

# The Daily Tar Heel

76 Years Of Editorial Freedom

Day Opposition

Student Body President Ken Day opposes Administration plans to require South Campus residents in single rooms to pay private room prices or find a roommate. See page four.

Volume 76, Number 40

CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1968

Founded February 23, 1893



DTH Staff Photo By Steve Adams

## Tar Heels Invade Air Force Falcons No Longer Rely On The Bomb

By OWEN DAVIS  
DTH Sports Editor

AIR FORCE ACADEMY, Colo.—A school whose moral fiber has been questioned and a rigidly-disciplined military academy collide in a football game here this afternoon, and the winner will score a victory for its educational system as well as its gridiron record. Carolina, which drew state gubernatorial candidate Jim Gardner's ire over its moral standards this week, will test its theory of free thought against the Air Force Academy's strict rules of military conformity, which are supposed to make men.

The teams will vie in the ideological and football struggle at Falcon Stadium, which rests 6,000 feet above sea level on the eastern slope of the Rocky Mountains. Gametime is 1:30 Mountain time, 3:30 Eastern Standard Chapel Hill time.

Air Force is probably the favorite foe for North Carolina partisans, because it was the Falcons that UNC defeated 35-0 in the 1963 Gator Bowl, the Tar Heels' finest hour in the last 20 years.

But the last two regular season meetings have been won by the Academy, including a 10-8 victory here last season. Carolina Coach Bill Dooley discounts the altitude factor in the thinner air of Continental Divide country, which has prompted some Air Force opponents to use oxygen masks on the sidelines.

"The altitude is just a mental thing," says Dooley. "Last year we were stronger in the second half than in the first, and we almost pulled out a victory in the last quarter." What worries Dooley most is the wide open offense the Falcons are running this season.

"Air Force uses 38 different offensive formations," he said, "and has scored over 20 points a game. In comparison we use about a dozen formations." But Falcon Coach Ben Martin has similar ideas about the Tar Heels, and he said

Thursday that "Carolina runs more offensive sets than any team we have faced this year." Air Force has a 4-2 record, including a victory over Wyoming, undefeated last season. Carolina sports a 2-4 slate with two wins over Southeastern Conference teams.

The Tar Heels and Falcons are very close statistically. Both teams have rushed over 1,100 yards and passed just over 700, and there is only 52 yards difference in their total offense.

The two styles of attack also emphasize the rushing game, but the similarity ends there. Air Force features a quick, explosive offense with many end sweeps by speedster halfbacks Curtis Martin and Ernest Jennings.

Carolina on the other hand relies on the bootleg runs of quarterback Gayle Bomar and the tough inside game of its

hefty wingbacks and tailbacks. AFA Coach Martin said that "Bomar is the best quarterback we will face this year." "Defense has been a problem for UNC, and the Tar Heels have yielded 165 points in their six contests. Carolina has been hurt mostly on the

(Continued on Page 4)

## Mercer Asks All Students Attend Forum; Hits SSOC

By TOM SNOOK  
DTH Staff Writer

Student Body Vice-President Charlie Mercer urged Friday that all students attend the open forum with the student members of the visitation committee to be held Monday afternoon.

Noting that the Southern Student Organizing Committee (SSOC) called off their march on the visitation committee's meeting Monday night, Mercer stated that SSOC does not have the interests of students foremost in their mind.

If they did, he noted, not only would they hold the march, but they would also ask the students to come to the forum Monday afternoon and question the student members of the committee.

Mercer said, "SSOC has tried to make the students believe that SSOC is responsible for the ultimate policy that will come out of this committee. By calling off the march, they have proven that they aren't. Student Government, by representing the students, is responsible."

In describing the history of the formation of the visitation committee and the talks to date, Mercer noted that it was student government leaders and interested students who began meeting with the administration in the summer to urge the adoption of a visitation policy. He added that SSOC had not even been formed at this time.

Secondly, he noted it was student government that did the research and obtained the facts from other universities about their visitation policies which convinced the administration that they should work with

representatives of the student body to obtain a visitation policy.

Mercer added, "Ironically, it was Bill Darrah who SSOC criticized at the first march last Monday night; he is responsible for compiling the information which spurred the administration to consider a policy."

