables in Graham Memorial. Many of them are not.

Today, I was reading the newspaper in Graham Memorial when I saw a student pull a large lounge chair around in front of the newspaper rack. I watched to see if he would replace the chair when he left.

Of course, he didn't. I called to him—"You go back and put that chair where you got it." He looked perplexed and I repeated what I said and added, "If any of you ever put anything back where you got it—You would drop dead!" He put it back.

When I recounted this to some one in the Inn Cafeteria, his remark was—"They are not taught in their homes to put chairs back in place." I replied, "Nor any other thing."

I don't expect the new students to know me, but I am surprised at how many freshmen and sophomores think I am a myth. They say they knew about me but didn't

think I existed. I have slowed down a lot, and for the last two years I haven't gotten around to all the campus activities as I once did, but I hope I will be around for a good while yet, and I am just as much interested in the well-being of my 15,000 children as ever. So be on the lookout for Otelia, and her umbrella!

Editors Note: Mrs. Connor is the official Guardian of Student Manners on this campus. Whatever gentility and charm may still remain in this bastion of Southern intellectualism is due in large measure to her persistent efforts.

The Daily Tar Heel is published by the University of North Carolina Student Publication Board, daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations. Offices are on the second floor of Graham Memorial. Telephone numbers: editorial, sports, news—933-1011; business, circulation, advertising—933-1163. Address: Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514. Second class postage paid at U.S. Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription rates: \$9 per year; \$5 per semester.



## Would You Believe—Experimental Campus?

"Stop, children, what's that sound? Everybody look what's goin' 'round."  
—Buffalo Springfield

According to the big guns and chief sages in Carolina's student government, this is to be the year of "experimental" everything: already the experimental college ran experimental orientation, and it seems that Ken Day wants traditional student government hierarchy to be replaced by an experimental student government.

Well, that's great. Simply fantastic. And now that all the political hacks and other SG functionaries have had their say, I'd like to make several suggestions, all of them (naturally) being experimental.

First of all, I don't like this campus. But the solution's easy. Let's set up an experimental campus halfway between Chapel Hill and Raleigh. This experimental campus could be the center for all sorts of future experimental programs.

On this new campus, the main change will have to be an experimental residence college system. Obviously, the present collection of dorms, high-rise and otherwise, has failed to materialize as the panacea for the dorm man's basic inferiority to the frat man.

So there are no frats or residence colleges (as we now know them) on the experimental campus. In their places are the experimental residence colleges which consist of four-person lean-tos. Within the "confines" of the lean-tos can reside two men and two women students, practicing not traditional free love, but rather the new, more exciting version of experimental free love.

Those who don't fare well in this environment of experimental free love can always go back to the Chapel Hill campus with its more formalized, nineteenth century style of lovemaking.

Recognizing that even experimental experiments need some leadership, our new campus will offer a system of rotation where the top two offices are concerned. So while the students who remain in Chapel Hill will have to listen to the banalities of such dull types as student body president Ken Day, students on the experimental campus can all share the leadership and the blame for any failures (if, indeed, there could be failures in an experimental environment).

Of course we'll need an experimental administration, since no one would be satisfied with Chancellor Sitterson and his gang. While not being wildly enthusiastic about any

of those who have been mentioned, I will probably support Dick Levy, world's greatest living experiment in being pompously obnoxious, for experimental chancellor.

As for other functionaries, I'll just have to face up to the facts that there can be no replacements for such luminaries as Deans Carmichael, Cathey, and Cansler. That would be just too

much. The final touch will have been added when we install experimental students to be taught by the experimental instructors in courses of experimental learning. That should be the ultimate in this fantastic parade of experiments.

Enough? We... the score is as follows: up to this point I have mentioned the word

"experimental" over twenty times.

During the coming year, it will probably be used thousands of times to describe nonsensical programs and other idiotic student government lunacies.

Just remember, whenever you hear it, that the experimental campus needs you!

## Low-Cost?

The Chapel Hill Weekly

The most deceptive thing about low-cost housing—as the term is applied to government-financed projects in Chapel Hill—is that there is nothing low-cost about it other than the rental fees.

The units built on Lindsay Street and Gomains Avenue averaged out at something like \$15,000 apiece. The units being constructed on North Columbia Street doubtless will come in at something more than that. And the latest project, proposed for construction on the 15-501 Bypass, is expected to cost about \$22,000 per unit.

The obvious question is why local housing authorities and the federal government do not build single-family dwellings instead of apartment projects. The cost would be less, the housing itself certainly more desirable, and the risk of creating new ghettos would be minimized. Also, it seems that individual lots would be more readily available and at more reasonable prices than the exorbitant prices the federal government is paying for tracts.

There undoubtedly are reasons why the single-family housing approach is not being taken. It would be interesting to a good many people to hear them.