

The Daily Tar Heel

Opinions of The Daily Tar Heel are expressed on its editorial page. All unsigned editorials are the opinions of the editor. Letters and columns represent only the opinions of the individual contributors.

Harry Bryan, Editor

Wednesday, April 7, 1971

Students must pay for better Jubilee

Every year when the schedule for Jubilee is announced, a large number of disgusted students walk around campus, complaining about the groups appearing and making plans to go to the beach during Jubilee weekend.

This year that number seems larger than ever before.

This year's schedule is good overall with a wide variety of music offered and good, solid groups.

But this year there is no "big name" group, and many students are displeased.

What students don't seem to understand is that the really "big name" groups just cost too much money for a 45-minute to one-hour appearance, and others refuse to play concerts in the South.

The cost of bringing Joe Cocker, last year's biggest attraction, back to Chapel Hill would probably have wiped out the entire budget for performers for this year's Jubilee, not because there is less money

being spent but because the costs have risen so drastically in the past year.

So this year instead of scheduling the "big name" group, the Carolina Union contracted a solid schedule of performers who might not have the notoriety of Joe Cocker but who will put on an enjoyable concert.

Still, students are displeased, despite the fact that Jubilee is absolutely free to all students and their dates.

The answer to the problem may lie in charging a dollar per ticket in order to raise the money to put on the type of Jubilee the student body seems to want.

It is too late to charge for Jubilee this year, but next spring it should be considered.

A dollar ticket isn't going to stop anyone from going to Jubilee and would provide the extra money for groups that would make almost everyone happy.

Campus Chest drive a worthwhile cause

Alpha Phi Omega fraternity and Gamma Sigma Sigma sorority will kick off the annual Campus Chest drive tonight with an auction in the Great Hall of the Carolina Union.

Other activities will include the Ugly Man on Campus, Beauty and the Beast and Campus Chest Queen contests running April 12-22; the

Campus Chest Carnival April 22; and other events sponsored by individual fraternities and sororities and other organizations.

The money from the drive will go to such organizations as:

- UNC Student Bar Foundation
- Student Health Action Committee
- North Carolina Heart Association
- Foreign Student Emergency Fund
- Chapel Hill Halfway House
- Victory Village Day Care Center

- Murdoch-O'Berry Center
- Carolina Opportunity Fund
- YMCA-YWCA of UNC

Almost every student is affected, either directly or indirectly, by at least one of these organizations, and because of this students should contribute what they can to the drive.

Last year the Campus Chest drive netted around \$15,000. And there is no reason the drive shouldn't be even more successful this year with more activities planned and more students on campus.

However, APO, Gamma Sigma Sigma and the other groups working with the drive can't do it all by themselves.

For the drive to prove successful, the entire student body must participate.

Letters to the editor

Sitterson defends funding stance

To the editor:

I have read with interest your editorial in the Daily Tar Heel, Wednesday, April 7, entitled, "Administration not doing its share in student funding." After referring to responsibility of the administration for the orientation of new students, with which I certainly do not disagree, you go on to say, "There are other organizations, though, that should be getting funds from the administration rather than the Legislature."

"Prime examples include the marching band, the choir, the debate team and the International Student Center exchange program."

And you conclude by saying that "...until the UNC administration decides to do its part... these organizations... will continue to suffer."

There is considerable misinformation and misunderstanding on the campus as to the funds available to the

administration for discretionary use. At the present time Legislative appropriations do not give the Chancellor funds which he is free to use in his discretion to support worthwhile projects on the campus. The State of North Carolina budget system provides a line-item budget and funds in the budget may not be transferred to other projects. Let me illustrate the problem for you. At the present time the only discretionary funds this office has to support worthwhile projects on the campus come from grants made each year by Alumni Annual Giving and/or other unrestricted gifts made by alumni and other friends of the University. Such funds available to the worthwhile campus organizations that you indicate range from a minimum of \$7,000 to generally about \$10,000. Of course in some years if we are fortunate funds may go higher. Needless to say, I have used all these funds for worthwhile University activities, the

major ones being such activities as you referred to in your editorial. I think if you will ask the organizations you identify you will find that the administration has made every effort within its very limited finances to support these organizations. In fact, I have given major support within my financial limits to the Carolina Choir, to the debate team, the Symposium, the Fine Arts Festival, and to many other worthwhile activities including Carolina Talent Search, Project Uplift, campus visits of National Achievement scholars, the band, etc.

I think we should recognize that when student fees were originally assessed many years ago, by request of students and by authorization of the Board of Trustees, it was certainly intended that many of the activities to which you refer would be supported from such resources.

