

The Daily Tar Heel

Vol. 80, No. 132

Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Wednesday, March 22, 1972

Founded February 23, 1893

Proposed budget debated by SL

by Cathey Brackett
Staff Writer

Student legislators ended the first in a series of week-long budget deliberations Monday night with a total cutback of \$1,316 in proposed allocated funds.

The proposed Student Government General Budget for the 1972-1973 fiscal year was presented to the Student Legislature (SL) for consideration by Marilyn Brock, chairman of the Finance Committee, which drafted the proposed bill. The 1972-1973 fiscal year begins at 12:01 a.m., May 16, and ends midnight, May 15, 1973.

According to Brock, Finance Committee received budget requests totaling \$300,000.

Since the projected SG income is \$275,000, one-third of which must go to Union activities, Brock explained that many organizational fundings and

individual salaries had to be drastically cut.

Brock said, however, allocations for five organizations remained the same as last year's and two organizations, the UNC Band and Glee Club, will receive more funds, if the budget is not otherwise amended. She said the financial requests of only six or seven organizations were cut out entirely.

The preamble to the proposed budget bill was passed without controversy, but there was considerable bickering about provisions under Article X (Executive Branch), Section 1 (General Administration). The proposed allocation of \$2,000 for SG space in the Yackety Yack was reduced to \$500, and an allocation of \$184 for the maintenance of a notary next fall prior to the November elections was added to the original bill.

The prime bone of contention was the possibility of establishing a Special

Projects Fund of \$1,316 for use by the student body president subject to SL approval. An amendment to that effect was defeated by a narrow margin.

Dave Gephart, who proposed the Special Projects amendment, said its defeat "forbids the student body president, from ever fulfilling his campaign promises."

Lee Hood Capps, another student legislator, said the defeat "clearly demonstrated SL's greatest weakness as its lack of respect for other student organizations and the executive branch of SG."

According to Fred Davenport, student body vice president, the Special Projects issue may again be brought up for consideration by a majority vote of SL at the end of the budget deliberations.

The other cutback approved Monday night was a \$200 reduction in funds allocated by the proposed budget to the Legislative Service Commission.

The communications media, which receive drastic cutbacks in the proposed bill, were scheduled for review Tuesday night. According to Davenport, the Tuesday night session was to be "probably the most important of all the sessions."

Under other business, Gerry Cohen introduced a bill requesting the Orange County Board of Elections to provide voter registration on campus, which had to gain unanimous consent for consideration by SL. The bill failed to receive consideration, with Doug Martin, a graduate student from Craig, the sole objector.

Cohen said the same bill would be introduced Tuesday night, this time requiring only a two-thirds vote to receive SL consideration.

Today's Symposium

10 a.m.—4 p.m. — Handicrafts Guild — Union South Gallery

2 p.m. — John Jacob Niles — Great Hall

8 p.m. — Doc Watson — Memorial Hall

4 and 6:30 p.m. — "To Kill A Mockingbird" (film) — Great Hall

AWS president wants more money

by Mary Ellis Gibson
Staff Writer

Susan Case, new president of the Association of Women Students (AWS), urged AWS members to lobby for an increase in appropriations for the organization at Student Legislature (SL) budget hearings this week.

"Student Legislature has graciously tentatively appropriated \$1,000 for AWS this year," Case commented at the first AWS meeting since student body elections. The \$1,000 appropriation in the proposed budget cuts AWS funds by more than half, she said.

"It is unjustified that our funds are cut in half when we've fulfilled our obligations this year and done more than AWS did last year," Case continued.

The appropriation represents less than 25 cents for each member of AWS, to which all women students belong.

Case also said the tentative budget gives \$10,000 to the Residence College Federation (RCF).

"There are just as many women at UNC as the total number of people in dorms, so if we get \$1,000, then RCF should get \$1,000, too," she added.

Case encouraged all AWS representatives, dormitory presidents and women interested in the activities of the organization to attend the SL budget hearing this week.

AWS members also discussed activities planned for the rest of this year and next year. Careers Week, planned for April 3-6, will bring professional women from the community to the women's dormitories

and sororities for talks and panel discussions.

In addition to Careers Week, AWS may co-sponsor a speech by Democratic presidential candidate Shirley Chisholm if details can be arranged, she said. The Carolina Forum, the Black Student Movement and AWS would jointly sponsor Chisholm's appearance.

The organization's plans for next year include an expanded speaker's program, a structural reorganization and the publication of a pamphlet in conjunction with Women's Forum, Case said.

