

The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of The Daily Tar Heel are expressed on its editorial page. All unsigned editorials are the opinions of the editor and the staff. Letters and columns represent only the opinions of the individual contributors.

Tom Gooding, Editor

Awards Of The Week

EGO OF THE WEEK— To Tom McMillan, the 6-foot-11 inch basketball star from Pennsylvania, who promises he will announce his decision on college ball on network television. All Carolina needs is another jock with a Larry Miller complex.

FORGOTTEN PROMISE OF THE WEEK— to the University administration for promising us more parking space in 1954 and collecting millions in parking ticket fines through a force of kind-hearted campus constables.

ANNOYANCE OF THE WEEK— to the physical plant and all their little electric golf-cart-mail-carriers that run so quietly you don't know they're there until after you've been run over.

GO FLY A KITE OF THE WEEK— To our friendly Student Stores who know that in windy weather fun-loving college students love to fly kites. The ever alert student store has kites—for a buck each. Special commendation in this category: "Order of the Golden Fleecy."

INTERNAL DISSENSION OF THE WEEK— To the campus Young Republican Club who can't

decide who's doing what.

QUOTE OF THE WEEK— To Dean of Women Catherine Kennedy Carmichael who, in response to a question on the University's rationale for regulating students, said "There must be some rationale for this policy, but I don't know what it is."

DISAPPOINTMENT OF THE WEEK— to the "mad bomber" who did not blow up Lenoir Hall Wednesday night.

SUCCESS OF THE WEEK— to the Campus Carnival which grossed approximately \$4,000.

PICKY-PICKY OF THE WEEK— to the Athletic Department for their Jubilee rules.

DOUBLE-TAKE OF THE WEEK— to President Nixon who announced he would cancel all student deferments as a "first step" in ending the draft.

MARTYR OF THE WEEK— to DTH Associate Editor Rick Gray for his continual conflict with the telephone company in the best traditions of the downtrodden and oppressed.

"IT'S YOUR TURN TO DUMP THE GARBAGE"— to the students and ECOS leaders for their spat over who would dispose of trash left from the "Trash-In."

It finally started. With all the strong student feelings aroused over the use of the Faculty Club Building, it was inevitable that at some point logic and reasoning would be abandoned to emotionalism. That was to be expected.

What was not to be expected was that an "academic" department of this university would be the first to do the abandoning. As reported in the Tar Heel,



ken ripley

Soul Food: Being Free

There are a lot of things that we'd all like to be freed from, but there are also many things people could be freed for.

And it is this freedom "for" something that makes up the second major part of the Christian concept of personal freedom.

As a Christian, I know that I have been freed, through Christ, from a lot of major hang-ups that wipe out many people. Freedom from guilt, from fear of death and rejection, from lack of purpose and meaning in life. But the great thing about Christian freedom is that I'm not only free from something, I'm also given a positive direction in which my freedom can express itself.

Here again, the secret of Christian freedom lies in its basic definition and origins. I noted last week that freedom was not an escape or empty vacuum, but the ability and capability of choosing alternatives.

To a good extent, the things Christians are freed from are merely the unpleasant and fatal results of past choices and alternatives we've taken. But as a Christian, as I enter a fundamental relationship with God through Christ, I am freed from those consequences to now choose better, more fruitful ways to live my life to its fullest.

Saint Augustine neatly summed up Christian freedom when he wrote, "Love God, and do as you please." Earlier, the apostle Paul wrote, "All things are lawful for me, but not all things are helpful." Both these men not only express the wide range of freedom a Christian has to act, they show why such freedom is possible and its consequences.

the statements of the chairman of the Air Force ROTC department, however, can be interpreted in no other light.

Apparently unable to come up with any sound, logical arguments to counter the proposals of Morehead Residence College, the department chairman unfortunately allowed his statement to degenerate into an exercise in name calling, labeling Morehead's efforts as "somewhat selfish." Morehead's bid

happens to be supported by Tom Bello, student body president, several members of the board of trustees, the student legislature, the AWS, and the Residence College Federation. Perhaps all of these are also "selfish", in the eyes of Air Force ROTC.

