

# The Daily Tar Heel

77 Years of Editorial Freedom



**Todd Cohen**  
Chairman

**J. D. Wilkinson** Executive Editor  
**Bobby Nowell** Managing Editor  
**Dennis Benfield** News Editor  
**Harvey Elliott** Arts Editor  
**Steve Enfield** Associate Editor  
**Art Chansky** Sports Editor  
**Arlene Jacobson** Copy Editor  
**Mike Cozza** Editorials  
**John Kelly**

**Bill Staton** Business Manager  
**Kermit Buckner, Jr.** Advertising Manager

**Pete Hatch** Night Editor this issue

## The Rape Of Granville College

The University administration, in setting a quota for the number of freshmen in Granville Residence College unequal to the quotas set down for all the other residence colleges, is discriminating against the Granville residents.

According to Dean of Student Affairs C.O. Cathey, the management of Granville Towers, as distinct from the University, agreed three years ago when Granville was constructed that all students would be assigned to regular university housing before assignments were made for Granville.

That is all well and good. The University has an obligation to maintain 90 per cent occupancy in all University housing and when the number of students living on campus falls below that level, the University is obviously in financial straits.

Accordingly, the University has taken the right to relegate the privately-owned Granville complex to secondary status with secondary rights as far as having any influence in determining the composition of its residents. But such a situation is hurting the students who live in Granville, students who have had no voice at all in the dealings between the University and the Granville management.

The students in Granville have worked hard during the past three years to develop a residence college actively and constructively involved in the residence college program.

Granville has produced a top-notch residence college radio station, an active and effective senate, and an intra-mural system involving a good number of its residents. In addition, the college plans a new coed building to open next fall. Granville has consequently been recognized this past year as the most active of the campus residence colleges.

But the whole nature of the residence college concept will be

destroyed in Granville if the percentage of freshmen allowed to live there is less than the percentage of freshmen allowed to live in the other residence colleges.

The Governor of Granville, Mike Padrick, has threatened the withdrawal of the college from the residence college system if the quota for freshmen is not put on a parity with the other residence colleges. Mr. Padrick feels disaffiliation would "seem to destroy three years of understanding and co-operation, planning and hard work with the University," but realizes such a move "would show that the students of Granville are no longer willing to be discriminated against."

We commend Mr. Padrick for his sentiment. Furthermore, we urge him to follow through on his plan to disaffiliate if the student demands in Granville are not met by the administration.

Mr. Cathey has inferred that the demands for parity will not be met. He claims that because of the University's obligations to maintain its level of housing at 90 per cent, no exception can be made for Granville.

We understand Mr. Cathey's position. It is unfortunate that the financial needs of the University have to interfere with the healthy development of student institutions within the University. It is unfortunate that all the work that has been accomplished in Granville is now threatened by the financial obligations of the University.

Perhaps someone in the University administration should have thought of those obligations when the decision was made to erect those four factories sitting out on South Campus. Those are the residence colleges in which the capacity is not up to 90 per cent.

But the mistake was made, and the students in Granville should not have to suffer for that mistake.

## Support Albright Petition

A petition supporting Student Body President Alan Albright's proposals to the Merzbacher Committee will be circulated Monday and then presented to the committee.

Mr. Albright's proposal is based on the position papers formulated by the student members of the committee and suggests four basic alterations in the General College:

1. "Elimination of the foreign language requirement.

2. Adoption of the two track program.

3. Improvement of track one.

4. Support of the New College.

The proposal also presents the reasoning behind each suggestion. "It is impossible to believe that knowledge of a foreign language or mathematics is more vital to a student's future than knowledge of political science or sociology which more intimately affect every individual," Albright stated.

Regarding the two track program, Albright said, "The second track, allowing students to broadly consider natural sciences, social sciences, and humanities before proceeding into independent study in their acquired field of interest, must be opened to all students."

In Track one, "the more 'traditional' educational process," according to Albright, "the course load must be reduced to a minimum of four courses per semester."

Mr. Albright said he believes the

ultimate solution to the problems of the General College lies in the New College system. Hence, he urges the funding of the New College proposal presently before the committee.

