

# Out-of-state tuition hike approved

by Norman Black  
Staff Writer

Recent tuition hikes will boost the cost of out-of-state attendance at North Carolina public colleges and universities by \$850 over the next two years.

Only July 12, the N.C. General Assembly enacted Senate bill 793. The bill will affect some 13,000 out-of-state students, costing them better than \$16 million in additional charges in the next two years.

The money will go into the state's general fund for additional budget

demands during the 1971-73 biennium. The new law will add \$350 to tuition charges this year and \$500 more the second year.

By 1973, out-of-state students will be paying approximately double the tuition they are now charged. For example, tuition for undergraduates at Chapel Hill goes from \$950 to \$1300 this year, and to \$1800 in 1972-73.

The increase will affect grad out-of-state tuition even more. Next year it will be raised to \$2000 per year, and the following year it will climb to \$2500.

The House has passed a bill that would

double the rates this year, but accepted the Senate version after it cleared that body without debate.

The bill was introduced in the House by Rep. William T. Watkins (D-Granville), and in the Senate by Senators John J. Burney (D-New Hanover) and Philip J. Baugh (D-Mecklenburg).

On June 18, the House passed the bill on its third reading. The bill was reported out favorably by the Senate Committee on Finance on July 19. The tuition increase became effective immediately upon ratification of the bill.

The only serious objection to the bill

involved its effective date. Rep. Glenn Jernigan spoke against this portion of the bill in the House Finance Committee.

Jernigan felt that to not exempt non-resident students already enrolled "would be an undue hardship on 10,000 families who have decided to send their sons and daughters to North Carolina."

Higher education leaders opposed the bill because they felt the increase would deter out-of-state students from applying to UNC.

"I would assume a cost acceleration of this dimension would cause many applicants to reconsider," Consolidated

University President William C. Friday said.

Vice-President of Finance Felix Joyner felt "the number of out-of-state students would definitely decrease. A lot of people wouldn't be able to afford this raise."

The backers of the bill, however, felt the higher tuition would not reduce the number of non-resident students.

"They accept less than one-fifth of those who apply," Rep. Watkins said. "I assume the same number would have money enough to pay the (increased) tuition."

William Geer, director of Student Aid at Chapel Hill, reported it would take an additional \$500,000 to assist those students who are already on aid. This does not include those students who will need aid as a result of the increased tuition.

As Geer admitted, "We would not be able to take care of all of them."

Geer also felt this was too much of a disproportionate increase. "We just raised out-of-state tuition by \$250 last summer."

There were a number of amendments attached to the final Senate version of the bill.

The Senate wrote in one amendment that would allow the Advisory Budget Commission to adjust tuition for part-time student instructors. Students who were recruited by the institutions or who were exchanged under various federally-supported programs would also pay the in-state tuition rate.

Several lawmakers immediately charged that provision concerning recruited students would benefit football players.

So on July 13, the Senate and House

passed another amendment which removed out-of-state athletes from the list of those who could pay in-state tuition.

Thus the bill also affects the future of athletics on the UNC campus.

Walter Rabb, assistant athletic director, feels the athletic program at Carolina has been faced with a tremendous task.

"We'll simply have to find the funds. We're obligated to the students here on grant-in-aids to pay for their tuition. This would mean we would have to cut down on the size of our squads, road trips, etc. We have not set aside money for this increase."

Sen. O'Neil Jones (D-Anson) expressed some reservations about the way the bill originated.

"It is unfortunate the way this bill started out," Jones said. "The legislators were thinking strictly in terms of money. This looked like a good place to get it."

"Maybe it's a bad bill," he continued. "There is a certain degree of provincialism involved. Legislators are not as thoughtful about out-of-state students."

At least two injunctions have been filed against the bill since it was passed.

A group of students from N.C. State filed an injunction because they felt the bill was passed without warning, and thus created great hardship.

The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) in Greensboro prepared an additional suit against the bill which charges that the new law discriminates against out-of-state students, and that the residency requirements for a student are unfair.

## Committee votes 9-4

# Senate upholds dorm visitation

by Norman Black  
Staff Writer

A bill that would have abolished visitation in the dormitory rooms of state-supported universities was killed in the Senate Committee on Higher Education June 22.

The bill was defeated by a 9-4 vote and given an unfavorable recommendation.

Since the bill's sponsor, Sen. Jyles Coggins (D-Wake) did not submit a minority report, the bill never reached the Senate floor.

Coggins was prompted to submit the legislation because of the "publicity and commotion that has come out in recent weeks from some of these schools."

"These students and some outsiders are using college dormitories for living together and sleeping together," he said.

Coggins said though some college administrators may not object to it, he believes the general public does.