The fact that one of the parties seeking the use of the Faculty Club building has resorted to such childish tactics should not, however, be allowed

to obscure the real issues involved here. There were several points brought up in the same statement concerning AFOTC's case that cannot be allowed to pass with comment.

To begin with, contrary to the statement in Friday's article, there are other places on campus which may be considered for AFOTC. While it would not provide the plush area that AFOTC is hoping for, Philips Annex is a possibility. In the same line, the fact that by law, the University "must provide facilities comparable to other departments" is no real hindrance.

Very few other departments would claim that their right to space must include separate, individual offices for every instructor as AFOTC has. Nor do many other departments request space for separate offices for their students, such as AFOTC's Cadet Headquarters. And while having a social lounge is a nice touch, it is doubtful that not having one would seriously endanger the academic standing of the department.

The argument that the building should automatically go to AFOTC because the Navy built it with federal funds is weaker still.

Finally, the AFOTC department states that they "have the administrative viewpoint." The views of the administration will be made known when the Space Committee makes their report, not before. Since the main and ultimate goal of the administration is to provide for the education and well being of its students, the request of 100% of the residents of Morehead to improve the living-learning environment of this University for them should be given primary consideration.

The members of Morehead Residence College have presented their views in a logical, thoughtful and dignified manner. It is regrettable that an academic department cannot maintain the same high level of argumentation as 850 "selfish" students.

Morehead, Child-Care Center Need Building

The University Planning and Space Committee has gotten itself into the middle of a hot controversy over the Faculty Club.

The Space Committee, headed by Assistant to the Chancellor Claiborne Jones, usually has the unceremonious job of deciding what to do with the space in University buildings.

However, when it became apparent that the faculty was no longer using their club the Space Committee was given the job of assigning the building to some other function.

The residents of Morehead Residence College want the building for office, study, recreation and social facilities for

their college. The AFOTC detachment, whose present buildings are being torn down, claims that it must have the building. And the Ad Hoc Committee on Child Care wants the building for a day-care center.

All three organizations claim that it is essential they get the building.

We feel that the 850 residents of Morehead Residence College must be given primary consideration. The University is requiring students to live on campus and consequently must provide these students with adequate facilities.

However, the child-care committee has pointed out a long-standing inadequacy in University planning. There should be a low-cost child-care center for the children of all University connected people.

The entire building would not be large enough for a child-care center. Therefore, we recommend that the basement be used only as a temporary measure until the University can find adequate space.

Thus we believe the Space Committee should permit Morehead Residence College to have the upstairs of the building and provide the basement for a child care center.

Jubilee Rules Need Changes

The UNC Athletic Department has granted permission for Jubilee to be held in Kenan Stadium under certain provisions.

We wish to thank the Athletic Department for their kind benevolence toward the students.

The students of this University have been supporting the Athletic Association through student fees for more years than they can count. In addition, the Student Stores gives the Athletic Association \$45,000 of every year out of the money made off students.

Now the Athletic Department tells students they can't put a blanket on the field for Jubilee. The performers have been moved to the South sidelines and the students have been moved to the stands.

We hope students realize what this will do to the acoustics of the performers. The music will be projected against a solid concrete slab and thrown back toward the field causing a high level of distortion.

We don't doubt that placing blankets on the field for long periods of time will kill the grass.

Thus we wish to propose a compromise. Move the performers to the end-zone where the music can be projected without distortion, to the largest number of people and outlaw blankets from the field.

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom

Tom Gooding, Editor

Rod Waldorf Managing Ed.

Harry Bryan News Editor

Rick Gray Associate Ed.

Laura White Associate Ed.

Chris Cobbs Sports Editor

Mary Burch Arts Editor

Mike McGowan Photo Editor

Bob Wilson Business Mgr.

Frank Stewart Adv. Mgr.

Ken Smith Night Editor

Letters To The Editor

Trampled-down Grass Irrks Alumnus Writer

To The Editor:

I have read with hearty approval your stories about "Earth Day" and ECOS. But, though I have not had time to read everything even in the Tar Heel, I have not seen a word about the ugliest thing on our campus, the trampled-down grass all over, and particularly in the area between Bingham and Dey. Go look at it.