Calling for a significant alteration in the Orientation program to "orient new students to the educational possibilities and alternatives available here," Albright said Student Government can assume some of the responsibility of aiding the student in his educational orientation.

We find Mr. Albright's statement encouraging. Not only do we concur with his proposal, but we also believe some student organization must assume the responsibility of helping the student in his selection of an educational program, a responsibility which neither the faculty or the administration can nor will not assume.

We urge every student to sign the petition. Not until the students show the Merzbacher Committee they want genuine reform of the General College will the faculty members of the committee even consider such changes as elimination of the foreign language requirement.

But we are at the same time discouraged that it requires the labor of a petition to show the Merzbacher Committee students do not like the present General College. As if intellectually raped students are not evidence in themselves.

(Editor's note: The texts of three letters concerning the proposed anti-ballistic missile system are given below. The first letter, written by Dan Moss, Jr., was first printed in the Daily Tar Heel on February 18 of this year. The second letter was written to Mr. Moss by Senator Edward Kennedy, and the third letter was written to Mr. Moss by U.S. Representative G. Robert Watkins.)

To the Editor:

For some time now I have tried to find a sound justification for the huge expenses needed to build President Nixon's anti-ballistic missile system. The disadvantages far outweigh any remote advantages which may accrue from this system.

Senator Stuart Symington, former U.S. Secretary of the Air Force, and member of the Senate Aeronautical and Space Science, Armed Services, and Foreign Relations Committees, asserts that instead of leading to greater security for the U.S., it could lead to greater danger. There is no threat from China now and the destructive capabilities of the U.S. and Russia will not be altered by a thin or heavy ABM system. Many experts say that the ABM system is technically impossible to build at this time and cannot provide the assurance of protection to justify its cost. Because offensive technology outdistances defensive technology, the system would be obsolete by completion. In addition, the system can never be tested so we can never be sure of its effectiveness.

Norman Cousins, editor of the Saturday Review, rightly concludes that the only defense against nuclear war is peace, and we do not move closer to peace by escalating an arms race.

If our cities are going to be destroyed, it will not be by ICBMs from China or Russia, it will be by the hands of the thousands who must live and work in the cities' intolerable surroundings.

Secretary of Defense McNamara said that in all probability, all we could accomplish would be to increase greatly both their defense expenditures and ours

## Resurrection

By DICK LEVY

These really aren't notes from the underground. Anyway, I've always thought that was phony, because if you really were underground why would you even want to write notes about it to the people upstairs? Too Establishment. Sort of like being an Episcopal minister in a college town. You can try to show everyone where it's at, but like the Taoists say, "Them that don't know, talk; and them that know, say nothing."

Well then, notes from underground. Exclusiveness not implied. To be exact, hello from the "new" Tempo Room, downstairs at the pizza place name forgotten never remembered. New even before smoke had cleared from the old place of the same name.

No stairs. You slide into hell nowadays too easily to require the old symbols. All the Paradise Lost crew are long since dead anyhow.

Walnut oak mahogany cherry paneling all around this Tempo Room. Clean floors and clean heads. Ladies can go side by side on lavender turquoise cleanest most antiseptic toilets this side of west Mississippi on the way to Austin, Texas. Men can haunt descenders from the checkered table cloths above by banging on the stairs over the john. Lights too, and neon all around at witching chug-a-lug time. Noted smiling.

Beer is 35 so you save a nickel—you can have a ride on my motorcycle. Same girls—some guys, you wonder how fast word spreads but after all you heard it—drunker than usual and that's nice to see someone stagger these days when freedom makes people so conservative.

"Hello old friend." And hi to you chick no bra good body so he said but I don't see it though I try when you stand by the light of the juke box and play the Supremes godawful because they've got Aretha's name there. Him standing by the box wants you to play Brown Eyed Girl and you do but a minute later the Supremes come on again and you wonder if its another mistake or whether its on purpose and if so what makes anyone play them, and if so, why here. Johnny Appleseed sack with a Greek hairdo who'll stare at me till I smile. You hate the Supremes too? I'm glad. I've suppressed that for years.

