The Baily Tar Teel

Opinions of The Daily Tar Heel are expressed on its editorial page. All unsigned editorials are the opinions of the editor and the staff. Letters and columns represent only the opinions of the individual contributors.

Tom Gooding, Editor

Sorry, No Single Male Undergrads

Chapel Hill has for years prided itself on being one of the South's most progressive towns.

After all, a black man was elected mayor in 1968, and there has been a black on the Board of Aldermen for several years.

And recently Chapel Hillians have pointed to their open housing ordinance with great pride.

Passed before Congress approved a similar federal measure, Chapel Hill's ordinance was designed primarily to prevent local realtors from discriminating against blacks in the renting and selling of apartments and houses.

Judged solely on the basis, the ordinance has worked well.

But, judged on the broad terms of no discrimination at all, the ordinance does not work, especially if the person trying to rent happens to be a single, male undergraduate. after all.

The classified ads in the local papers all say "family only" or "no single undergraduates" or "no undergraduate male students."

The real estate offices are the

The secretaries will be nice up to the point where they ask, "And is this for you and your wife?"

And the student has to respond, "No, it's for me and a couple of friends that can't take living in a dorm anymore."

"I'm sorry," the secretary will say, "but we don't have anything." What she really means is "We don't have anything to rent to any male undergraduates because they all have wild parties and tear the houses up."

And after a couple of weeks of trying to find an apartment or house, one might just decide that Chapel Hill isn't all that progressive

Briefly Editorial

Despite all the talk about devisive campaign tactics by the President and Spiro, we have to admit they did take some of the Gore out of Tennessee politics.

Have Made It Into Print Department-The one in

The Baily Tar Feel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom

Tom Gooding, Editor

Rod Waldorf Managing Ed. Mike Parnell News Editor Rick Gray Associate Ed. Harry Bryan Associate Ed. Chris Cobbs Sports Editor Frank Parrish Feature Editor Ken Ripley National News Ed. Terry Cheek Night Editor

Doug Jewell Business Mgr. Frank Stewart Adv. Mgr. Wednesday's Charlotte Observer which read, "GOP To Throw Agnew Dinner."

From what we see of Headlines That Should Never newly-elected California Senator John Tunney, it looks like Massachusetts has three senators again.

> Surrounded by protestors at San Jose, Calif., last week, President Nixon climbed atop his car and gave his two-handed victory salute, and, as he stepped down told one of his aides, "That's what they hate to see."

That's really bringing us together, Tricky.

Life magazine said last week that Richard Nixon once won \$1,500 at a poker table by bluffing with a pair of deuces. Now he's trying to tell us that the Republicans won Tuesday's elections.

We'll see that and raise three senators.

Harry Reasoner, Sunday night newsman for CBS, has announced he will become ABC's evening news co-anchor man for \$200,000 a year.

That's worth three professional football players and a third-string ABA guard.

Peter Brown

We're In Center Of Confusion

The September issue of Esquire magazine ran a portfolio of paintings related to protest, and one in particular showed a hooded eclesiastic carrying a portentious sign. The sign read, in bold letters, "This is the beginning of the great CONFUSION." It is true except that perhaps we somewhere in the center of the great confusion right now.

In a good looking suede suit, with short hair and that recognizeable ski jump nose, Jane Fonda stepped up to the podium to speak to the controversial Political Science 95-A class. She was four minutes late, and as she came up to speak the barrage of paper gliders which had filled the auditorium all settled to earth. "Is this a class?" she asked. "I thought I was here to speak to a class." In the hall maybe 2,000 people, about 1,000 of whom were in the class had been sailing enough wads of floating paper so as to make Memorial Hall look like an

approachway to JFK Airport on a Friday

There she was, and speaking for nothing, which was impressive. (The camera bugs crowded around snapping away below the podium, and the news cameramen zeroed in from the wings wondering to themselves how to tell if what they were filming at the time was the most inflammatory thing they could get their hands on. The middle of the great confusion parted, maybe even receded, as she began to speak.

Memorial couldn't have held many more people. They hung off the window sills and balconies. Even Chief Security Officer Arthur Beaumont was there to listen, sitting on a ladder in the front of the hall. He wasn't bothering anyone. He just came to hear Jane Fonda. Like all of

Released on bond from Cleveland, Ohio, after being charged with pill

smuggling and kicking a customs agent. people were obviously glad to see her in person in the Tar Heel state. She had spoken on Thursday night as a guest of The College Arts and Lectures Series at Appalachian State College, in Boone, The Charlotte Observer made one astute comment in reporting the story when they said, "Miss Fonda looks more like a modern day Joan of Arc than a glamorous star." It's true.