For years, the administration has tried to keep the campus lovely, but it has been helpless against the students' attitude that seems to say, "to hell with the grass." The University put up signs saying "Please" do not trample down the grass. When students cared nothing for "Please," the University erected chains at each corner where students cut across to save a few hundred steps on the expensively bricked walks. Immediately students hopped over the chains, crawled under them, or tore them down. The result is hideous.

It is heartening that students in ECOS are interested in cleaning up trash and other effluvia on highways, but I remember a truth learned in an old-fashioned childhood, "Charity begins at home," which implied for me as a student here (1920-24) a decent pride in the campus.

Besides your campaign, O ECOS, for cleaning up the highways, how about a campaign to restore our once-lovely campus? Surely some students are so selfish, lazy or blind to beauty that they don't care. Yet even they might be responsive to public opinion.

Can the Tar Heel and ECOS help to make clear that destroying the campus is ugly and unpopular? In recent years I have seen the campus go down, down, down. Does it have to rot into a slum?

J.O. Bailey

Save Club From Kids

To The Editor:

The latest antics of the Female Liberation Movement are utterly ridiculous. The very idea of making the nice Faculty Club into a day care center for a bunch of kids who aren't even old enough for school!

Just think of what they would do to the paneling in the building and to the floors. Total devastation!

Besides, the noise from all the screaming kids beginning at 7:30 a.m. The girls in Cobb and the men in Stacy would not be able to keep their sanity.

Sincerely,
Reed Stevens
Hilton Drive

john agar

Woodstock Is Also 'Establishment'

Recently, there was a Florida pop festival called "Wintersend." Apparently, it bombed out. At least, it never got from the local ad pages into the news.

The name "Wintersend" struck me. It had a sacre du printemps quality. This, its promoters seemed to be saying, was to be more than a rock concert, more than merely the 1970 version of American Band Stand: it was to be a ritual of joy and communion. Like Woodstock, of course.

Also, "Wintersend" was a plagiarism, or as close to one as a verbal parallel can be. The source was Arthur C. Clarke's novel Childhood's End: and I thought the coincidence, or at least my awareness of it, a rather ironic put-down for the show.

It seemed a revelation of the festival's basic callowness and of its pompous assertion—that dropping out, reducing one's human self-awareness to zero, was a mystical experience. Tripping, not because tripping is restorative or personally revealing, but because it takes less effort than anything you can think of. "Childhood forever!" might have been the motto—and even more so for Woodstock.

Because Woodstock, "Wintersend," and their relations all suffer from the same immaturity, the same hang-ups. They're children who dress up like their parents; or, perhaps, like transvestites, because the childish yearning is present, but undercut viciously by a consciousness of the frivolity and wrongness of the whole thing.

The frankest description of the Woodstock votary is that he's a prude. A defrocked puritan. A pious heretic. How else, except by taking it seriously, can one account for the bogus religiosity of the Woodstock experience?

How else can one account for the need to deify pleasure?

SURE WE WON THE RACES AND WE'RE GREAT PLEDGES, BUT THAT WAS THREE DAYS AGO!



If religion, then, is the opiate of the people, Woodstock is the opiate of the college elite. And this in a literal sense: because it's the closest our society has come to actually acting out a trip, even if it was on papa's money.

And Woodstock, like any good trip, has brought us home full of insights, the most important of which is also the most frightening: one cannot, at least not with undisciplined mysticism, escape his upbringing.

Perhaps it was this realization which caused the failure of "Wintersend." Or maybe it was just that "Wintersend" came at a bad time, in a bad place, and without enough talent. For there will be another Woodstock this summer, and the old one will soon be communing with us via the wide screen.

And it is, I have heard, a marvellous experience, very "relibious, in its own way," and all that.

It's also one thing else. Woodstock is establishment.

The Daily Tar Heel is published by the University of North Carolina Student Publications Board, daily except Monday, examination periods, vacations, and summer periods.

Offices are at the Student Union Bldg., Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514. Telephone Numbers: News, Sports—933-1011; Business, Circulation, Advertising—933-1163.

Subscription rates: \$10 per year, \$5 per semester.

Second class postage paid at U.S. Post Office in Chapel Hill, N.C.