"In regard to the petition," Mercer continued, "it was a joint effort by student government and SSOC-not SSOC alone. At the insistence of the students on the committee, this petition

weighed heavily in proving to faculty and administration members that the student wanted a policy on visitation."

He noted that other petitions such as thyones collected at James and Morrison were done independently of SSOC and have been important in urging immediate action by the committee.

He said, "It was students outside of SSOC who enabled the committee's proposal to go straight to the Chancellor and thus by-pass normal bureaucratic channels."

Mercer stated that there were three primary reasons responsible for the progress made towards a visitation policy. He said, "First of all, it was the student body who has shown that they want and need it for a more wholesome social and academic environment."

Secondly, it was the student government that has researched visitation and related students' feelings on it to the administration. And finally it is the administration that has recognized the need for a policy and is working at the insistence of students to fulfill it."

## Visitation March Aimed At Sitterson Says SSOC

By BRYAN CUMMING  
DTH Staff Writer

The proposed march for visitation will be directed at Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson rather than his advisory committee, the University and Student Committee revealed Friday. This marks a new strategy in the fight for visitation.

The University and Student Committee, in a mass meeting of Southern Students Organizing Committee (SSOC) held Oct. 31, released a statement explaining the new strategy. A march for visitation was held Monday, Oct. 28, in support of the meeting of the student-faculty committee on Coed Visitation.

It was decided that student attention should be directed toward the Chancellor, since the committee is responsible to him, rather than to the committee. The statement listed its grievances as follows:

1) What probably angered us most at the walk last Monday night was that the student-faculty committee on visitation refused to relate to us the particulars of their discussions. In essence what they were doing was assuming the same role the administration assumes when it decides a policy that will affect the students and yet fails to consider the overall students' opinions. This type of action

seemed to indicate to us that— 2) the committee did not represent the students and was not responsible to the students, but was in fact responsible to the Chancellor.

3) We also discussed the fact that the committee was only an advisory board and the final decision rests with the Chancellor.

The statement further states that a walk on the committee would "be of no value." Instead, the group plans "to walk to the Chancellor's house as soon as he receives the recommendations of the Committee."

"At that time we will present our own recommendations to the Chancellor. Our recommendations will be determined entirely by our belief in the right of the student to self-determination."

"We will also include a demand that in the future all committees of this nature be open to general student attendance and participation."

Other issues that were discussed at the general SSOC meeting were the statewide conference to be held Nov. 8, 9, and 10, the petition of the Draft Resistance Union, a street party to be held election night, the new SSOC office in Chapel Hill and the initiation of a weekly newsletter.

The theme of the conference, to be held in

Durham, is "The student as nigger." According to SSOC worker George Vlasits, the

(Continued on Page 3)

## SP Plans Drug Policy Discussion

A discussion on drug policy will be held at the Student Party meeting, Sunday, Nov. 3 at 7:30 p.m. in Gerrard Hall.

The Student Legislature will vote on the drug policy on Thursday, Nov. 7.

The meeting is the first of the final series of judicial hearings and discussions on drugs before the Student Legislature votes on a drug policy.

Ken Day, president of the student body, will join the drug discussion at 8:00 p.m. The medical implications of a drug policy will be discussed at 9:00 p.m. at the home of Dr. Clifford Kiffer. Transportation will be provided for interested persons.

Legislative vacancies will be filled at the meeting. These vacancies are in Morrison, Granville East, Men's District I (Victory Village and out of town.) Men's District IV and the Joyner-Winston-Cornnor District.

On The UNC Campus, There Are Plenty Of Things To Do  
... Such As Play Football Or Even Just Sit Around With Someone

## SL Okays Lenoir Boycott

By TOM SNOOK  
DTH Staff Writer

A bill calling for the boycott of breakfast at Lenoir Cafeteria and the Pine Room on November 6, 7, and 8 was passed by Student Legislature Thursday night.

The bill, which originally called for approval of Student Body President Ken Day's appointments to the Executive Branch of the Student Government, was amended with the proposal for the boycott.

