

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom
Monday, January 24, 1972

Vol. 80, No. 92

Founded February 23, 1892

Jinxed

Duke's homecourt advantage prevails for 5th year in row


by Al Thomas
Sports Writer

DURHAM—The jinx continues. Carolina's basketball team has gone a long time without winning here at newly-named Cameron Indoor Stadium, with the last victory recorded during the 1966-67 season. Before a regional television audience and 8,800 wildly screaming fans, the jinx was extended Saturday afternoon. Little-known Robby West hit a now-famous jump shot from just beyond the foul line to push Duke into a 76-74 lead with three seconds remaining. Carolina's last chance at a tie failed, with Dennis Wuycik's jumper from the corner hitting the rim and bouncing away. The 76-74 score held and so did the jinx. Blue Devil fans, who only a month earlier were waving "Fire Bucky" signs, streamed onto the floor in excitement. The team and coach who had been the butt of jokes were winners over the third-ranked team in the country. Duke's margin of victory came at the foul line. Taking advantage of 25 Carolina fouls, Duke connected on 32 of 41 free throws

while the Tar Heels could manage only 12 of 18. Carolina whipped the Blue Devils from the field by hitting on 31 of 62 field goals compared with 22 of 42 for Duke, but the damage was done at the line. Chris Redding and Richie O'Connor led the Blue Devils with 24 points each, followed by Gary Melchionni with 12. West, Duke's hero of the afternoon, hit a career high of 10 points. The senior guard's previous high was eight this year against Virginia. Wuycik led Carolina's effort with 23 points and six rebounds, followed by George Karl with 14 points and Bill Chamberlain with 11. Carolina's top scorer so far during the season, Robert McAdoo, managed a meager three points. He was one of 12 from the field. The Atlantic Coast Conference battle was as close throughout as the final score indicates. The Tar Heels stayed behind most of the game, but Duke was never able to pull away. Except for one span midway in the first half, neither team could accumulate more than a four-point advantage. Carolina managed the first spurt of the contest, moving ahead 10-7 after four and

a half minutes. It proved to be the largest Carolina lead of the game. The Blue Devils, capitalizing on Carolina turnovers and fouls, moved from a 17-17 tie with 11 minutes left into a 24-17 advantage a minute and one half later. Not until Duke was enjoying a 38-27 lead with two and one half minutes remaining did the Tar Heels exhibit their devastating form. Duke, which played near-perfect ball the first 17 minutes, finally began making mistakes and allowed Carolina to move within three at 41-38 by halftime. During the first eight minutes of the final half, Wuycik scored 13 of Carolina's 16 points and rallied the Tar Heels into a 54-53 lead with 12 remaining. O'Connor and West then went to work, keeping Carolina at bay until the final two minutes. With 1:58 showing on the clock, Chamberlain hit an eight-foot jumper to bring the Tar Heels back within one at 73-72. Carolina had its chance to go ahead with 31 seconds left when Bobby Jones stole the ball from West at the midcourt line, allowing Wuycik to hit a lay-up. Jones was charged with fouling West

on the steal, however, and Wuycik's bucket was disallowed. West hit the first of his one and one opportunity to put Duke ahead by two at 74-72 but missed his second. A twisting, driving jumper by Chamberlain gave Carolina a tie with 18 seconds left. Then came West's basket and Carolina's last-ditch effort at bringing the score even again. Duke's record went to 8-6 overall and 2-2 in the ACC while Carolina dropped to 12-2 overall and 3-1 within the league.