Many of the chancellors of the Consolidated University and senators on the Committee on Higher Education were opposed to the bill, because they felt it was not a matter for the Senate to consider.

"The policy that was worked out with the students, administration, faculty and trustees should stand," commented Chancellor John Caldwell of N.C. State. "There was a tremendous amount of involvement in preparing the present policy. This is something that should be left to the Board of Trustees."

During the first committee hearing on the bill, University officials from across the state were unanimous in their opposition to the bill.

"This question is one of administrative and trustee responsibility," said Bill Dees of Goldsboro, a UNC trustee.

Dees felt all students should not be penalized because a few violate the right to visit in dormitory rooms of the opposite sex.

During the hearings, Coggins told the committee his intent was not to legislate morals but to see the policies of state institutions conform with the moral standards of society.

Dees disagreed with Coggins' stated intent. "Any time you forbid a boy and a

girl from visiting a bedroom, that bill has a moral purpose," he said.

There were some senators who supported the bill, as did Sen. Joseph J. Harrington (D-Brtie).

"I think the present policy is a little too liberal," Harrington said. "I have confidence in young people, but you can have too much freedom. I try to be a broad-minded person. But take the Allsbrook bill (DTH funding bill), that boy at ECU went a little too far. Now it might only happen once every six months, or once every two years, but one bad apple can spoil the barrel. Bills such as this, even if not passed, serve to let the people know sometimes that they can only go so far."

Coggins also spoke against booklets on

contraception distributed by colleges, such as UNC's Elephants and Butterflies.

"When an 18-year-old child who's never been away from home goes to school and they give him this book that tells them how to keep from getting pregnant and then invite them to go to their rooms and turn on the music and study it — what do you think they're going to do?" Study Einstein's Theory?"

Once the visitation bill was defeated, however, the Senate they passed a resolution which would have expressed assembly disapproval of student morals at the state's universities.

The resolution was not ratified, because the House tabled the bill during the closing days of the Assembly.



Consolidated University President William C. Friday meets some of UNC's incoming students at Freshman Camp. Almost 200 students attended the camp, held for three days last week. (Staff photo by Johnny Lindahl)

# Trustees okay present student fee system

by Norman Black  
Staff Writer

The Executive Committee of the UNC Board of Trustees has passed a motion which would give temporary approval to the present system of allowing the University Trust Fund to handle student fees.

The motion was passed, however, with the provision that the new system could be reviewed as to its fairness and effectiveness, and that further action could be taken if necessary.

The controversy surrounding the handling of student fees arose last January, when Consolidated University President

William C. Friday instructed the chancellors of the Consolidated University to transfer all student fees to the University Trust Fund office.

At that time, Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson removed the funds from the Student Activities Office.

There were two reasons for transferring the funds, according to Claiborne Jones, assistant to the Chancellor.

"First, the state auditor could not legally audit the student activities funds, and secondly, since we could not have the funds audited, we could not assure anyone that we had done what we said we had done with the funds," Jones said.

Student Body President Joe Stallings

was not satisfied with the subcommittee report.

"My disagreement is that they addressed themselves to the procedural aspects of the system rather than to the basic philosophy dictating who should control student fees," Stallings said.

"Their position was that fees had to be in the trust fund for reasons of accountability. I still believe the University can account for the fees if necessary when the money is in the Student Activities Office," he added. "The office has a system of pre-auditing, and those books are open to anyone in the University."

Stallings concluded his argument by

saying it was illogical to indict the Student Activities Office because problems may arise in the future, when there have never been any in the past.

The motion was made and passed during the Executive Committee meeting on July 9.

The committee had appointed a subcommittee to investigate the new system of handling student fees. The subcommittee presented its findings at the meeting, and temporary approval was given to the new system.

During the meeting, the Executive Committee also approved an administration recommendation that all faculty members receive a raise in salary.

## Only 6,700 spaces

# Parking to be a hassle

UNC students who operate cars will face the perpetual parking problem as they return this year. Alonzo Squires, director of the Traffic Office, said some 6,700 parking spaces must accommodate the University's faculty, staff, and students.

Last year more than 14,000 students, faculty and staff members registered cars. Squires said he could not even wager a guess as to the total number of cars on campus for the coming year.

"I'd like to encourage everyone to read the traffic regulations," said Squires. "I'd be glad if I could go the whole year without sending a car home or giving a single ticket." Last year Squires sent 135 cars home and restricted about 150 more.

Squires also emphasized a request that all students review the citation appeal procedure; Students may appeal any citation they feel was given in error or without just cause within 48 hours after the time of issuance, otherwise the right to appeal is forfeited.