Representative Rafael Perez (MD VII) introduced the amendment as a protest against the UNC sandwiches and the University Food Service.

Plans currently call for a booth to be set up in front of Lenoir Hall offering coffee and donuts to students during boycott.

A resolution calling for an amendment to the student constitution to permit the establishment of MRC courts in the residential colleges on an experimental basis passed legislature favorably.

Opposition to the bill arose when several legislators questioned the effect of individual courts as opposed to a standard court. Representative Richie Leonard then suggested that an appeals court could be established which by precedent could overturn decisions of the lower court.

The measure came up for a vote and was passed. As a result, a referendum will be called for November 12 to

establish the amendment. A bill to appropriate \$363 dollars from the general surplus (Continued on Page 3)

## Two Arrested In Scuffle At Orange County School

HILLSBOROUGH, N.C. (UPI)—Two Negro youths were arrested Friday in a brawl between white and negro pupils in the corridors of Orange County High School.

Two white students required hospital treatment—one for a slash

wound across the face and the other for a head injury. Both were treated and released. There were no reports of more serious injuries.

Orange County High has an enrollment of about 800. About 20 pupils joined in the fight which lasted only a few

minutes. About 12 of them were negro.

Two unidentified negro youths were arrested by the Orange County Sheriff's department. Neither attended the school. They were arrested for trespassing.

Last Of A Series

## GSA: New Weapon For The Graduate Student

By BOBBY NOWELL  
DTH Staff Writer  
(Final in a series)

Carolina graduate students, separated intellectually and socially from their graduate peers by tradition rather than by written rules, have themselves taken up thyfight against the laissez-faire attitude which has created their isolation from the rest of the University.

A recent survey by the Daily Tar Heel revealed that fragmented relations between graduate students and faculty-administration is a

prime cause of disquietude in the ranks of the former.

That is where the Graduate Student Association steps in. The first group which attempts to unite all departments of the graduate school, its success or failure at attempted liaison with South Building and Student Government will largely determine whether the plight of the Chapel Hill grad student will improve.

The individual departments of the grad school have always had their student clubs, but the GSA, which was organized only last spring, wants to tie these together in order to (1)

reconcile the grad school to the undergrads and administration, and (2) to bring about change in the overall programs of the University.

Simply speaking, the problem at its origin lies in the student-faculty relationship.

Every faculty professor at Carolina is expected to be able to teach both graduate and undergraduate courses. Although there is a "graduate faculty" which limits itself to conducting graduate courses, the burden on those profs who "go both ways" is tremendous, even if one considers only the semester hours taught.

'At Least, We Don't Have The Extreme Forms Of Isolation Here As They Do At Berkeley . . . Where The Student May Never See His Instructor More Than Once A Semester.'

Thus the problem of accessibility to the faculty is very real for some.

However, Dr. James C. Ingram, Dean of the UNC Graduate School, says, "Comparatively speaking, my impression is that we're better

off than many large universities in this respect. Our graduate students can get in touch with their profs when they need to—they get good exposure by and large."

"At least," he concluded, "we don't have the extreme

forms of isolation here as they do at Berkeley, for example, where the student may never see his instructor more than once in a semester."

Many graduate leaders feel this is begging the question somewhat, but the fact is that the University is still becoming acclimated to the onrush of graduate students into its ranks in the past ten years.

The real issue, these leaders believe, is breaking up the centralization and lack of flexibility which characterizes the current education process and is the major reason that "education is just not what it

should be." To attack the problems which concern it most and which it feels are largely underplayed by the undergrad Student Government, the GSA has committed actively engaged in six areas.

The projected aims of the Academic Reform Committee are typical of the overall GSA approach to reform.

Zan White, of the English Department, believes "a lot of things we're facing as grads are directly related to the undergraduate system."

"Your education at the University for the first two

years is de-personalized and computerized. When you become a graduate student, still very much a part of this system, and when the job of teaching falls to you, you have to question the merits of the existing system.

"For example, we're trying to break away from the idea that a particular course must be taught either in lecture or in seminar. It should vary with the professor, because many can teach well in one of these ways, but not both.

"Also we are fighting against students, especially (Continued on page 3)