# The Daily Tar Geel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom



Todd Cohen Editor Harry Bryan Laura White Associate Editor **Bobby Nowell** Arts Editor Mary Burch Art Chansky Sports Editor

Business Manager Advertising Manager

Night Editor this issue Peter Hatch

#### University, SAGA Guilty Mess Food Service

#### **Bumping Problem**

Thursday's Tar Heel, a petition is circulating among food service workers in an effort to oust the American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees Union (AFSCME).

Fortunately, such is not the case. What is happening is that workers are being bumped and are blaming the union, rather than SAGA, for that situation. It was SAGA, however, which agreed in December when the strike was settled that before any bumping could or would occur, all workers would be laid off according to the inverse order of seniority.

AFSCME has filed a grievance with SAGA that the list of seniority was not followed during the lay-offs which have occurred since the December settlement. And although the SAGA national office has denied AFSCME's request to immediately begin arbitration concerning that and other grievances, the charge still holds that SAGA did not follow the seniority list when it decided who was going to be laid off.

Thus, the workers who now blame the union for their having to be bumped might start wondering whether it was in fact SAGA which might have been in error when the lay-offs were made, which were by contract supposed to have been made in inverse order of seniority.

### University Silent

Despite the tension between the workers and SAGA, and within the union itself, the University stands by and is silent. But it was the University which originally hired SAGA, and thus it is the University which has a responsibility for what is now happening to the workers.

Administrative officials are doubtful that the food service is going to be operated next year. If that is the case, the workers, in addition to all the troubles they are facing with the SAGA management, are going to be out of jobs altogether.

The University, through the special task force of James Gaskin, did manage to replace a number of workers who, by contract, were to be laid off by SAGA and later replaced by the University. But that does not settle the problem of the workers who have been laid off since the agreement or the workers who are still employed.

The University has no claim to innocence in all these matters. The University is responsible for what has happened, for what is happening, and for what is going to happen to the workers in the event that the University discontinues the food service.

### Union Struggle

Maybe to better understand the position of the University, it would be worthwhile to analyze the University's relationship to the

workers. Back in May when SAGA first assumed management of the food service, the University had had a history of losing money in that area. But it had a responsibility to the workers who were employed up Campus Ministry who is an to and during the spring strike. When SAGA took over, the University's responsibility in a sense diminished to some degree, in the University's point of view. Further, using the University's logic, if and

when SAGA began to lay off "orkers and hire new workers who According to a story in had not worked for the University, the University's responsibility for the workers was going to diminish even more.

> But the University must accept its responsibility. The University has a debt to the workers who were at any time employed by the University, regardless of the fact that they might have subsequently been employed by SAGA, and we might add, in a great many cases, subsequently laid off by SAGA.

> The University may now have relieved itself of a perhaps excessive work force, but that does not relieve the University of its responsibility to the workers who happened to constitute the excess.

#### Faculty Council

The Faculty Council meets today and included on the agenda is a resolution concerning the future of the food service. The council might consider the responsibility of the University in this matter. To deny the continuation of the food operation is in effect to deny the existence of a workers' union on this campus. And the existence of a union is something that has come only with a lot of pain on the part of the workers.

The workers' pain, we might add, has in no way been eased by the attitude and practices of the University, not to mention the attitude and practices of SAGA.

Further, to kill the food service on campus is to insure that the workers do not only not have a union, but also that they do not have any jobs at all.

Finally, the students are going to be hurt by not having a campus food service. The Chapel Hill eating establishments are not set up to cater to the needs of students. They are set up as business enterprises. The University, on the other hand, does have an obligation to provide a decent, inexpensive place for the students to eat.

For an institution which can build all the buildings, recommend all the new curricula, renovate all the athletic facilities, etc, etc, that this one does, the University ought to do something about providing its students with a good, inexpensive place to eat.

The Faculty Council has its work cut out for it.

An editorial in Thursday's, Tar Heel, entitled "Visitation Policy Retarded By Administrative Power," said the Committee on University Residential Life (CURL) was comprised of faculty members and administrators.

That was an error. CURL does comprise faculty members and administrators, but it also includes seven students, recommended by the Student Body President, and a representative of the United ex-officio member, as are the three administrators.

There are seven faculty members on the committee.

We apologize for the error.

Rick Allen

# No More Foot-Dragging On Desegregation

The old Southern politician used to tell his friends: "I hate only two things-niggers and bigots".