Blue tickets must be appealed to the director of the Traffic Office. No fine is

given for these citations, but the violation is recorded against the car. Red tickets, which carry a fine, should be appealed to the chief security officer of the campus.

One major change in this year's regulations concern student parking lots. Students may now park in any student lot, regardless of their classification, from 3 until 7. For instance a South Campus male, classified "G" may now park in any "C", "H", or "J" lot after 3 p.m. After 7, students may park in any lot, faculty, staff, or otherwise.

Students are requested to register bicycles with the Traffic Office. This will enable police to locate the owner in case of loss or theft of the bicycle. Squires stressed this point as voluntary, not required.

No new parking lots are in the works, according to Squires. He did note, however, that the Union parking lot is being improved. As for the parking situation in general, Squires said some \$22,000 has been spent in the past several years on engineering studies on the

situation. At present, nothing has been done with these studies.

Squires' own proposal for solving campus parking problems is the building of a major lot south of the campus near Mason Farm road, with mini-buses running from there to all points on campus.

The lot could be fenced and well-lighted, and buses to campus would run five minutes apart, driven by students. Squires estimates that by raising tuition \$20-\$30 per semester, bus fares could be kept down to five cents.

This plan has been suggested to the Traffic Committee by Squires several times as a cheaper alternative to high-rise parking lots on campus. The Traffic Committee has rejected the plan repeatedly.

Squires has been director of the Traffic Office since the inception of the post in September, 1967, and asserts "there is no question but that I could be replaced, but there would be nobody to replace me who would care for the students more."

# Assembly refuses DTH funding bill

A bill that would have prohibited state universities from requiring students to pay for subscriptions to student publications was defeated this summer by the N.C. Senate.

The bill, sponsored by Sen. Julian Allsbrook (D-Halifax), was defeated by a 21-15 vote June 25.

Allsbrook had introduced the bill in the Senate April 29, because he felt students should have a choice concerning whether they buy a paper "since they don't have control over what is published in it."

The bill was considered by the Senate Committee on Higher Education during the first week of June and was reported out unfavorably by that committee.

The legislation reached the Senate floor, however, by way of a minority report written in support of the bill.

UNC Student Body President Joe Stallings, Daily Tar Heel Editor Harry Bryan and Consolidated University President William C. Friday attended the committee hearings and voiced strong opposition to Allsbrook's bill.

Stallings and Friday opposed the bill because, as Stallings said, "any changes in student fees should be a matter for individual boards of trustees to handle."

At the committee hearing, Friday urged the senators "to leave this kind of issue in the hands of the boards of trustees rather than subject it to statutory action."

Bryan worked against the bill because "if it had passed, it would have meant the downfall of The Daily Tar Heel."

"The Daily Tar Heel has produced some of the best journalism in the country in its 79-year history, and I would hate to see that stopped," Bryan said.

He viewed the bill as an attack on freedom of the press and was quite pleased with the final vote.

"Allsbrook's bill was totally uncalled for. It is encouraging to see a majority of senators against him."

Bryan had his own suspicions concerning the motives which prompted Allsbrook to introduce the legislation.

"I believe Sen. Allsbrook would not have introduced this bill if he was in agreement with the DTH political philosophy," Bryan said. "Because he is conservative, and the DTH and most other college newspapers are not, he tried to silence the papers that disagreed with him."

Sen. O'Neil Jones (D-Anson), was also quite vocal in his opposition to the bill.

He called the bill "a subterfuge to get The Daily Tar Heel and other college newspapers. These papers depend on the money from students to survive. They serve a good, useful purpose."

"I know a witch hunt when I see one, and this is a witch hunt," Jones added.

The specific story which motivated Allsbrook to draft the bill appeared in The Daily Tar Heel on April 23. It discussed the activities and problems of homosexuals in Chapel Hill and was accompanied by a picture of two homosexuals embracing.

"I have been considering the bill since about two years ago, when some students came to me and complained about articles in The Daily Tar Heel," Allsbrook said. "Finally when the picture and story appeared, something had to be done about it."

"I thought it was as rank pornography as the public should be subjected to," continued Allsbrook. "It is not the type of material that should appear in a paper, and I don't think the students should be subjected to it."

"Students who do not want this smut in their mailbox should not be required to pay fees for these newspapers," Allsbrook added.

If the bill had passed, it would have required universities "to afford at least once a year, to every student, an opportunity to refuse to pay for or receive some or all of the student publications" financed through student activities fees.



There are almost twice as many cars at UNC as there are parking spaces. And parking isn't the only problem. Getting out of the parking lots, like this scene at the Union lot, can also be a hassle. (Staff photo by Leslie Todd)