

# The Daily Tar Heel

76 Years of Editorial Freedom

Wayne Hurder, Editor  
Bill Staton, Business Manager



Dale Gibson, Managing Editor  
Rebel Good, News Editor  
Harvey Elliott, Features Editor  
Owen Davis, Sports Editor  
Scott Goodfellow, Associate Editor  
Kermit Buckner, Jr., Advertising Manager

The Daily Tar Heel is published by the University of North Carolina Student Publication's Board, daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations and during summer periods.

Offices are on the second floor of Graham Memorial. Telephone numbers: editorial, sports, news—933-1011; business, circulation, advertising—933-1163. Address: Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514.

Second class postage paid at U.S. Post Office in Chapel Hill, N.C.

Subscription rates: \$9 per year; \$5 per semester. We regret that we can accept only prepaid subscriptions.

## Ken Ripley

# Code A 'Sinister' Device?

With all the harsh criticism of the Campus Code emerging around the campus, there are some good points to the illustrious statute which its detractors would do well to consider.

First, through the recognition and attempted practice of the code, students are thus able to participate in the traditional aspects of college life which they might otherwise miss.

Faced with the immense bliss and

gloriously high standard that comes of being a Carolina Gentleman, the average UNC Gentleman is entitled to enjoy the frustrations, the nagging guilt feelings, the confusion, and the wondrous shattering disillusionment of knowing that he can never be equal to the responsibility laid upon him.

Such warm feelings would be horribly missed by students who have come to expect them as their just due. For without the Campus Code, there is

nothing to rebel against by drinking lustily and maintaining individuality and identity by proclaiming these obvious attributes to all on Franklin Street.

Second, the Campus Code as it has been carefully preserved from its medieval collegiate beginnings is a marvelously practical code for the busy students on campus.

Under the present system, a student can spend the whole summer getting into trouble, come back to school in the fall, and get into trouble all over again without having to do anything. This obvious advantage allows students to get their serious play finished in the summer and frees them for study during the year without sacrificing any of the recognition and excitement of being tried for public displays, drinking, and whatever. For the minimum of work, the student now gets the maximum of publicity, as well as cuts both time and money spent by half.

Third, the code is a practical remedy for fellow students to please friends or enemies without having to do much. Simply by reporting holiday or summer infractions of the code, the obliging student can set the judicial process in motion for the offending student.

With these distinct advantages to the Campus Code, why change now a code that if removed will leave UNC freer, more just, and much more dull for everyone?

## 95 Per Cent Markup: Is It Necessary?

Thursday in our lead editorial we wondered why Tom Shetley wouldn't release the wholesale prices of items sold in the Book Exchange to members of the Student Stores Committee.

That same day we found out perhaps one reason he isn't releasing those figures: they are too embarrassing—for instance, an average of 95 per cent markup over

marked up so grossly in order that they might sell other items cheaply to students.

However, if this is the case, the Book Exchange should not be revealing their wholesale prices. They should tell the Student Stores Committee what they are paying for wholesale items and what their average markup is.

Shetley, the head of the Book Ex, seems to forget that the Book Exchange is supposed to be a student store; that it is built for the benefit of the students and that it is supposed to benefit them by offering them lower prices than they could get from Chapel Hill merchants and by turning over what profits they get to the Scholarship Fund.

He seems to forget that the big, beautiful building in which students are presently being fleeced was built by the profits reaped off student buyers, and therefore, should be considered even more the students' store.

As it is now, he is acting as if he were a Franklin St. Merchant, free to jack up his prices at will, to hide from the buyers the financial details of his operation, and to otherwise trample over the students. That is not the case; he is the manager of the students stores at a public institution and should begin acting as such.

For a start, we suggest that he reveal to the Student Stores Committee all of his wholesale prices so that the committee and students can tell whether he is unjustly fleecing the students.

## 95% mark-up at Book-Ex



### Chase Saunders

# SSOC—SP Plus 2 Letters

"We don't have enough time to premeditate our actions." (Vauvenargues)

This statement can be applied to campus activist groups, i.e., student government, SSOC, and the anti-war sect. Application is largely a question of degree. In this respect, there are significant contrasts.

Student Government may premeditate too much, if at all, but at least they examine an issue from several sides. As a result, their efforts have brought improvement to the campus this year. Under the leadership of Ken Day, who puts over forty hours a week into his job, things are being done: a. the bus system has been put into action by John McMurray and Bill Darrah; thousands of footsore men are no more; b. women's hours have been altered through cooperation with the Dean of Women's Office, the WRC, and the WHC; c. the Honor Code and Campus Code are being revised by Harry Diffendal, Charles Mercer, and Rafael Perez; and d. the

Course Evaluation Book, for the first time in four years, has been distributed. And some policy of visitation will be declared in the future.

