

The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of The Daily Tar Heel are expressed on its editorial page. All unsigned editorials are the opinions of the editor and the staff. Letters and columns represent only the opinions of the individual contributors.

Tom Gooding, Editor

Morrow Incorrect In Anderson Case

Dean C. Wilson Anderson of the UNC School of Social Work doesn't know if he'll be dean of the school next fall.

During the summer, Anderson and students in the school say, Provost J.C. Morrow informed the dean that he would not be recommended for a second five-year term as dean because two of his appointments had caused the University "political embarrassment."

Morrow says he never informed Anderson that he would not be recommended.

We doubt Dean Anderson would fabricate a story of his dismissal as head of the School of Social Work or that he would allow his students to spend as much time trying to save a job not in question as they have.

The Provost said on Oct. 19 that no recommendation has been made on Anderson's reappointment for next year. Morrow declined to comment on the recommendation at all last Friday.

The controversy goes all the way back to the fall of 1967 when Howard Fuller, then a community organizer in Durham's black neighborhood, was hired as a lecturer on community organization.

Then-Governor Dan K. Moore,

along with several other political leaders of the state of North Carolina, said the hiring of Fuller was a "bad mistake."

Fuller was fired.

He was fired because he was an uppity nigger who was leading black efforts to improve their living conditions, not because he was unqualified to lecture on organization.

One has only to look at the high degree of solidarity within Durham's black community and know that Howard Fuller was the man who started it to realize few people are more qualified to lecture on community organization than Fuller.

However, that appointment, coming early in Anderson's term as dean, was not the only factor contributing to Morrow's decision. Anderson recommended to Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson last fall that Chapel Hill Mayor Howard Lee be hired as a lecturer in the School of Social Work.

Sitterson, remembering the controversy over the Fuller appointment, turned the proposed appointment over to the Board of Trustees who killed it.

The Lee controversy brought the open a sharp dispute between the state's only Black mayor and Governor Robert Scott. A dispute marked by Lee's statement that Scott was a "bigot."

Lee said he was misquoted by the press on his charges against Scott, and the dispute was ended. But the state and the University remembered that Anderson was the one who began the whole thing by recommending the appointment.

No one stopped to consider that maybe both Lee and Fuller were among the most qualified people in the nation, and certainly the most qualified in the state, to lecture on community organization in the School of Social Work.

All the University, and the Provost, seem able to remember are the newspaper headlines and the letters from irate alumni screaming about UNC hiring uppity blacks.

For Anderson not to be re-hired because of these two appointments is ridiculous, and for Provost Morrow not to inform Anderson of his future in this University is totally unacceptable.

Letters To The Editor

DTH Reviewers 'Incompetent'

To The Editor:

The Daily Tar Heel has an obviously incompetent staff to review music and records. One reviewer wrote that Joe Cocker's Mad Dogs and Englishmen was completely recorded at Fillmore, but anyone with any sense knows that announcements at the Fillmore do not speak with French accents. The album is a collection of songs from concerts with the lion's share recorded at the Fillmore. Another time a reviewer reported that Spooky Tooth's Last Puff is a significant change from their Celebration album, but the Celebration album was a sidetrip as anyone who has heard Spooky Two knows. However, then the Tar Heel contributed to the cause of mind pollution with an article of twaddle, tommyrot, and rubbish on Chicago.

Chicago is basically a good group in that it is pleasant to listen to, but not for any reasons submitted by Bryan Cumming. Cumming has the bad taste to compare Terry Kath with Clapton, Harrison, and Hendrix (surprisingly enough he forgot Winter and Page). However, while Kath is competent as seen in "Free Form Guitar," he is by no means a virtuoso like Clapton and especially Jimi Hendrix, two excellent guitarists and composers.

He also called Chicago original which might be true if one ignored the presence of The Paul Butterfield Blues Band, The Electric Flag, and the first Blood, Sweat, and Tears, and their diversified use of instruments. Chicago, he contends, make B, S, and T "sound synthetic and condescending." He must be referring to the present version; however, the Blood, Sweat, and Tears led by Al Kooper was an inventive group and hardly synthetic. Rolling Stone in fact blasted both B.S. & T. (as it stands now) and Chicago as "week groups in comparison to the lesser known Jerry Hahn Brotherhood."