UNC's Bill Chamberlain and Duke's Chris Redding tangle for a rebound. The Heels lost to the Blue Devils in Durham for the fifth straight year. (Staff photo by Cliff Kolovson)

	FG	FT	R	TP
UNC	9	5	6	23
Wuycik	5	1	6	11
Chamberlain	1	1	4	3
McAdoo	2	0	3	4
Previs	1	1	1	3
Hubard	6	2	1	14
Karl	2	1	5	5
Jones	4	0	1	8
Johnston	0	0	0	0
O'Donnell	0	0	0	0
Hite	0	0	0	0
Corson	1	1	0	3
Chambers	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	31	12	27	74
DUKE	8	8	7	24
O'Connor	5	14	3	24
Redding	2	2	12	6
Shaw	3	6	2	12
Melchionni	4	2	3	10
Burdette	0	0	0	0
Yarborough	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	22	32	27	76

Student opinions solicited

Academic reform study continues

by Evans Witt
Staff Writer

The Committee on Undergraduate Degree Requirements has asked for student opinions and proposals in an effort to review and institute changes in the educational opportunities on this campus. Faculty opinions were requested in a letter to all faculty members which also included a list of the basic questions under consideration by the committee. Student input to the committee can be made through any of three channels, Schutz said. Any individual student interested in submitting "ideas, reforms or proposals" should submit them in written form to a

box at the Student Union information desk, Schutz said. In addition, the committee is seeking to meet with any small student groups interested in reform. "If there are any already formed student groups of perhaps 25 or less, we will have a subcommittee meet with them," he said. In addition, the committee will hold an open meeting sometime in the near future for any students or faculty who have not expressed their opinions in another manner. Any requests for private meetings with small groups or proposals may also be sent to Schutz or may be left at the religion department office for the committee chairman. The responses from the faculty have been "varied," Schutz said without specifying the nature of the responses.

Questions the committee are considering encompass a wide range of the nature and practice of the academic process. The first set of questions the committee is considering is in four parts. The first deals with the length of the college experience: whether the college education should be reduced from four to three years for most, if not all, degrees. The possibility of reducing the course load—from five to four courses a semester—is the second central question before the committee. The nature of the educational experience is the third major question. This includes the competing demands of a liberal arts education versus independent study and tutoring. Also included in this area are the questions of non-specified course requirements in degree programs (the

"free track" system), courses on other campuses and course credit for off-campus experiences normally not considered "academic." The system of course credit—certification—is the fourth major question the committee is facing. The committee is considering another set of questions based on the reasons for an undergraduate education. Its central question is "What are the most obvious uses of undergraduate education?" Subordinate questions to this issue include the influences of national developments in education, the fiscal realities confronting the University, the particular responsibilities as a public institution and the student needs and interests on this particular campus. The Committee on Undergraduate Degree Requirements is made up of nine faculty members and six students.



There are various ways of carrying children and a couple of the different styles were discovered last week on the UNC campus. Whether by papoose (left) or upon shoulders, the result is the same. (Staff photo by Cliff Kolovson)

Aldermen meet tonight to discuss power lines

by Norman Black
Staff Writer

The Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen will consider tonight a request for a new power transmission line and modification of town parking requirements for fraternities where historic buildings are involved. The aldermen will hold the public hearing at 7:30 p.m. in the Town Hall courtroom. The University and Duke Power Company have submitted a rezoning request to allow a new electrical transmission line from Duke Power's existing lines to the University's proposed

substation in the northeast portion of the planning district. The University informed the aldermen several months ago that the town is facing a critical power shortage and that a new transmission would be needed in order to meet the anticipated summer power load. However, there has been much opposition to the proposed location of the new substation and power line at the northeast corner of the 15-501 Bypass and Mt. Moriah Church Road. A protest petition signed by 79 property owners in the vicinity of the proposed substation was presented at a public hearing held by the Board of Adjustment last week. The board will

also consider an amendment to the zoning ordinance which would hold the aldermen responsible for issuing special use permits for power substations. As it stands now, the Board of Adjustment must take action on substations and the aldermen on transmission lines leading to the substation. The Board of Aldermen will also consider Monday a planning board recommendation to change the zoning ordinance governing parking at fraternity and sorority houses, which would allow Delta Upsilon fraternity to build a new house on its property.



Whew! It's hot. Well, maybe 65 degrees isn't all that hot, but Johnny Sanders, helping a crew clean up the stream in the Arb last week, thought the temperatures were a little unusual for January. (Staff photo by Johnny Lindahl)