Many of UNC's students fall into the same category. They call themselves liberals, but they reveal themselves with such comments as: "You can't give Them



We have isolated and down-trodden the black man for centuries because we fear him. Our fear derives directly from our lack of understanding. As with so many things. we choose to attack rather than to comprehend.

And so it is that instead of bringing the black within the walls of our white society, we have shut him out, contenting ourselves with the "knowledge" that he is

lazy, or stupid, or unclean. The current school desegregation battle has brought this feeling back out into the open. Students tell me that it is unfair to break up schools in the middle of the year. But the fact is that the Supreme Court

told the Southern states to integrate their school systems 16 years ago, and to get it done "with all deliberate speed". That last phrase is open to debate, but no one in his right mind can say that the

South has not done some procrastinating since 1954. This latest Supreme Court decision is saying, in effect, that 15 years is more

than enough time, and the delaying tactics must end. Unfortunately, the resistance to integration is continuing, and it is getting some very strong help from high places,

including the office of the president. It may be obvious, but let us remember that the Supreme Court presently consists of eight old men who would not, in all likelihood, be very

affective in administrating their rulings. For this reason, it is the duty of the president to back up all decisions of the

Nixon is opposed to busing, which is the latest excuse of the South to avoid integration. We can only ponder the reaction to busing if it were to be used to keep the races separated . . .

The ultimate problem, of course, is that it is impossible to eliminate segregation without getting rid of prejudice. No matter how powerful the body, it cannot legislate or adjudicate against discrimination. So long as people think it undesirable to integrate their schools they will find ways to delay.

Another opinion expressed by many students is that "Yankees" are hypocritical in seeking to attack Southern schools while segregation still runs unscathed in the Northern cities.

There is some justification in this feeling. But Northern schools are segregated "de facto", that is, by

neighborhoods and housing patterns. In the South, on the other hand, segregation has traditionally been "de jure". accomplished by law.

What this means is that it is far more difficult to stop segregation in the North. Eventually, the North must be forced to integrate; it will just take longer.

But in the meantime, there is no reason why the South cannot desegregate. The "Stennis Amendment," on the surface, would force Northern cities to desegregate at the same rate as the South.

But in effect, this would slow the South without speeding the North.

The point is that if two people are at fault, the faults do not offset each other The South cannot justify its segregative by pointing an accusing finger at the

North, even if the North is indeed guilty Integration may not cure all the ills of the black man in our society. But at the foundation of our racial troubles is the need for men of different colors to know and understand each other. Only the will our fear and hatred have the chance



Robin Brewer

# New Words For 'Hark The Silence (!)'

I only sing when provoked.

And to graduate in June, the College of Arts and Sciences informs me that I am required to be present when the Alma Mater is sung on Graduation Night.

The last time my voice filled the air to that tune was at Freshman Camp, four years ago. They wouldn't feed us if we didn't sing.

Worse, there is no printed copy in existence of the words. I learned that saddening fact from the UNC News Bureau, after they found that their file on "Mater, Alma", was devoid of contents.

Well, well. The Committe for a "Free"

Press has at last responded to John Agar's

criticism of them. It took them twelve

days to think of anything to say . . . but

we won't worry about that, it's

diatribe is in the same style that has

characterized their whole campaign

against the DTH all year long-low on

rational thinking, high on catchy slogans,

noble phrases, and rhetoric. Their

arguments tend to fall apart whenever

anyone takes the trouble to consider

The Committe insists that it is

somehow sinful or wrong to "force

people to pay for a newspaper they don't

want." This is an old, old argument. It

was used a hundred years ago: "Why

should people with no children be forced

to pay for public schools (or, a state

But the majority of the people wanted

a public education system established.

and the government went into the

education business. It was trotted out

university) they don't want?"

them in a logical and thoughtful way.

Unfortunately, the Committee's latest

Letter to the Editor

To the Editor:

understandable.

But the Alma Mater is sung, they told me. At Basketball games.

My seat in the bleacher section was next to a girl. A stroke of luck. Girls like to sing. She had a date, though, so tact was called for. Innocent overtures, and so

"Wow, some game huh? The guys are really peppering the old hoop!" "These are the warm-up drills, cretin."

I went out and purchased a Coke for twenty minutes. She did not notice my embarrassed

return, thankfully. I had trouble spotting team drove the length of the court and it myself. "I guess they sing the Alma Mater

pretty soon, right?" "Twit, the game comes first."

By the grace of the Timekeeper it was halftime, and I left for a breath of fresh air. Eight thousand people rose with me and followed me out. We all ended up by the Belltower, hyperventilating deep gulps of fresh oxygen, and then trudged gymward for what was an equally demanding second half.

