

79 Years of Editorial Freedom Friday, February 25, 1972

SG to handle funds for Graham award

by Mary Ellis Gibson Staff Writer

Vol. 80, No. 119

A \$1,250 check for the Frank Porter Graham Conscience Loan and Scholarship Fund will be placed in the Student Government general budget, Student Body President Joe Stallings said Thursday.

A foundation which wishes to remain anonymous has presented the check and has "promised enough additional money to pay for one student's complete education," Stallings said.

The fund has been established for students who lose their scholarships while acting on matters of conscience, according to Stallings.

A committee consisting of the president, the treasurer of the student body and the chairman of the Student Legislature Finance Committee will decide whether a student will receive a loan or scholarship and the amount he should receive. Stallings said.

determined by how much money is available, he added.

The Finance Committee and Student Legislature have unanimously approved a bill to establish the fund through Student Government.

The bill provides that a student will be eligible for a Frank Porter Graham award if "after receiving another University scholarship he has that scholarship suspended or revoked for activities in which the person engages in good conscience and in exercise of his Constitutional rights."

"We intend this to be a permanent scholarship fund to raise money for students in this category," Stallings said. Although no additional funds have definitely been committed, other individuals and foundations are considering contributing to the fund, he reported.

None of the money for the fund

The number of scholarhsips available comes from student fees, Stallings noted. and the amount of each award will be All funds are from sources outside the University.

> If a student who has received a Frank Porter Graham Scholarship has his funds from a University scholarship reinstated, his money from the Frank Porter Graham fund will be terminated, Stallings said.

"In other words, no student can receive two scholarships in any given semester," he explained.

Stallings said he decided to implement the scholarship through Student Government after the University Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Student Aid postponed action on the scholarship.

"Because of the time involved in waiting for another meeting of the committee and the uncertainty about whether they would agree to set up the fund when they did meet, I thought it better to set up the fund through Student Government," Stallings said.

The main question the committee had

Chapel Hill has recently been blessed with spring-like in McCorkle Place a welcome relief after a winter of studying weather in February, which is a real blessing for UNC students facing midterms. These three students found the trees and sun

Criticizes University

(Staff Photo by Leslie Todd) indoors.

Founded February 23, 1893



One of the most beautiful areas on the UNC campus is Battle Park, and one of the more beautiful things about the park is this stream. (Staff Photo by Scott Stewart)

about the fund was whether it provides an award for an act of conscience or a replacement for a University scholarship, he explained.

"In my conversation a week before the meeting with Mr. William Geer, director of Student Aid, I thought I had made this clear," Stallings said.

The committee which administers the Frank Porter Graham award will meet before Stallings leaves office to consider possible recipients.



TODAY: variable cloudiness with good chance of rain; highs in the upper 50s, lows in the upper 30s; 40 percent chance of precipitation.

RCF backs counselors

the resolution was passed not only

because of the decision to discontinue the

funding, but also because of the manner

Saunders called the decision "the

epitome of the University acting without

student input in an area that most

directly affects students." He also noted

the fact that room rent will be raised next

year, meaning students will be paying

more "while missing one of the most

The resolution states, "It is our feeling

that the counseling team has provided

invaluable services both to individual

in which the decision was made.

valuable services" of dorm life.

by Mike Fogler Staff Writer

The Residence College Federation (RCF) executive board passed a resolution Wednesday night urging the reconsideration of the decision to discontinue funding for the Residential Counseling Service.

The Office of Residence Life announced earlier this week no funds will be available next year to fund the counseling program, which operates in Morrison and Morehead residence colleges.

Steve Saunders, RCF chairman, said

Morrison officials petition UNC to continue program

by Lynn Lloyd Staff Writer

Morrison Dormitory residents are currently circulating petitions in favor of continuing the Residential Counseling Service, which the Office of Residence Life said earlier this week it could not fund next year.

The petitions will be turned into Robert Kepner, director of Residence Life, James O. Cansler, associate dean of the Office of Student Affairs and Chancellor N. Ferebee Taylor.

Terry Garner, assistant resident director of Morrison, said Thursday the petition drive has already gained a large number of signatures and dorm residents show "strong support for the service."

discontinuing the funds without adequate consultation with students. "No one in Morrison was consulted before the decision was made," he said. "We don't even know who made it.'

He said several groups in Morrison are now working to make their feelings on the service known. He said the Morrison Dormitory executive board, the Senate, the resident advisors, the Morrison Advisory Board and the counseling team met Wednesday with Viola Stevens, resident director, to discuss the problem.

"We think the counseling service is worth getting back," Garner said, "so we broke up into groups and adopted a resolution which expresses our feelings

Garner criticized Residence Life for about the contributions the team has made."

> Joe Grier, governor of Morrison, said the counseling service funds should not have been discontinued. "New programs should have been tried before the cutting of funds," he said.

> "The program is a success," Grier added. "If the Office of Student Affairs didn't feel it was a success, they should have first evaluated it or gotten student opinion."

> Both Kepner and Cansler told the DTH Tuesday the program is considered basically a success.