"I'm not used to being stripped at the borders," she said. "And especially by a big burly F.B.I. chap." In retrospect the whole incident in Cleveland is going to look ridiculous for the F.B.I. and the customs agents. Jane Fonda dangerous? Perhaps articulate yes, but dangerous no. She said that she had been placed on the list of subversive radical speakers distributed from the White House, which was later knocked down by the Federal Courts. Agents had been waiting for her

when she got off the plane, and she said that she had looked out the window of the plane and thought. "Oh my God, it's going to start all over again," It's the beginning of the great confusion, she In Memorial Hall as she spoke one

noticed that the refreshing thing about what she said was that she is honest. She is mad about the war, about racism, chauvanism. She is angry with the Federal Government. She is convinced that Vice-President Agnew's lecture tours around the country are an example of crossing state lines with the intention of inciting riot, that many young people are rejecting the principles under which the country is now being governed: sexism, successism, militarism, opportunism, racism. That the establishment is waging a war of counter insurgency against the disaffected (Cleveland, Ohio, Wednesday, November 4). "Work within the system?" she asks. "I can't find any system." She accuses the White House of pacifying and distorting public opinion, and that the long haul strategy of the Vietnamization plan is to pull the troops out and step up

the bombing. On campus she says there should be no ROTC, no military recruiting, no war research, no class barriers to admissions, and that while there are members of Congress who realize what is happening in the country, and where a good deal of public opinion is going, they are a small minority.

She asks the Lairds, the Stennises, and the Rivers to look at the continuing destruction going on in Vietnam and to see what it is doing to a generation of young people who read about it in the

So the so-called radical revolutionary girl, who was arrested on a pills charge in Cleveland, spoke very articulately, consistently, logically and honestly about things which are true. But nowhere in her speech did she advocate a violent overthrow of the government. One thinks that it is an insult that the White House thinks American students so puerile that they must direct our attention to the radical speakers travelling across the country.

We are hardly spoon fed fools incapable of ascertaining the condition and advantages of the American system of government. Though there was no opposition to Jane Fonda's opinions or appearance from the campus administration, those zooey news cameramen undoubtedly will be able to piece together an unsightly edited hodgepodge of radical statements unrelated to the subject matter. It is the same principle as standing in raincoats at the edge of the runway in the Cleveland

Half of America takes an up to get up in the morning and a down to go to bed at night, so maybe anyone of us would have kicked that big burly chap in the Cleveland Airport. Students who are not hard core rads are a bit confused by it all. It is impossible not to be. And all the people in Memorial gave Miss Fonda an honest applause at the end of her talk. It

was a good talk. She turned the platform over to an ex-Marine and Veteran from Vietnam named Grif Ellisin who announced a meeting for the Veterans Against the War and spoke briefly about his experience in Vietnam. As many people seem to believe already, he explained that the My Lai incident is not an isolated example of criminality in the war, but rather happens frequently. He explained similar instances in which he was involved. The problems he explained are the ones of policy, things which he had been ordered to do. In urging everyone to continued protest against the War until we are completely out of Vietnam he closed his talk with the advice of his CO in Vietnam: "Don't let the bastards grind you down."

They were both provocative, interesting, and undoubtedly most everyone left the hall to wander back into the era of the great confusion. Jane Fonda did resemble what Joan of Arc ·looks like in all those wood carvings, and it's a far cry from Barbarella.



Letters

Life Styles Are Changing

To The Editor:

Miss Ann Martin's letter of November 3, 1970 misses the point. Carolina students have changed. A new life style dominates Chapel Hill. Jeans, tie-dyed shirts, suede vests, sandals and boots replace weejuns, monogrammed cardigans, plaid pants, and print blouses. Chicago replaces the Tams. Dope takes over from Old Grandad.

The new life style will replace the corrupt old way of doing things. The problems of past and present will fade. Concerted action for change is no longer necessary. The old system will show its corruption and venality and the new will triumph through its inherent vitality and honesty. Or so it is told along The Wall.

In the past, students beat their breasts twice or thrice yearly over emotional issues. Now, total apathy reigns.

Rain didn't scare the weakly committed away from the Kent State Defense Fund rally. Few would have come even under the most favorable

circumstances. Rain did not scare many away from Chicago on Saturday. Most would have come under any conditions. Don Lyman

Muhammad Story: Racial Moralizing

To The Editor:

With regard to Chris Cobbs's article on Muhammad Ali, I would like to recommend that Mr. Cobbs confine his efforts to sports-an area in which he is less inept than the racial moralizing that his Ali article typified.

> E.F. Barber 335 Ehringhaus

A-12 Camelot Apts.

Student Movement Hurt By Emotion

To The Editor:

It is regrettable that the radical

movements on this campus, movements which could strive for the liberalization of our community and society, must resort to the emotional, name-calling tactics that are also employed by our country's extreme right wing.

