

The Daily Tar Heel

77 Years of Editorial Freedom

CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1969

Rugby Club Scrimmage
An intra-squad scrimmage the UNC Rugby Club this afternoon at 4 o'clock on the field behind Hinton James. All students and campus dogs are invited.

Founded February 23, 1893

Apply For Sorority

The new sorority Tri Sigma will be colonizing beginning with a tea next Thursday. Girls interested in charter membership should apply in the Dean of Women's office by Tuesday.

Volume 77, Number 16

Dobbins Suspended By Shaw

RALEIGH (UPI)—Shaw University President Dr. King V. Cheek said Thursday Preston Dobbins had been suspended from his position with the University's extension service pending an investigation into his arrest in Asheville this week.

Cheek said preliminary investigation had revealed Dobbins was in no way acting in an official capacity as a Shaw University employee when he and Asheville civil rights worker Victor Chalk were stopped by police Tuesday evening.

Police charged the two Negroes with violation of the city curfew and city and state firearms violations and put them on \$10,000 bond. However, they were arrested again on Wednesday and charged with violating the Federal Gun Control Act of 1968.



DTH PHOTO BY ALAN GUGGENHEIM

Johnny Williford introduces double jeopardy bill at Student Legislature.

Sitterson May Speak Against War On Oct. 15

By AL THOMAS
DTH Staff Writer

Rumors persisted Thursday that Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson will make an anti-war talk during the Vietnam war moratorium here Oct. 15.

Several organizers of the moratorium speculated that Sitterson will make a short, personal speech expressing his own feelings concerning the Vietnam war.

Official announcement of Sitterson's talk is being withheld until a news conference Friday at 11 a.m. in the Carolina Union.

During a general organizational meeting Thursday night in Gerrard Hall, Buck Goldstein, a senior from Miami, Fla., and local organizer for the moratorium said Jack Newfield and Dr. Howard Levy will be the principle speakers here Oct. 15.

Newfield is assistant editor of the Village Voice and author of RKF: A Memoir. Levy is a former Army officer who spent two years in jail for refusing to train Green Berets.

A march through town, folk singing in front of the student bookstore, reading of the names of the war dead, panel

discussions, movies and religious services are also being planned, according to Goldstein.

Ben Blakewood, a senior from Greensboro and a member of the steering committee, asked the group assembled in Gerrard to help in organizing townspeople to support the moratorium.

Blakewood said response has been good from business leaders but more student volunteers are needed.

"The support of the Chapel Hill business community is vital to the overall success of the moratorium here," Blakewood said.

A statement, giving reasons for the moratorium, was read during the meeting. Part of it read:

"We must realize that the war is a mistake and rectify that mistake as quickly as possible by withdrawing all American combat forces from Vietnam."

"Your support of the moratorium is vital to your country and your countrymen, for public opinion demonstrated in a non-violent manner will bring the only end to the war."

The schedule of events include:

Dawn to dusk—reading of the names of the war-dead in front of the Naval ROTC building.

10:30 a.m.—noon—Rap Session with Jack Newfield and Dr. Howard Levy (place to be announced).

11:00 a.m.—2:00 p.m.—Folk singing in front of the bookstore.

2:00 p.m.—Panel on American Involvement in Vietnam.

4:00 p.m.—A march beginning at Y-Court and ending with a convocation at Memorial Hall. Speakers will include Newfield and Leavy.

6:00 p.m.—Sacrificial suppers at religious foundations.

8:00 p.m.—Community-wide religious service with the Rev. William Finlator of Pullen Memorial Baptist Church in Raleigh. The site for the service has not been determined.

All day—movies and liberation classes at the Union.



Chancellor Sitterson

Davidson Will Have Own Boycott

By BILL MILLER
DTH Staff Writer

Davidson College has abolished all classes and related activities on Oct. 15 to allow students and faculty there to spend the day concentrating on Vietnam war issues.

According to the statement by college President Samuel Spencer, the decision was the result of a referendum passed by the faculty Sept. 30.

Peter H. Hobbie, president of the Student Government Association, asked the faculty and administration for the decision.

Davidson College is a small, church-sponsored school located in Davidson, N.C.

Spencer said Thursday that Davidson College will "join hundreds of other colleges and universities around the nation" in a concentrated program on Vietnam and U.S. involvement there.

"The program," Spencer said, "is to provide those with varying opinions on the war to speak and to be heard."

Spencer said the morning of Oct. 15 will be spent in speeches from outside guests. There was no mention of who the speakers might be or what organizations, if any, would be represented.

Speeches by the faculty and administration are slated for the afternoon. Spencer said the speeches were to be "free" of censorship.

The evening will be spent in a scheduled memorial service for those who have lost their lives in Vietnam.

Spencer emphasized two factors concerning the activities scheduled for the moratorium at Davidson.

"The program at Davidson is of our own design, centering around our own needs and interests on campus."

"The faculty approved the moratorium for one day only, Oct. 15. There will be no carry-over of activities."

Spencer said he did not know how the move to start the faculty referendum originated. "I don't believe it was started by students," he said.