> But, Cansler said, the \$15,000 price tag associated with the program is "prohibitive in cost.

students and to Morrison, James and Morehead residence colleges." The reference to James concerns the counseling program there, which is handled by an individual rather than by a team.

The counseling program in Morrison and Morehead currently consists of teams of graduate students who handle student problems. In James, however, counseling is done by an individual, whose salary is paid by both Residence Life and the Student Health Service.

James O. Cansler, associate dean of the Office of Student Affairs, said Tuesday night the counselor in James could not be funded by Residence Life, but he hoped funds for the counselor could be provided by the Student Health Service.

The RCF executive board criticized Residence Life for disclosing the discontinuance of funds "in a sudden manner."

Saunders, Charlie Miller, governor of James Residence College, and Joe Grier, governor of Morrison, will present the resolution to Cansler. Saunders said if there is no action taken by Cansler, "we will take the resolution to whatever level we have to."

Should the decision not be reversed, RCF plans to expand their budget to provide \$1,000 for the counseling team and hopes to obtain other money from outside sources. The program cost \$15,000 this year.

In other RCF business, Miller was named the recipient of the seventh Roger Davis Memorial Award for rendering outstanding service to residence college life.

Miller has served two years as governor of James, was formerly lieutenant governor of James and has been a member of the Order of the Grail and Society of Janus.

Committee discusses program

New coed learning center proposed

by Susan Miller

which will come at the next meeting of the Committee on University Residence The proposal the committee entire program and would report directly responsibility is to channel student and Affairs and finally to the chancellor.

Life (CURL), the Office of Student formulated describes the center as a to Appelbaum. To handle the job, the faculty interests into courses to be taught

smaller academic base within the University that would allow more interaction between faculty and students than is usual in the University. The plan emphasizes student involvement in picking and planning courses.

academic director would be relieved of one-third of his normal teaching load.

Staff Writer

A new form of the old living-learning concept of innovative education is in the making at UNC - coed living on dormitory floors.

The New Learning Center, originally proposed for fall 1972, would have courses taught within a North Campus dorm. It would involve undergraduate students, faculty fellows, academic and residential directors and graduate student teaching fellows.

A subcommittee of the administrative board of the College of Arts and Sciences is currently discussing the proposal. The subcommittee - composed of Richard Phillips, professor of education, Grant Dahlstrom, professor of psychology and William Smith, professor of mathematics - was appointed at the last meeting of the board February 7.

The fate of the learning center rests on the subcommittee's recommendation, the administrative board.

Because of the delay in consideration of the plan, the learning center, if approved, may have to be implemented one year later than planned, according to Dr. Charles D. Wright of the Department of English, a member of the center's planning committee.

This would mean the learning center would become reality in the fall of 1973. The plan has been with the administrative board since the beginning of the semester.

Before Christmas, Wright said he expected no real problems in getting the proposal approved. Now he is more doubtful, he said recently.

Dr. Mark Appelbaum, dean of experimental and special studies and a proponent of the proposal, also expresses disappointment in the progress of the plan.

If the proposal is approved by the administrative board, it would then go to

Residence Life would also have to approve a dormitory for special use by the center. Carr, the International Student Center, is the only dorm with such approval now.

Robert Kepner, director of Residence Life, said creating a hall-type coeducational dorm is a major hurdle for the proposal to overcome.

Wright pointed out that upon final approval by the chancellor, the learning center would still have to find faculty support and attract students.

Planning for the center began in November upon the return of the 12 UNC participants in the National Conference on Experimental Undergraduate Programs # 1971. A committee was formed immediately to plan a living-learning center like those discussed during the conference at the University of Nebraska.

According to the plan, the center would consist of 250 undergraduates (about 40 percent freshmen), an academic director, a residential director, eight faculty fellows, eight graduate student teaching fellows and eight undergraduate members on work-study programs to handle the administrative chores.

Wright said the numbers proposed for the center's members are just to indicate the ratio of students, teachers and administrators. The numbers are flexible, he said, and as many as 400 students could be involved in the center.

The academic director would have administrative responsibility for the

to help develop a learning atmosphere in the dorm, the proposal says. He would report to Kepner.

disciplines, would teach courses in the center to students largely, but not exclusively, from the center. Appointments to the center would be for one- and two-year terms.

The graduate fellows would come from the same departments as the faculty fellows at the center. Each graduate fellow would teach one course and share with a faculty fellow the teaching of another course. Appointments would be for non-repeatable one-year terms.

The academic program would be set up by the Academic Development Committee, drawn from the directors, faculty fellows, graduate fellows and students. The committee's primary

in the center, according to the proposal.

Students would take an average of The residential director's job would be three hours credit per semester in the dorm. The proposal would permit students to meet with the professors to help plan the focus, content, reading The faculty fellows, from a variety of materials and projects prior to registering for the courses.

> Specific courses listed by the proposal as ideal for the learning center, in addition to special topics, are English 1 and 2 and Independent Studies.

> The center would, by its nature, encourage frequent contact between professors and students, according to the plan.

The UNC New Learning Center is patterned after experimental programs in living-learning at the University of Michigan, Johnston College, Goddard College, the University of Nebraska, the University of California and others.

Living-learning units closer to UNC are at Duke University and UNC-G.