We won. A guard on the opposing

made a lay-up in our basket before he realized he had his contact lens in backwards. The jubilant crowd was so moved they burst out singing the Alma

In a manner of speaking. Most of them were no better off than I was. To hide from the social stigma of not knowing their Alma Mater they did the little things people do when they must sing.

Some lowered their heads and sang into their chests. Others hunched over in their seats looking for imaginary coats. The girl next to me sang above the frequency audible to the human ear. One boy came down with a sudden seizure of

I was at least honest enough to admit I didn't know the Alma Mater. I sang the National Anthem instead, and five people in front of me switched over to it in desperation. We were all glorious altos, and saluted each other when we finished.

What I could understand of the Alma Mater I scribbled down on the lineup sheet. As near as I can deduce, UNC students sing it this way:

'Hark! Bassoon of Two Hill vices, Ribbon Nancy Drew, Sagging Carol Hannah's bruises Jousting, I see you. Helter the Bridgette, sterile ode, Clarence radars chimes. Carol Inn, a Princess germ Recede old prairies, dine. For Emma Two Hill burn

Andy Two Hill bled, Antennae dye. Albee a Two Hill sled. Sore it's roar, Curl in her antler. Roar roar Curl in her, antler.

Roar roar Curl in her, Gotta tell dupe.

Copyright 1970. No Soap Radio. New patent in the lower courts.

The Daily Tar Heel is published by the University of North Carolina Student Publication's Board, daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations and during

summer periods. Offices are at the Student Union Bldg. Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514. Telephone numbers: editorial, sports. news-933-1011; business, circulation, advertising-933-1163. Address: Carolina Union, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514.

Second class postage paid at U.S. Post Office in Chapel Hill, N.C.

### Readers Forum

Letters to the editor must be typed and double-spaced, not exceeding 300 words. The letter writer must indicate his willingness for his opinion to be expressed in print. All printed letters must carry the name and address of the

writer(s). Letters should be addressed to the Associate Editor, care of The Daily Tar Heel, Student Union.

again twenty years ago: "Why should people who never fly be forced to pay taxes to built new airports?" But the majority felt differently, and the government went into the airport construction business.

Writer Slams 'Free Press' Campaign

Governmental agencies can finance a newspaper or any other activity if the majority of the people want it done. It is not a question of force, it is a question of majority rule.

"But," cries the Committee, "the Tar Heel can be purchased on a per-user basis." So it can. So can airplane tickets. So can education, in private schools. What difference does that make?

What is being voted on in this month's referendum is not "force" or any other "moral issue." It is not freedom of the press. (One wonders what the "Free" Press Committee thinks freedom of the press is. I always thought it was the right to print whatever you wanted to print. Do the Committee members feel that the DTH has hindered them for printing whatever they wish, in the RENAISSANCE and RIGHTISH, to their very hearts' content?) Neither is it the good or bad qualities of Todd Cohen, whose term is about to end. What will be voted on is the existence of the DTH.

The Committe would like for people to believe that this is not so. They are very defensive about this. But it is true nevertheless. The DTH gets about 30% of its income from Student Government. It would be very unlikely that any newspaper could survive the sudden cutoff of 30% of its income.

But suppose that by some miracle it did. It still would be doomed, because with its low circulation its advertising renenue would drop off sharply. With its

income constantly shrinking, the DTH's quality would become worse and worse, and its circulation would drop even lower. It would be caught in a vicious circle-lower circulation, less advertising, lower quality-which could end in only one way: the death of the Tar Heel.

There is no getting around it. This month we will be voting on whether we want to have a DTH or not. Most people will agree that a campus newspaper is needed as a forum for the expression of opinion, as a critic and conscience of the administration, and most of all simply to report the campus news. To abolish it would be a mistake, which we would later

This is why the Student Party has unanimously passed a resolution opposing the Free Press Committee's proposal.

It is why Alan Hirsch has come out against the proposal. Also Mark Evens.

Also Bill Russo and Bill Blue. When you think of it, maybe the Free Press Committee has blundered. Almost

anywhere, you can convince people by using high-sounding slogans, flashy catch-phrases, and cries of "Moral issue, moral issue."

But around here, people develop an ability to see through empty rhetoric. Students may be harder to fool than the Committee has assumed. On March 17 we will find out.

In the meantime, let's hope many people will speak out against this disastrous propsal, because no referendum is even defeated unless it has strong vigorous opposition.

> Bob Gillam 906 James