S.L. Passes Double Jeopardy

By LAURA WHITE
DTH Staff Writer

Student Legislature passed a bill Thursday night which will give the students a chance to put double jeopardy back into the Student Constitution.

The bill, which would allow for a student to be tried in both student and civil courts when his actions disturb the academic processes of the University, squeaked by with a margin of three votes.

According to one of the bill's sponsors, John McDowell, violations of the trustees' disruption policy, of any forthcoming drug policy, and of the academic Honor Code would constitute disruption of the academic processes.

Under the bill, the Student Legislature would be given the

power to put forth guidelines for interpreting this policy. The legislature will then recommend these guidelines to the Student Attorney General and the Student Courts, who will try the violators.

In favor of the bill, Student Body Vice-President Rafael Perez addressed the legislature.

Without this amendment, Perez explained, the faculty Review Board will have the sole authority to try students.

Perez said he felt the Faculty Board would be subject to pressures "outside the University" and would not be able to administer proper justice to students tried by the board.

"If you don't establish negotiations, every student coming before the board will get justice which is

questionable," Perez said.

Student Legislator Alan Hirsch, in support of the bill, expressed his opinion that this bill "be the last of the compromises." He told legislature that if the administration will not heed the guidelines drawn up by legislature specifying what constitutes "actions seriously disturb(ing) the academic processes..." the Student Government should declare itself defunct in protest.

Speaking in favor of the bill also was Student Legislator Steve LaTour.

"Students are posed with a situation in this bill allowing them to determine themselves what is a disruption," LaTour told the assembly.

"We have basically abdicated to the administration the right to try students, particularly in drug violations occurring off campus," he continued. "We need negotiating room and we need student participation," he said.

LaTour explained the basic purpose of the bill was to give the Judicial Committee and the student community a bargaining point for negotiations with the University trustees in trying student cases.

Two amendments to the bill, one giving Student Legislature full responsibility for enforcing the policy, and one specifying that a student's actions would come under the policy only when he violates the Trustees' disruptions policy, were defeated.

Other bills before the legislature included one calling for a Student Government work halt during the Vietnam moratorium and one to allow the Yackety-Yack to meet unexpected expenses. These were not brought to a vote before press time.

AWS Compiling Form As 'Basis For Change'

By NANCY STANCILL
DTH Staff Writer

The Association of Women Students is compiling a questionnaire to be used "as a basis for all women's rules changes this year," according to AWS Chairman Joyce Davis.

The final format of the questionnaire will be approved at the Oct. 5 AWS meeting, according to Anne Edenfield, rules committee chairman.

Some of the issues in the questionnaire include self-limiting and closing hours, differentiation between freshman and upperclassmen rules and apartment and overnight rules, according to Miss Edenfield.

The questionnaire will be distributed in women's residences Oct. 9 and the AWS hopes to receive all completed

questionnaires by Oct. 17, said Miss Edenfield.

The rules committee and other interested women students met Sept. 30 to decide on specific questions and issues.

"We decided to make up the questionnaire because it is the best way to back up the rules we hope to change," said Miss Edenfield.

"We can be sure we are truly representing the women students on campus through the results of the questionnaire," she said.

Miss Edenfield said the body has formerly been hampered in its rule amendment efforts through lack of evidence of coed opinion.

"We want each woman student's opinion on each rule," she said.

Miss Edenfield described the questionnaire as "straightforward and short."

"We feel the questions are as unbiased and unambiguous as possible," she said.

She said the AWS hopes to receive a "98 to 100 per cent result" from the questionnaire. Copies will be available at the Carolina Union information desk Oct. 9.

The rules committee also passed recently a resolution dealing with orientation closing hours, Miss Edenfield said.

The resolution reads: "Taking into consideration the maturity and responsibility of women students at UNC, the AWS strongly urges the orientation commission to refrain from imposing unnecessarily oppressive closing hours on women during orientation."

Eagles received a second request from the Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen Thursday calling for "immediate removal of the traffic bumps." The board drafted the letter at a special Sept. 26 meeting.

"We haven't decided our course of action yet," Eagles said, "but we will make a

decision, for the present at least, in the very near future."

When asked what the ramifications of the University's failure to act might be, Town Manager Robert Peck said, "The Board of Aldermen will meet Monday and thrash the whole thing out."

Eagles commented, "The decision will come before Monday."

The Board's second request was in reply to a letter from Eagles which said the University did not feel the two bumps, located near South Building, had been allowed a "fair trial."

He said the University viewed the bumps as beneficial to pedestrian safety on Cameron Avenue.

Eagles asked whether UNC was being "requested" or "ordered" to level the bumps. He said that if the board ordered their removal, he needed to see it in writing.

On Sept. 26 the board strengthened their terminology to request "immediate removal."

Town Manager Peck told the board he wants the bumps removed because of the accident potential of automobiles hitting the bumps at the 20 m.p.h. speed limit on

the street.

In early September the University twice called the town manager's office and asked permission to install the bumps. The office denied the request but the bumps were installed anyway.