The degree of premeditation of our activist student government may not be sufficient enough, but it has made a good record for itself so far this year.

SSOC, another activist group, when regarded in light of the quote, provides a paradox. On the surface the organization appears to be concerned with campus issues because it organizes demonstrations. Unfortunately, a demonstration does not provide any solution much less a negotiator to work out a change in a bad system. It serves only as an emotional gathering.

The posting of signs is the only effort necessary. Yet SSOC seems to be concerned with "the issues". Perhaps this concern arises from the fact that they realize a political party must give token support to the issues.

If they are a new party, it is inevitable

that they will deal with the problems at hand, get the administration to back down from major stances, and save the students. This is reminiscent, oddly enough, of the days of the UP and the SP. But as someone stated the other day, "The two extra letters must make the difference."

Our final group of premeditators are the anti-war folk. These people do not see that the leaders of the United States are against war. They constantly pour sand on the Sahara by handing out the same old literature, rallying, and playing skits. They ought to premeditate a little more, because there are worthwhile activities.

If the energy spent by two of the three activist group were focused upon legitimate goals, such as improving the image of the University on the State Affairs Committee, or working to lower prices at the Book Ex, true change would be imminent. The work done by the members of student government will be remembered when demonstrations are long forgotten.

## Letters

### Students Protest Nasty Reprimand

To the Editor:

As another indication of the town merchants' abuse of their student patronage, several of us were verbally attacked, without provocation, at the Carolina Theater on Tuesday afternoon.

While waiting quietly in the lobby for the next feature, we were told twice to "shut up" (a direct quote). Although the lobby was quite noisy, the disturbance was caused mostly by local elementary, and junior and senior high school kids. Had we been contributing to the noise, we would have accepted the reprimand. We could even have dismissed the first warning as a mistake on the part of the management; however, the second warning was totally unjustified, as a word had not passed among us since the first. Upon our attempt to explain our innocence, the employee turned away without listening. Those causing the disturbance, prevalently and obviously denizens of Chapel Hill, were not similarly spoken to.

True, there are only two theaters in town, but such rudeness is unexcusable anytime.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Bishop  
Marsha Cude  
Linda Fox  
Evelyn Lewis  
Donna Lipshitz  
Third floor, East Cobb

### Ads Stir Up DTH Reader

To the Editor:

Everyone who has had any economics at all knows that most advertising costs the consumer but has relatively little benefit to him—especially uninformative advertising. And that is exactly what the Student Stores' advertising is—uninformative.

What good does a picture and some biographical information on the Student Store Employee of the week do the consumer? No one gives a damn—not even the employee. In fact, the advertisement does the employee no good.

Why not just give him the money it costs for the advertisement? He would probably rather have it, and it would serve as just as good as incentive.

Now I do not propose that the Student Stores' (which are not really the students' stores at all but rather the administration and athletic departments' stores) stop advertising. The Tar Heel needs the business so they can afford to print attacks like this. They should though begin to advertise in such a way as to inform the students of something—like their outrageous prices. Or they could advertise a sale. But then they never have any sales. But then again neither does Julian's. Who needs to have a sale in a captive market?

Sincerely,  
John E. Kelly  
108 Cameron

## Letters To The Editor

The Daily Tar Heel accepts all letters for publication provided they are typed, double-spaced and signed. Letters should be no longer than 300 words in length. We reserve the right to edit for libelous statements.



Money Grubbing Chapel Hill Style

the wholesale price on 30 selected items that the DTH was able to find out the wholesale price for. The markup ranged from 196 per cent for art pencils to a "mere" 56 per cent on gym shoes.

This 95 per cent is about twice what persons in the Business Administration School say is the average markup for retail items.

In the Book Exchange's favor, it is possible that these items are

## Visitation Policy Good

The visitation policy that students have been pushing for all this fall has finally been approved by the Administration.

What has finally come out of the Visitation Committee is a fairly liberal policy, more liberal than what most colleges and universities across the country have, if they have any policy at all.

For this the students have several groups to thank:

—the Administration, for not getting hung up in paranoid fear of what the reaction of the state and the parents of students would be, and for not delaying the policy any longer than was necessary to be able to give it thoughtful

consideration;  
—Student Government (particularly Bill Darrah, Bob Forbes, and Charles Jeffress, student members of the Visitation Committee) which did a lot of the research to show that visitation was needed on campus;

—and, the Southern Student Organizing Committee, which built up strong student support for the policy and provided students with a means of expressing their opinion through their petition and two marches.

No faction can be considered more responsible for getting the policy than any other; all equally deserve credit despite the fact that members of each group will probably tell you that their group is actually more responsible for the policy.