Chicago has not moved to the paradise of "instant ticket sales" as he suggests as New York's Fillmore and Capitol Theaters if they sell out do not do so instantaneously as do Traffic or Ten Years After. However, one of the strong points is their lyrics. In the first album were the words, "Did you ever think we're in it for the money? You might be right," but Cumming is not. They are there partially to express views, and if one agrees with his contention that Rock has never made any intellectual claims, we suggest picking up an album by Steppenwolf or Jimi Hendrix for starters.

In conclusion, if the reader wants a group like Cumming describes-one without "Revolutionary rhetoric" and with "distinctive sound"-we commend The Brooklyn Bridge and The Monkees. Ted Stewart 411 Morrison Win Bennett 705 Morrison

Kent State Fund Student Safeguard

To The Editor:

While I was away from North Carolina for the last two years, I heard wild rumors of a big change at UNC, and end to apathy. Either these were indeed only wild rumors or apathy has once again settled heavily on the large majority of students and faculty members.

A rally was held to raise money for and to show support of the people from Kent State who have been indicted by the Ohio grand jury. The numerical showing was pitiful for a university of the size of UNC, especially when it is considered that support of these people is certainly not indicative of advocacy of violent revolution. No matter what an individual's political ideology for changing the system, the Kent State Defense Fund can and should be conceived of as a self-defense fund for freedom against repression by those in power.

Of course, it rained pretty hard Friday.

Ann Martin 1703 Curtis Rd.

Admission Needed To Restore Unity

To The Editor:

Concerning Mr. Grover Proctor's editorial of October 21, I would like to say that I am glad he is willing to admit that his "side" just might be at fault in the increasing polarization taking place in our nation today.

If more people on either side of the political fence would at least admit that they share in the responsibility for this widening rift, there just might be a chance for real unity to be restored. But, alas, the name-calling and mud-slinging continue.

Tony Lentz

Pub Board Actions Necessary

I believe in getting into hot water. I think it keeps you clean. -G.K. Chesterton

I had really hoped that I could avoid getting into political squabbles when I volunteered to be on the Publications Board. And it doesn't bother me tremendously to have to explain my position to many of my friends, even though I'm wasting about 45 minutes a day that way.

But the most unkindest cut of all was Tom Gooding's implication in Sunday's Tar Heel that the Pub Board, in my motion, had stabbed Student Government in the back by signing the Yack-Yack contract.

Well, even that much I could stand. The straw that broke the Lentz's back, however, was Gooding's inference that I have a bad case of morality.

I thought about a libel suit, but suing

the State of North Carolina is pretty hopeless business.

And besides, it would be pretty hard to explain to the judge that being called too moral these days is something of an insult.

So I've decided to simply lay my case before the people, and hope that someone doesn't arrest me for indecent exposure.

I voted to sign the Yack contract for several reasons.

In the first place, I think it would have been illegal for us not to sign.

Secondly, a two or three-month delay for Student Legislature debate on the Yack budget would have effectively crippled this year's Yack.

Last-minute budget reconsiderations would also set a bad precedent for the administration of student activities.

And finally, I was never given any good reason for slicing the Yack budget. The question of legality is raised because North Carolina state law makes verbal contract enforceable.

Former Pub Board Chairman Bunnar Froman told the publishing company, not once but twice, that they had won the contract for the Yack at their bid price.

This means, simply, that even if we

hadn't signed the contract we were bound to meet our obligations as per the verbal understanding we had with the publishing company.

In the second place, holding the Yack budget up in the air until Student Legislature had a chance to wear itself out over the controversy would have tied the Yack editor's hands.

Color pictures would have been delayed, photo assignments for football games would have been almost out of the question.

The result would have been a slow death for the Yackety-Yack at the hands of the President of the Student Body and his staff.

It's not that I've got anything against going away with the Yack. My picture has appeared in one issue during my entire college career, and I would probably vote to do away with the whole damn thing.

That kind of decision, however, lies with the Student Legislature...not the Pub Board.

And the proper time for such considerations is during the budget hearings each spring.

Let's face it. Proposing a drastic budget cut for the Yack on the day the

contract is to be formally signed is not sound fiscal policy.