On Sept. 22 the city asked Eagles to level the bumps, saying they were against local policy and had not been authorized.

Eagles' reply demanded clarification from the city as to whether the University was "requested" or "ordered" to remove the bumps.

Decision On 'Bumps' Forthcoming

By LENOX RAWLINGS
DTH Staff Writer

Vice Chancellor Joseph Eagles said Thursday the University will make a decision concerning speed bumps on Cameron Avenue in "the very near future."

Eagles received a second request from the Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen Thursday calling for "immediate removal of the traffic bumps." The board drafted the letter at a special Sept. 26 meeting.

"We haven't decided our course of action yet," Eagles said, "but we will make a

decision, for the present at least, in the very near future."

When asked what the ramifications of the University's failure to act might be, Town Manager Robert Peck said, "The Board of Aldermen will meet Monday and thrash the whole thing out."

Eagles commented, "The decision will come before Monday."

The Board's second request was in reply to a letter from Eagles which said the University did not feel the two bumps, located near South Building, had been allowed a "fair trial."

He said the University viewed the bumps as beneficial to pedestrian safety on Cameron Avenue.

Eagles asked whether UNC was being "requested" or "ordered" to level the bumps. He said that if the board ordered their removal, he needed to see it in writing.

On Sept. 26 the board strengthened their terminology to request "immediate removal."

Town Manager Peck told the board he wants the bumps removed because of the accident potential of automobiles hitting the bumps at the 20 m.p.h. speed limit on

the street.

In early September the University twice called the town manager's office and asked permission to install the bumps. The office denied the request but the bumps were installed anyway.

On Sept. 22 the city asked Eagles to level the bumps, saying they were against local policy and had not been authorized.

Eagles' reply demanded clarification from the city as to whether the University was "requested" or "ordered" to remove the bumps.

Waddell Answers Questions On 'Student Sovereignty'

By JIM FEATHERS
DTH Staff Writer

A letter sent to the Chancellor this fall protesting the allocation of student funds has brought to a head a question of student sovereignty.

Do students have the freedom promised them in admission brochures? Or is Student Government just a puppet in the hands of the administration?

These are questions Student Body Treasurer Guil Waddell is asking. Waddell was recently appointed to a committee, established by Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson, to question who has the authority to allocate student funds.

"The real question," Waddell said, "is how autonomous Student Government is. Or must student decisions over matters be subject to the administration's approval?"

Sitterson established the committee in response to a letter signed by nine UNC students questioning the authority of Student Government to allocate funds to the Daily Tar Heel.

Other members of the committee, to meet early this month, are Dr. John B. Adams, dean of the School of Journalism; James

O. Cansler of the University Publications Board; Paul F. Hock, Jr., governor of Craig Graduate Center; James E. Littlefield, member of the Publications Board; and Robert E. Phay of the Institute of Government.

In a letter to each of the committee members, Sitterson requested answers to five enclosed questions plus any others that may arise.

At present time each student is required to pay \$18 per semester to the Student Government, which in turn allots the money as it sees fit.

If the administration should decide to control student monies, then it could act as a censor over student decisions, Waddell said.

"For example, Student Government allocates money to the Carolina Talent Search, the Black Student Movement and the Committee for the Advancement of Minority and Disadvantaged Students," he said. "These groups have questioned the University's admission procedures among other things. Should the University control funding of these groups?"

The questions Sitterson asked and Waddell's responses to them are:

1) By what authority, for what legitimate purpose, and on what rationale does the University assess, collect and remit to Student Government a student activities fee required to be paid by students as a condition of enrollment?

"A referendum passed by the students and approved by the Board of Trustees about 15 years ago permits this."

2) To what extent does the University's requirement and collection of a student activity fee entail University responsibility for the expenditure of such funds? If at all, how can such responsibility be discharged?

"I don't think they have any responsibility. About six years ago the student body president asked if the administration wanted to allocate the student funds but the administration declined."

3) When changes in the amount of the student activity fee are to be considered, what procedures should be used to determine the direction and the amount of any such changes?

"Student referendum is the only way in my opinion. Legally, however, the University still has the power."

4) Should there be (and if so, on what theoretical basis) differences in the amount of the student activity fee required of

different categories of students (e.g. undergraduates, graduates, post-graduates, professionals, etc.)? If so how and by whom should any such differences be established?

"There should not be because all students are entitled to a Yack or a Daily Tar Heel and all can use the Carolina Union and attend entertainment programs. The only exception is that graduates have to pay to get their picture in the annual."

5) If it should for any reason become impossible for the University to continue requiring, collecting and remitting to Student Government any or all of the student activity fee, as presently done, which activities now supported by this fee are essential parts of the University's total educational program and how then could this best be provided continuing support—even if in reduced amount?

"The Student Government supports certain programs such as the debate team, the marching band, the Carolina Choir, 1969 scholarships and the Rugby Club, all perhaps necessary to the University but which could be considered unnecessary by the Student Government. The University would then perhaps have to fund them if student body fees were reduced."