We're all racist you know. Your new Episcopal minister tells you that. Raps from boredom. Should be on speed. We've replaced one phony with another. "Play your cards. Keep playing. Betty Smith sits surrounded incoherent in the booth, one seat empty beside her. "Go on and sit beside her. No one else will dare." You do, and her mind is gone now, testimony to the futility of deferred gratification. Worse than last I saw her.

One pinball machine. I stare while Jack tells of writers frustrated getting a second chance at it, and old effeminate queer no doubt cause here they are classmates revel in their territory. Some come with faith in themselves against a dice-playing God, banging against the woman surrogate back and forth with each bounce until at last they are spent by its conquest. Some stoic stand intent content to let fate have its way. Bad machine at the "new" Tempo. Not slow enough to involve you in the agony. Like beer some days perfect in this town.

A cheerful crowd at this grand opening. But not really; nobody believes it when you say "Give us a couple of days and this place'll look like the old Tempo." No place as unreal as that.

without gain in real security to either side.

In the face of all this logic President Nixon's zealous pursuit of the ABM system is hard to understand. He is apparently willing to subordinate the national interest to those of others, and squander untold billions on a defense system which would not only be unnecessary but socially undesirable. But the national interest and social desirability fade into irrelevance as we come to the real reason for the ABM system—handouts to defense industries and thus further serious misallocation of our national resources.

Sincerely,  
Dan Moss, Jr.

Dear Mr. Moss:

I was very glad to hear from you regarding your thoughts on the Sentinel anti-ballistic missile system, and I appreciate your taking the time to get in touch with me.

Since last year I have had serious doubts about the wisdom of committing billions of dollars to deploying an ABM system. This is why in February of this

year I asked Secretary of Defense Laird to halt all construction of Sentinel bases, and why I was gratified that he did so pending completion of an overall review of Sentinel.

At his press conference on March 14, President Nixon indicated that the review had been completed, and that he was recommending to the Congress the approval of some \$1 billion in the military budget requests, for deployment of an ABM system somewhat different than that recommended by former President Johnson. I have joined with a number of my colleagues in the Senate in expressing my continued opposition to providing the funds to an ABM this year.

It is my belief that the various committees of the Congress will, in the course of their hearings on these military budget requests, give us an opportunity to hear all sides of this important issue. In addition, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations is holding a series of hearings on the implications of the Sentinel system on our foreign policies. These hearings, coupled with an independent report I have asked to be

submitted to me and my colleagues, will give us an unusual base of information. But we need such a base, I believe, as this is an issue of such complexity and significance to our future.

Thank you again for taking the time to get in touch with me.

Sincerely,  
Edward M. Kennedy

Dear Mr. Moss:

I have your letter of 8 February, and I can understand your concern about the Antiballistic Missile System. Your concern is felt by many others too.

There seems to be considerable doubt, furthermore, as to whether the so-called "Thin System" would be effective in providing the defense we feel we need, and as you say, the cost is prohibitive!

It is my sincere hope that Secretary of Defense Laird, acting upon orders from the President, will review the whole question of the ABM defense. It seems to me that in view of the huge stocks of lethal weapons that the superpowers have, a continued missile race can provide neither side with security or superiority—and again, the vast sums of money expended could be utilized to far better advantage for our citizens. As you

say, these billions are badly needed to rebuild our cities and educate our children.

Sincerely,  
G. Robert Watkins

## Giduz Speaks

To the editor:

Your interest, and that of so many University students in the forth-coming municipal elections for the Town of Chapel Hill is fully appreciated. This is a University community, and students are thus and inherently an important part of it.

The DTH article on April 25 quite correctly reported some statements by me as made at a public meeting on April 23. Perhaps because of the necessity to condense the report, the fuller meaning of my statements was not as clear as I wish it had been.