I know Vice President Bill Blue said there wasn't time to bring the matter before the Pub Board, since it only came up a week before the contract was due to be signed.

But Tommy Bello's administration has been gunning for the Yack since he came into office, long before the final budget was approved.

That means that issue only "turned up" after eight months of waiting for the proper moment to spring on a public aroused by last year's crummy Yack!

And about the only reason I was given to hold off on the contract was "that Tommy Bello thought it was a good idea."

Well, I realize Mr. Bello's popularity poll is down a little this year. He narrowly escaped impeachment after the summer session escapades and I know of at least on campus organization (the Di-Phi) which he has slapped in the face by simply forgetting(?) a speaking engagement.

But I hardly think it is the job of the Publications Board to finance this credibility gap by furnishing funds for a shiny pork barrel.

As an imitator, he has no originality, no character, only mockery. He accepts his plight in the dullness of the American system, and thus only able to imitate, he mocks those with originality, those with character, those who have ceased to imitate.

He mocks rhetoric by using the most rhetorical language. He accuses SDS of striving towards a utopian Jerusalem (by faith it does not), while he himself hopes for utopia (to solve these troubled times) within the American system. Don't fight the system, its ineluctable, he says. What, the last stage of man?

Has the mocker no guts? Does he fear the lion with bloody claws? Has he no blood himself? Or does only "mockery" flow through his veins?

If someone does him wrong, does he acquiesce like a eunuch? Is he so afraid of self-righteousness that he drives virtue to the gutter where he can mock at it?

A pox on you, mocker.

Jim Feathers UNC-SDS 255 James

The Daily Tar Heel accepts letters to the editor, provided they are typed on a 60-space line and limited to a maximum of 300 words. All letters must be signed and the address and phone number of the writer must be included.

The paper reserves the right to edit all letters for libelous statements and good taste.

Address letters to Associate Editor, The Daily Tar Heel, in care of the Student Union.

'A Pox On You,' Mr. SDS Mocker

To The Editor:

Patrick B. Mullen has an incredible talent for imitation. ("SDS Increasing Problems" in Sunday's DTH) Who but he and other apish personalities could write so clearly 300 words of pure rhetorical

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom

Tom Gooding, Editor

Rod Waldorf Managing Ed.

Mike Parnell News Editor

Rick Gray Associate Ed.

Harry Bryan Associate Ed.

Chris Cobbs Sports Editor

Frank Parrish Feature Editor

Ken Ripley National News Ed.

Terry Cheek Night Editor

Doug Jewell Business Mgr.

Frank Stewart Adv. Mgr.



Terry Cheek

A New Means Of Protest

The rally staged Friday to raise money for the defense fund of the 25 people indicated at Kent State was slightly above being a failure.

But people aren't as concerned as they once were. Or at least they aren't as optimistic. And it rained that day.

The people organizing the rally said they were prompted to action by a Rick Gray editorial denouncing the apathy on this campus after the grand jury indictments.

These organizers originally wanted to start a boycott-strike-whatever in protest of the indictments. Their first move was to come to the office of the Daily Tar Heel to make known their intentions and ask for publicity and editorial support.

Unlike the organizers, various staff members of the Tar Heel have helped

organize, support and publicize all varieties of strikes, boycotts, petitions and marches in their years at Carolina.

There was a degree of naive exuberance that night in the organizers' descriptions of their plans for a protest strike. The arguments and explanations of the Tar Heel people quickly banished any illusions about the success of a mass demonstration on this campus.

There is a question as to which was more sadly comical—the Tar Heel staff sagely denouncing demonstrations or the aspect of the organizers' ignorance of recent history.

With the exception of Rick Gray, the staff members were saying "Look, we've been through it all before. We've seen thousands marching, we've heard the slogans and we've breathed the tear gas.

And the president still watches a ball game."

The organizers, appearing younger and new to the University, were convinced to at least postpone organizing demonstrations and to instead go out and help raise money for the Kent State fund.

These organizers and the other new students who arrive on campus each year haven't been "through it all." Although their first attempt at change barely got off the ground, the organizers will be collecting further funds this week in front of the undergraduate jury.

Maybe if they can find some new ways of nurturing the revolution these organizers won't have to follow the same old script of the '60's.