

The Daily Tar Heel

76 Years of Editorial Freedom

Wayne Hurder, Editor
Bill Stator, Business Manager



Dale Gibson, Managing Editor
Rebel Good, News Editor
Harvey Elliott, Features Editor
Owen Davis, Sports Editor
Scott Goodfellow, Associate Editor
Kermit Buckner, Jr., Advertising Manager

More Debates Needed On Business, Morality

The debate between the Dow Chemical Co. representative and UNC assistant professor Lewis Lipsitz turned out to be an excellent discussion on morality and government which, as Lipsitz said, "serves as a far more important purpose than anything that goes on in the classroom."

For this reason we think there needs to be more debates of the type that occurred last night and we believe that the Administration or Faculty Council should develop some rule that would require a company recruiting on campus to send a debater if a significant portion of the University community requested such a debate.

Such a requirement was proposed in the Faculty Council last year and defeated after the University Placement Service Director Joe Galloway told faculty members that such a requirement would create a tremendous amount of confusion and trouble for the companies that would be required to debate.

However, after the experience with Dow it would seem that such a requirement would not be an undue hardship for companies and would be in line with UNC's function as an educational institution, at which

values of the society and individual are supposed to be subjected to careful scrutiny.

While Dow is having to spend more money to defend its position than it's making off the sale of napalm, we don't think the cost of sending a debater is a hardship on them or on any company that might be required to send a debater.

Dow and other companies spend thousands of dollars every year on advertising just to improve the image of their company. This year alone Dow has run ads costing around \$100 to \$150 in major college dailies across the country. If a company can afford this they can certainly afford to send a debater, especially considering that a debater can probably do more to improve the image of the company than any advertising. (The Dow man did a good job of proving his company isn't the ogre that it is made out to be, something that none of their advertising would have been able to accomplish.)

For these reasons we feel it would be a wise move on the part of either the Administration or the Faculty Council to enact a rule that would make it a requirement of companies to send debaters when there is significant interest shown in such.

University Should Put Money Where Mouth Is

From The Daily Cavalier

The title of the symposium held by St. Paul's Student Vestry Sunday night was "The Black Student at the White University." As might have been expected, however, the conversation centered, from the very beginning, on the problem of the absence of black students at the University rather than on the problems of those few who are here. This was a logical re-orientation for the symposium, though, for most of the problems of the black students at the white University spring directly from the lack of more of them here.

There are approximately 40 or 50 full-time black students at the University in Charlottesville. There are approximately 7800 or 8000 full-time students at the University in Charlottesville. That means that approximately six-tenths of one per cent of the full-time enrollment of the University in Charlottesville is black. And the purpose of the University is to prepare its students for the world outside.

The administration is anything but oblivious to the University's absurd failure to meet current national standards of non-discrimination or integration or whatever you choose to call it—a perfect record of formally discountenancing discrimination by anyone associated with it, or publicly withholding its public funds from anyone who discriminates, or piously being offended at all charges of discrimination or racism leveled at it, of trying to locate housing for its black members—it has a perfect record, as one panelist put it Sunday night, of formally and politely opposing discrimination; consequently, it has a perfect record of doing nothing of any significance to work away from tokenism of the most odious sort toward legitimate, representative, realistic, real non-discrimination as would be manifested by a

numerically balanced community. For an administrator to talk about how hard and how sincerely the University works toward getting more black students, faculty, administrators, and other employees is no less hypocritical than it is for a follower of George Wallace to assert that his candidate is not racist. That should be obvious enough, for sincere efforts made in the quantities we are told they are made should certainly bring at least some results.

The point is this: the University has regularly declared its opposition to discrimination, but it has regularly failed to take an active role against discrimination anywhere, much less on its own Grounds.

If that were not enough, the University adds to it all a hypocrisy which can spring only from trying to defend indefensible positions or from trying to reconcile irreconcilable "ideals." The University has three options: it can sincerely pursue the ideals expressed in those proclamations by making every effort to enroll many more black students; or it can admit its preference to "let well enough alone," as long as the standards for federal funds are met.

The third option would make the University honest again, but it would, of course, be challenged immediately. The first option is what it is doing now, but it is failing because its actions do not support its words and it thus becomes painfully hypocritical. So the only logical course left is the middle one—actively to seek to get more Negro students enrolled—and the consensus is that the first step toward doing so is to hire a black admissions officer. Alumni or not, such a course is certainly the least painful before the world, for nothing is quite so embarrassing as being used universally as a bad example.

Bobby Nowell

No. 2 And Take Your, Er, Seat?

All right class, what's the biggest athletic opponent of the year for Carolina in any sport?

That's right, Dook.
And who will be the opponent in the biggest home basketball game of the year which many of you will miss because you will still be at home for Christmas vacation?