Perhaps this is the factor which results in the apathetic attitude of students towards activating change in America. What thinking person wants to be associated with groups which label every uniformed man a "pig," every businessman a "facist"? This tendency to deal in generalities,

in vast overstatements, in split-second judgments of others, is perhaps the major obstacle in our quest for changing and correcting the problem which exist in America. These groups must be a little less emotional, more rational, and a good deal more realistic before these changes can be realized.

> Jim Crouch Bob Webb Dal Branch II4 S. Columbia

Carl Freedman

Democratic Election Gains Give Hope For '72 Well-the elections have come and and, in the second place I have faith that

about a night that saw the defeat of Senators like Gore and Goodell by two of the most reactionary members of the Nixon bunch; indeed, like a confirmed neurotic, I went around Wednesuly wistfully singing to myself, "Good-by Charlie, we're going to miss you now."

Leaving aside such traumatic experiences, however, I believe that there is considerable reason to be quietly encouraged, if not wildly enthusiastic, by what we saw on the tube Tuesday night. This is, I suppose, a belief that will hold water only if we accept the axiom that, of all the things that need changing on the American political scene, the first order of business is to change the identity of the man in the White House. I realize that neither S.D.S. nor Y.A.F. readers will find this premise acceptable, but I shall continue in this line of thought anyway. For one thing, I have a big enough ego to write what I damn please;

gone. It's impossible for me to be joyous there are a considerable number of other democratic radicals who may be thinking along similar lines.

Let's, as Al Smith used to say, look at the record. The record shows that the most notable trend Tuesday night was the Democratic sweep in the nation's state houses and state legislatures, with many states that have traditionally be staunchly Republican turning out the incumbents.

This means at least two things. First of all, it will be the Democrats who will do the congressional re-apportionment for the entire 1970's. Secondly, and more importantly, it will be the Democrats that have the opportunity to build up the kind of local power bases that are so important for the Presidential elections in two years.

The national picture, however, is more directly influenced by the Senate and the House of Representatives. In these races, it must be admitted, the numbers show a significant achievement for neither side.

The Republicans gained a couple of seats in the senate, the Democrats gained ten or so in the House, and the next Congress will be pretty much like the present one, both partisanly and ideologically.

But consider what this non-change in the Congress means. It means, obviously, that neither the Democrats' issue of the economy nor the Republicans' issue of "law and order" really caught fire with the American people. But the trick is that the Democratic issue will remain a live one in two years, especially since the economy of the nation seems likely to continue plunging down the abyss into which the Nixon Administration led it.

On the other hand, the Republican "law and order" issue is certain to be dead in 1972. Even if the voters become more responsive to it, about all ol' Tricky Dick could say would be, in substance, "Well, four years ago I absolutely promised law and order. I muffed it, but how about another chance?"

line on Vietnam, and look what happened to him! It's true that the issue could conceivably be revived by a third party candidate like George Wallace, but then it becomes a question of which party suffers more from the votes that he would drain away; I doubt it would be the Democrats.

Issues aside for a moment, however, let's take a look at the specific Congressional races that were won and lost. The fact is that, in those contests on which the Administration placed the most prestige, Nixon's boys tended to lose. Tennessee is an exception; maybe Maryland is too. But take a more typical state like California. Senator George Murphy was the Republican incumbent and a strong "law and order" man. Nixon and all his lackeys-his vice-president, his cabinet, his sycophants in the Senate. even his family-came out and worked very hard indeed for the Senator. But Murphy was beaten by a young

That's like the old Lyndon Johnson Democratic Congressman named John Tunney; and Tunney, when asked to explain his victory talked little about his own personal qualities (rare enough for any politician!) and much about Californians' displeasure with the "rescue squad" from Washington.

> Or, again, consider Michigan, where Phil Hart easily defeated the challenge of Mrs. Lenore Romney. When asked by a reporter to comment on the fact that Mrs. Romney lost overwhelmingly despite Spiro Agnew's help, Hart replied, "Well, he came to Michiagn, but I'm not sure who he helped."

Some time back I expressed the hope that a genuinely anti-Nixon Congress could possibly be elected this fall. That hope has not been realized. The political emphasis, therefore, should shift from defeating the man's policies in Congress to defeating the man himself at the polls. The elections, if nothing else, did lay the groundwork for that blessed event.

now, but, in a year or so, it will be interesting to see how many of those who have loudly criticized Nixon will actually be building on last Tuesday's groundwork. Remember-only you can prevent a two-term Nixon; and that's a more horrifying, if more formidable, prospect than any forest fire ever was.

The Daily Tar Heel accepts letters to the editor, provided they are typed on a 60-space line and limited to a maximum of 300 words. All letters must be signed and the address and phone number of the writer must be included.

The paper reserves the right to edit all letters for libelous statements and good tarte.

Address letters to Associate Editor, The Daily at Heel, in care

of the Student Union.