The plan that has been approved is merely experimental, subject to revision this spring. That's good, because, while the policy is good, it could be better; it could give dorm residents more control over themselves than is now allowed.

While the program gives the students substantial freedom, we feel it is too restrictive in setting the number of times per month there can be open hours and in setting the number of hours per day when it can be held. We feel this should be left up to students.

We hope that dormitory residents will do their utmost to make the experimental policy work out well so they can get increased responsibility for the program at the end of the spring.

Likewise, we hope members of the Administration will remember this is merely an experimental program and will leave themselves open for changes in the program this spring.

The following is the first of several articles concerning the draft. Future articles will cover the following topics connected with the draft: Channeling, Psychological Effects of the draft, Conscientious Objection, Draft Dodging, Draft Resistance, "Is the draft necessary?", etc. These articles are prepared by the North Carolina Draft Resisters Union, which is supported by the New University Conference (NUC) and the Southern Students' Organizing Committee (SSOC).

No American male between the ages of 19 and 26 need fulfill his military obligation by actually serving in the armed forces; in fact, the provisions of the Selective Service Law concerning obligations and duties may prevent it. Most men do not realize this and are inducted, possibly illegally. Counseling about obligations under the law can keep you out.

The Selective Service Law, like the Federal Income Tax Law, is designed to limit your liability under law. The tax laws require you to pay your fair share of taxes, but no more than your fair share. Similarly, in its "Channeling" memo, the Selective Service describes how the draft is to function and what its goals are when you try to limit your liability. Reprints of this memorandum are available at the SSOC draft table in Y-Court on Mondays and Thursdays from 10 to 2.

In order to fulfill your military obligation you should know the Selective Service Law and how it affects you. For this it is helpful to discuss your situation with a draft counselor who knows the latest information on the Selective Service and pertinent Army regulations, and the results of recent court cases.

## Classifications

# Draft Topics Expanded

Very shortly after receiving your academic degree you will be reclassified and probably inducted unless you know what to do and then do it. The classifications of interest are:

1-A You will be inducted when your number comes up, oldest first.

1-A-0 A conscientious objector who serves in the Army as a noncombatant, usually a medic. It is worth nothing that a medic must treat only those whom the officers order treated, which may not include women and children. Two out of five conscientious objectors are 1-A-0.

1-0 A conscientious objector who does not serve in the armed forces, but when called, performs two years of alternative service. This service must be acceptable to his draft board, and, of course, conscientiously acceptable to him. Such work might be in a hospital or in something like the Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center.

2-A An occupational deferment obtainable if your draft board decides your work is critical to the national security or essential for community needs.

2-S The student deferment obtainable by undergraduates, by law, if you ask for it and you are making "normal progress." What constitutes normal progress is written into the law.

3-A You are a father, or someone dependent upon you would suffer extreme hardship if you served. Hardship need not necessarily be financial. If you have recently received your 2-S and lose it (by graduating, dropping out, etc.) you probably cannot obtain a 3-A deferment merely by being a father, although the law is not clear on this point. You must show that military service would cause extreme hardship to one of your

dependents unless you are willing to test the case in court.

4-F Physically, mentally, or morally unfit for military service.

1-Y Unfit according to present standards and your current physical, mental, or moral health. You may be re-examined later to see if you are fit. If the standards change both 4-F and 1-Y registrants may be reclassified 1-A.

Besides knowing the Selective Service Law and regulations, each young man should know his own position vis-a-vis war. Many men are conscientious objectors without realizing it. For example, a conscientious objector is not necessarily an absolute pacifist who eschews all forms of violence. It is sufficient to be conscientiously opposed to war.

A draft counselor can help you find your own stand on the issue as well as help you understand your obligations under the law. A list of counselors is available at the SSOC draft table. Some of these counselors are mainly interested in aiding objectors, others in other draft problems. The counselors are not lawyers. If you have technical legal questions or find yourself in trouble, get a lawyer. Draft counselors can direct you to lawyers experienced in draft law.

Obtaining the services of a lawyer need not be financially disastrous. The North Carolina Legal Defense Fund is established to aid in the legal defense of those who allegedly refuse induction. The Central Committee for Conscientious Objectors aids, gratis, everyone with serious draft problems, conscientious objector or other. The American Friends Service Committee in High Point has a Conscientious Objectors' Fund for any who need use of it.

## Sat. March To Support Free Speech

The United Anti-War Mobilization Front (UAWMF) will sponsor a march down Franklin St. to Carrboro Saturday morning in support of the right of soldiers to freedom of speech.

The march promises to be an orderly expression of support since the UAWMF has gotten permission from the police to march down Franklin St. even to the point of having police car leading the way. We encourage you to take your time to exercise your rights of expression so that others might do likewise.