Right again. Dook. January 4.
Now here's a trickier question for you lean-and-hungry thinkers of the class. When will the Maryland Terrapins sail into Blue Heaven for their game with the Tar Heels?

Very good. February 1 is the answer. Most of you won't be here then, either, because second-semester classes don't start until the following Monday, February 3.

TWO PLUS TWO

Thus, by elementary arithmetic, you can see that, for many of you, the UNC nine-game home basketball schedule will be reduced by two, leaving seven.

I'm sure you kiddies already know the odds of your getting a ticket to any home game, anyway. Unless you are standing in line at eight o'clock on the morning tickets are given out, you won't get a good seat (in the rollaway bleachers and end zones, at that).

And if you hop on by Carmichael as late as 11 o'clock on that same morning, chances are very good you won't get one at all.

PACK 'EM IN

You see, only about half of Carmichael's 8,800 seats go to students. And there are now about 16,000 students, a great many more of them basketball-crazed than are allowed to see any game they want.

A lesson in poor economics; to wit, the building of an "auditorium" which was inadequate a year after it was opened.

Of course, we know that the University planners couldn't foresee the brand of basketball teams we have fielded since Carmichael was built.

But go watch one freshman basketball game, talk to Dean Smith or John Lotz, and then read about how enrollment is soaring, and you can see that the same kind of situation for students is guaranteed for at least the next four years. Students frustrated by not being able to see their own school superstars in action.

Getting back to the original problem—not being at school to see two home games:

According to UNC athletic officials, it

seems that two years ago when the cage schedules were being drawn up, Dook had a school calendar conflict for January 4, 1968. The game was to have been played in Durham since the 1967 game was to be played in Chapel Hill.

Dook officials asked UNC to move the game to Chapel Hill and to give them the March 1 date in Durham. Disregarding the "home-court advantage" rule and the fact that the latter date, the final home game for both schools, is usually a battle for the Atlantic Coast Conference title, it seemed a pretty innocent move.

"At the time our school calendar planned for school to be in session on Jan. 4," says UNC acting Athletic Director Walter Rabb. "So we agreed to the switch."

By that time, a television contract had been awarded for statewide coverage of the game. "And both schools had other games committed, so it was too late to do anything," Rabb relates.

"We figure that a great deal of students who are really interested in basketball will be back in Chapel Hill by the fourth," he said in defense of the Saturday date.

"And this game would not be the only reason for them to come back," he adds. "There will be a lot of social activity going on in Chapel Hill then, too."

The Maryland date here is perhaps a less clearcut case of poor foresight. The academic calendar calls for registration January 31 and February 1. But doubtlessly many will not be back until a day or two after the game has been played.

Anyhow, taking away the Dook and Maryland games for many, what does that leave us? Such sweat-provoking opponents as The Citadel, Oregon and Virginia Tech, in addition to the usual conference also-rans, Clemson and Virginia.

The only games of any interest which everyone will be here (but not able) to see are with Big Four foes State and Wake Forest.

South Carolina does not play here this year (it does in Charlotte) and the big-name nonconference opponents, Vanderbilt and Florida State, play in Charlotte and Greensboro, respectively.

We aren't complaining about the lack of big-name, out-of-conference enemies who don't come to Chapel Hill. The ACC normally provides tough home opposition.

And we realize the alumni in Charlotte and Greensboro have to be pacified, and that the athletic department has to try to make a buck on basketball in these two cities because it doesn't in the Carmichael bandbox.

The schedule? Sometimes that's just the way the cards fall. In a way, we're lucky, because such schools as Maryland 16 of 25 games on the road. We ought to be thankful, I guess, for the nine games we are privileged to have in Chapel Hill each year.

But Maryland doesn't have the Number Two team in the nation, either. Basketball is at a fever pitch in Chapel Hill, and yet students have to see the Heels in shifts.

Damn it, any high school student gets to see his team play any time he wants to, regardless of how poor or how good they are! We have the best basketball team east of Los Angeles, and we have to snatch a glance when the schedule lets us.

We have a right to be angry.



Letters To The Editor

Library Misuse Needs Fixing

To The Editor:

Now that the new Undergraduate Library has been in operation for over a month, several things have come to the attention of both the staff and concerned students that should be pointed out to the entire student body.

The most pressing problem that has arisen is the ill-treatment that the building and its furniture suffer at the hands of inconsiderate users of the library. A common sight in the library, which admittedly lends itself to comfort, is the countless number of students who kick their feet up on the furniture.

Even after a month of use, tables and chairs are being scratched and damaged because of improper use, and the adverse impression that this and other instances of slovenly behavior in the library by a minority of inconsiderate students have on the rest of the student body, faculty, alumni, and other visitors to the new building could become serious if it continues. Improper use and the resulting deterioration of a two million dollar

building cannot but have adverse effects on the university as a whole.