A questioner asked (in part) why during my tenure as an alderman the Mitchell Lane storm drainage ditch had not been covered. In a detailed reply I explained that approximately half of the 2,000-foot length had been covered and/or culverted by public and private funds. Further, I noted that the remainder had been thoroughly studied, and on the basis of a professional report, might best be re-channeled, cleared out, and made a natural neighborhood park. This drainage is storm sewage (water), not sanitary sewage. If any sanitary sewage through some mishap seeps into it, this is a matter for public health authorities, is prohibited by law, and should be immediately remedied. The Town of Chapel Hill has a responsibility in this matter, has been acting, and is continuing to do so.

Asked if I would support a pending rezoning request being sought by the Inter-Church Council for a housing for

the elderly project, I spoke to the principle involved: That since a public hearing, set May 26, and a subsequent recommendation from the Planning Board, were both required, I did not feel I should have a closed mind, made up in advance, on this or any other rezoning matter which had to follow the same procedure. I added, that I did not feel that a public servant could in faithfulness to his oath of office decide his stand before these processes if the required hearings and recommendations were to have any meaning at all.

As a student at UNC I spent four years "majoring" in work on The Daily Tar Heel as a reporter, managing editor, and columnist. I appreciate the job you and the staff have to do, and thank you for the use of this forum to clarify my stand on these important issues.

Faithfully yours,  
Roland Giduz  
Candidate for Mayor  
Town of Chapel Hill

## Logic Of Murder

By J. D. WILKINSON

In my last column, I said that saying "yes" or "no" to the continued existence of ROTC on this campus was equivalent to saying "yes" or "no" to murder; since an affirmative answer would imply support for the university's present policy of permitting the teaching of the fine art of murder here and a negative reply would imply the opposite.

I have been bitterly criticized since the appearance of that column for my "poor logic," "lack of reason," and "appeal to emotionalism." Additionally, those who support the existence of ROTC, and who consequently experienced great displeasure concerning my column, have cited the "unintellectual attitude" presented in the article.

These criticisms are not without a valid basis, and I am (and always was) well aware of the logical, rational, and intellectual shortcomings of the article. It was not my intention to make any sort of rational appeal for the abolition of ROTC.

## The Other Side Of This Life

By ROGER SMITH

How would you like to share an interesting experience I had the other night? Good, now that you've said yes I'll tell you a little incident that took place in a cafeteria in an unnamed city of about 75,000 inhabitants.

After seating myself I asked the blessing, as I usually do whether at home or dining out. The only difference, when dining out the blessing is silent; but the content of both is about the same, "Thank You for this food and for all our many, many blessings."

Now for the majority of Americans, the abundance of food is a reality; and I presume most people realize this and in their own way give thanks. Often, though, many of us don't give too much thought to the many "other" blessings. I for one. This night in the cafeteria however, several things happened which focussed my attention on pleasures other than eating.

First one of the Negro women who cleans off the dining tables, smiled from way down deep and said, "How are you tonight?" Now, of course, smiling and inquiring after one's health isn't so unusual. But this isn't the whole story. This woman always has a pleasant greeting, for everyone, everytime they meet.

A few moments later a man about 10-15 feet away dropped a glove as he was passing a table. A guest at the table

admission  
my mind's truth (you assure)  
is pregnant in the context  
of this moment  
you should have felt your own  
body  
when i have crawled all over it  
you  
safe in your aloofness  
trusting none even me  
with the name of your own  
writhing dance  
when you have known  
our own body  
  
we are awkward  
who will admit  
you the more wise  
confident reserved  
yet hiding this very shyness  
which i cannot  
you seeking tunnels  
echoing with our sounds  
yet afraid of discovery  
no it is i  
i the player of your acts  
here at the very moment  
of this truth  
to rob you as you me  
yet your animal ever the conqueror  
of this truth  
of mine  
  
oliver thomas

The Daily Tar Heel is published by the University of North Carolina Student Publication's Board, daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations and during summer periods.  
Offices are at the Student Union Bldg., Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514. Telephone numbers: editorial, sports, news—933-1011; business, circulation, advertising—933-1163. Address: Box 1080, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514  
Subscription rates: \$9 per year; \$5 per semester. We regret that we can accept only prepaid subscriptions.  
Classified ads are \$1.00 per day prepaid. Display rate is \$1.25 per column inch.  
Second class postage paid at U.S. Post Office in Chapel Hill, N.C.