I wish I could be encouraging as to the prospects of legislative appropriations for

such worthwhile campus activities, but, as we know, the trend, not only in North Carolina but throughout the nation, in recent years has been for state appropriations to be confined to exclusively academic support. A striking illustration of this is the removal over the past two decades of any financial support for construction of nonacademic facilities, including residence halls, and such nonacademic functions as food services. May I add that I have personally made appeals on these matters for state financial support over the years, I regret to say, without success.

The fact is that if these worthwhile campus activities are to be adequately supported, the resources will have to come largely from student funds. I will continue to support these and all other worthy campus activities within my limited resources.

Sincerely yours,
J. Carlyle Sitterson



Lana Starnes

College loans for abortion?

A proposed liberalized abortion bill was defeated Tuesday in the North Carolina General Assembly by a vote of 25-21.

The bill, if it had passed, would have made it possible for a woman, 18 years or older, to obtain an abortion upon written request. The decision to terminate an unwanted pregnancy would have been put in the hands of the woman herself and her physician.

But the bill did not pass, and so what are the alternatives?

Well, college coeds can continue to travel to New York for legal abortions or more likely continue to seek quick, inexpensive, yet illegal abortions.

There is a problem with abortion and the college student. This fact can no longer be ignored or denied. Sixty per cent of illegitimate pregnancies in North Carolina were in women under 20 years of age in 1969. And a minimum of 25,000 North Carolina women a year have been turning to illegal and criminal abortionists. This evidence was published last spring in a demography magazine and was a scientifically sound study financed by the National Institute of Health.

No one knows the exact number of abortions whether legal or illegal performed on any given college campus. There are too many variables. Some coeds go to the Clergy Consultation Service and are referred out of state or to a sympathetic physician in state. Other coeds may make arrangements to fly to New York to have a legal abortion. But there are still others who may seek illegal abortion. Unless there are complications which would require hospitalization or cause death, no one knows an illegal abortion was performed.

No matter what the exact number is, whether it's one in a hundred or ten in a hundred coeds, the problem is there. And it is this college coed forced to seek an illegal abortion that I am concerned with.

The North Carolina law still in effect concerning abortion requires first of all that the woman be at least 21 years of age. An abortion can be performed only to preserve the health and/or life of the mother, when there is a chance of fetal deformity or when the pregnancy is a result of rape or incest.

To obtain an abortion, a consent form must be filled out by three physicians, one of whom must be the physician who is to perform the operation. One of the other physicians is usually a psychiatrist.

Provided a woman meets all the legal requirements, what will this cost her in North Carolina? First of all there is the surgeon's fee and the psychiatrist's fee. Then there is the cost of the hospital bed, operation room fee, recovery room fee, blood bank fee and general urinalysis. The total cost—\$300 to \$500.

How many coeds could afford this? Not too many students could. This is what distresses me.

The college coed who does not have \$300 to \$500 to go to N.C. Memorial Hospital (provided she qualifies legally), who cannot get married or does not desire to, and who does not want a child and therefore could not love it—what alternatives does she have?

Unfortunately, there are coeds who turn to illegal abortionists. They can only afford the \$50 catheter or the \$150 coat

hanger in the back room of a service station or motel. Many coeds are dying or being mutilated needlessly because the law has prohibited them from seeking a safe abortion. An estimated one million illegal abortions are performed annually in the United States.

There is not much we, as students, can currently do to change our abortion laws. The legislators killed one great attempt to liberalize our laws Tuesday. But there is something else we could do.

Earlier this year the University of Maine set aside \$.73 out of their \$12 student activity fee to form a loan fund to aid coeds with unwanted pregnancies. The Student Senate set up the fund to help women students obtain legal abortions.

Other universities across the country, including the University of South Carolina at Charleston, have recently established similar loan funds. Many of the student bodies have decided to call the loan fund the Population Control Fund Committee.

There need not be any arguments over the morality of abortion, whether one personally feels it is morally right or wrong. The truth of the matter is that college women are seeking abortions. And if they cannot obtain a legal abortion in this state or if they cannot afford one, then they will seek an illegal abortion.

Why not help the woman who cannot get a safe legal abortion because of present laws or finances? Provide her a means of obtaining a safe legal abortion. Keep her from going to the back room of a service station or motel. Keep her from risking her health and life at the hands of an illegal abortionist with a catheter or coat hanger.

College coeds with unwanted pregnancies need help. The North Carolina General Assembly failed to help them Tuesday. We could help. I propose our student government consider setting up a loan fund in next year's budget similar to those set up at other universities across the nation to help coeds with unwanted pregnancies.