Other common examples include smoking throughout the library outside the smoking area on the lower floor which is forbidden by fire regulations, and the complete disarrangement of lounge furniture in the library. Cigarette burns are already being found on the carpets, and when lounge furniture is dragged away from lounge areas to carrels and study tables, it takes several hours a week of library staff time to reassemble them. This time should be put to better use, of course, at the circulation desk.

In addition, a number of people can usually be found throughout the new library stretched out and sleeping on the carpets and sofas. Continued abuse of furniture in the Undergraduate Library could perhaps cause an agonizing reappraisal as to the feasibility of the place of comfortable furniture in the library.

The above comments should not be interpreted as criticism of the student body by a group of persnickety librarians.

The new Undergraduate Library should be used to the fullest extent by the entire academic community as I think it is being used. It is simply that the impressions and criticism of students, faculty, alumni and other visitors to the Undergraduate Library concerning the abuse of the building should be passed on to the student body, to whom, of course, the building really belongs.

Sincerely,
James H. Thompson
Undergraduate Librarian

Moon Shot Money Usable Elsewhere

To The Editor:

On August 4, 1962 an article appeared in the Saturday Review entitled "What A Moon Ticket Will Buy". The author, Warren Weaver, proposed that instead of spending \$30 billion to put a man on the moon, we might better spend the money

for some combination of public goods and services such as the following. We could:

Give a 10 per cent raise in salary, over a ten-year period, to every teacher in the United States, from kindergarten through universities, in both public and private institutions (about \$9.8 billion);

It is bad enough that we have chosen to reject an alternative use for the money that has been wasted on the moon race, but to add insult to injury we have also thrown away another \$50 billion or so in Vietnam, not to speak of the paltry (!) \$1 billion which is being spent to develop a completely unnecessary supersonic airliner, whose chief purpose will be to save a handful of business executives about three hours' travel time on a trip to Europe.

I think there is something wrong with our sense of values.

Sincerely,
Watson Morris
1-8, Colony Apts.
Chapel Hill

Dream A 'White' Xmas?

(Ed: Among the mail was this anonymous item.)

Memorandum:

To: All Union City School Employees
From: Christmas Chairman
Subject: Decorating School for Christmas

We have been informed by the Office of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, that a WHITE CHRISTMAS would be in violation of Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Therefore, the following steps are to be taken to insure that we comply with the Act during the Christmas season in the school.

1. All Christmas trees must have at least 23.4% COLORED BULBS, and they must be placed throughout the tree and not segregated in the back of the tree.
2. Christmas presents cannot be wrapped in the white paper. However, interim approval can be given if COLORED RIBBON is used to tie them.
3. If a manger scene is used, 20% of

the angels, and one out of the Three Kings must be of a minority race.

4. If Christmas music is played, "We shall Overcome" must be given equal time. . . Under no circumstances is "I'm Dreaming of a White Christmas" to be played.

5. Care should be taken in party planning. For example:

- A. Use pink champagne, instead of white.
 - B. Turkey may be served but only if the white and dark meat are on the same platter. There will be no separate but equal platters permitted.
 - C. Use Chocolate Royale ice cream, instead of vanilla.
 - D. Both Chocolate and white milk must be served. There will be no freedom of choice plan. Milk will be served without regard to color.
- A team from HEW will visit us on December 25th to determine our compliance with the Act. If it snows on Christmas Eve, we are all in trouble.

SIMPSON

Xmas Blast

Shop, baby!
Oh, yeah! Why, you got no tellin' how many stores and shops and street vendors; and sidewalk sellers, so go on and buy something! That's what it's all about, right!
So you're thinking why all the window crap and streetlamp beauty—fake holly and paper mache flowers. Well, don't fret, Miss Beauty, why even little ole Jeezi Christ was born in a fake bed, so why shouldn't you sell you soul in one?
Think about it.
Oh, don't tell me about all the Christmas parties you'd miss if you took yourself seriously. You know who they are that you're stretching your elbows to

hack it with—"all the pretty people drinkin', thinkin' they GOT IT MADE!" Bob Zimmerman couldn't have wrought it out any better than he did there. Sorry, Miss Beauty, but it's a big wipe-out.
What can you get for all them? See if Aunt Zeldemona wouldn't like a cast bauxite toothpick, and if 14th cousin Jeebo doesn't need a chocolate flavored nine-iron. If you can't catch him down at the insurance agency, try the club. As in, the "Club."
Go on, Miss Beauty, throw down the last Schraft's half and half! Blast on down the street and get yourself a blue-Aced while-a-while truck. You need it!
Check, and merry christmas!