Leaven wanted magazine job

To the editor:

In light of Mr. "Leaven's" reply to the replies of Carolina Quarterly editors to his "review" of the current issue of the Quarterly the following should be presented to the student body:

Mr. "Leaven" applied for the job of editor of this year's Quarterly, and, needless to say, he did not get the job.

We do not intend to imply that Mr. "Leaven's" attacks on the Quarterly are sour grapes, but only to let the public know the full story.

Arnold Nolton
Durham

Auto shops run local conspiracy

To the editor:

It has come to my attention that students are being taken in the Chapel Hill area on automobile repairs by unscrupulous dealers, garages and service stations. Butchers ruin cars and the student pays mercilessly. A few examples are appropriate. One unwary student recently took his car to the dealer for what he was told would be warranty transmission work only to be slapped with an \$80 repair bill. Hückster's explanation: the transmission was covered under warranty but the bolts which attach it weren't and since they caused the difficulty, it wasn't covered. Unbelievable? The student who took his car in to have the brakes adjusted was told that not only did he need brake adjustment but they also replaced the master cylinder, brake shoes, drums, and wheel grease seals, at the nominal cost of \$102. When the student asked to see the worn parts he was told that they had been thrown away. Could it happen to you? Finally, the student who actually needed a water pump took his car to the garage to be fixed. The car was really "fixed" as he got a new generator, voltage regulator, tires rotated and balanced and a valve job! Fantastic!

Have your car looked at by at least two independent mechanics. I haven't determined yet if a coalition conspiracy, monopoly exists in the auto realm to compare with the other corners on the market we face in this area. Get estimates and break-down by parts and labor in advance. Don't sign any forms which have blank space on them. Ask to be called if any additional work is to be done. If at all possible, ask what time your car will be worked on and be there to watch. Unless your time is worth more than \$20 an hour you may be able to familiarize yourself with your car and at the same time see that new parts are really put in. Remember that the huckster will threaten you but he cannot legally keep your car for a repair bill (although he will say he will and charge you storage fee in addition). Ask your friends or your old man to write the DTH about unfair auto repairs. It will pay you to learn now and save you a great deal of money in the long run to know something about your car. Don't just ignore automobiles and say you don't know anything about them unless you want to be one of the suckers above. Indeed, reading an auto manual will do you a hell-uv-a-lot more good in this world than seventeenth century poetry.

Concerned citizen in
defense of consumers,
Arlan P. Garvey,
600 N. Greensboro Street

Letters

The Daily Tar Heel accepts letters to the editor, provided they are typed on a 60-space line and limited to a maximum of 300 words. All letters must be signed and the address and phone number of the writer must be included.

The paper reserves the right to edit all letters for libelous statements and good taste. Address letters to Associate Editor, The Daily Tar Heel, in care of the Student Union.

The Daily Tar Heel

79 Years of Editorial Freedom

Harry Bryan, Editor

- Mike Parnell Managing Ed.
- Lou Bonds News Editor
- Rod Waldorf Associate Ed.
- Glenn Brank Associate Ed.
- Mark Whicker Sports Editor
- Ken Ripley Feature Editor
- John Gellman Photo Editor
- Terry Cheek Night Editor

- Bob Wilson Business Mgr.
- Janet Bernstein Adv. Mgr.

Glenn Brank

And here comes the sun

When I woke up yesterday, the radio informed me that I could expect temperatures in the 30's, with rain and possibly a few snow flurries mixed in.

This isn't exactly odd weather for Chapel Hill—except that Spring is more than two whole weeks old.

Spring—you know. That time of the year when Mother Nature decides to stop dumping on us and the flowers and trees start blooming and the birds start singing and you go barefoot.

Well, any dum-dum crazy enough to

go barefoot this spring has promptly gotten his big toes frozen off, because so far, we have not had any spring. And it's all the Daily Tar Heel's fault.

The Daily Tar Heel has received a lot of grief for its editorials in the past, but I fear this time we have outdone ourselves. Every once in a while we run out of crusades, causes, arguments and flaming editorials and have to resort to what is known as a "seasonal editorial"—meaning something to the tune of "hot-damn-winter-is-finally-over."

There have been 37 occasions in the

past couple of months when we have so written. And on the following day, Mother Nature has replied with rain, or sleet or snow right before break.

But today was the last straw. Not because it was cold and wet and miserable for people like me who don't have umbrellas. Not because it should be warm and pleasant instead...

...but because I was getting ready to end this editorial by declaring that Spring was called off on account of rain—and the sun just came out.