However, she added that the expansion of the speaker program depends largely on an increase in appropriation from Student Legislature.

If members vote for a restructuring of AWS, an activities board consisting of elected representatives and the vice-chairman may be created to develop ideas for programs the organization might sponsor, Case explained.

Along with consideration of restructuring, AWS will encourage increased participation by women who are not elected representatives or dormitory presidents, she said.

AWS and Women's Forum are planning to publish a pamphlet for all women students to outline women's rules and opportunities for women on campus, she continued. "The pamphlet alone will cost us about \$400," Case said.

"This year AWS has sponsored Women's Week, a group of speakers from the Department of Labor, several films, a speech by Bella Abzug, and has published a newsletter."



Smokey Mountain craftsman Willard Watkins demonstrates one of his many hand-carved wooden toys. His wares will be on display in front of the Union today.
(Staff Photo by Leslie Todd)

Residence Life may be studied

by Greg Turosak
Staff Writer

A proposal to evaluate the Department of Residence Life has received encouraging response from UNC Chancellor N. Ferebee Taylor, according to Residence College Federation (RCF) Chairman Steve Saunders.

Saunders proposed the evaluation to Chancellor Taylor in a letter two weeks ago. Saunders said after recent meetings with Taylor he is "very encouraged" about the possibility of an evaluation being conducted.

Just prior to spring break, Taylor said an evaluation will definitely not take place this semester because of the resignation of current Dean of Student Affairs C.O. Cathey. Taylor said it will be up to Cathey's successor to determine if an evaluation is necessary.

The original idea for the evaluation of Residence Life came from A.J. deKeyser, governor of Morrison Residence College, according to Saunders. Saunders said other governors recounted problems they have had with Residence Life and decided a letter to the chancellor was the best step.

Taylor would not comment on the merits of an evaluation of Residence Life. "It has been my intention to move slowly and cautiously about making any very

large changes until I feel I know more about the situation," he said.

Residence Life Director Robert F. Kepner said Monday such an evaluation "can be a very positive undertaking" and "a benefit to the Department of Residence Life."

Kepner said he would work in "as positive a manner as I could" in hopes of making an evaluation worthwhile.

He noted the main problem presently confronting the Department of Residence Life is one of understaffing. He said many of the complaints about the department not being able to provide the proper level of service, especially when it comes to "physical needs," are due to this fact.

"If properly staffed, we can handle the specifics," he added.

Saunders said the evaluation should be conducted by a joint faculty-student-administration committee. This committee should not include anyone from Student Affairs or Residence Life, according to Saunders.

Proposed areas of concentration in the evaluation would be: comparing Residence Life to the previous system here at UNC and present systems at other universities; studying the relationship among Residence Life and other groups in the administration; considering the amount of staffing, and considering student input into Residence Life.

UNC denied registrar by board

The Orange County Board of Elections Monday evening denied a request to place a registrar of voters on the UNC campus before the April 7 registration deadline.

The board did, however, leave the door open for the request to be considered again at its April 4 meeting.

After the petition was presented, signed by 28 of the 30 members of the Student Legislature, Elections Board chairman Marshall Cates said he did not believe students suffered much of an inconvenience by having to walk three blocks to the Municipal Building to register.

Since October, we have more than tripled the number of weekly registration hours in Chapel Hill — and the registrars already provided are not being used to their capacity," Cates said.

"If, however, statistics for the next two weeks show a big upturn in registrations, I may reconsider my position."

Katherine Savage, Chapel Hill's member on the County Elections Board, spoke in favor of the student request for registration, saying since the Elections Board will have two registrars on duty at the Municipal Building the next three Thursdays, it would be no imposition on the county for one of the two registrars to move to the Student Union for one day of registration.

Voter registration is allowed during the following hours through April 7: Chapel Hill Municipal Building, Tuesdays 9 a.m. — 5 p.m., Thursdays 9 a.m. — 9 p.m., Saturdays 9 a.m. — 1 p.m., and at the Carrboro Town Hall Saturdays from 9 a.m. — noon and 1 — 5 p.m. However, there will be no Saturday registration on April 1, Easter weekend.

Thus the last day of Saturday registration will be this Saturday, the last day for voter registration in Chapel Hill, by Elections Board rule, is April 6. Registration will take place at the Orange County Courthouse in Hillsborough on April 7 until 5 p.m.

The board also has voted to send a registrar to Cedar Grove Elementary School this Saturday from 10 a.m. to 3 p.m. Cedar Grove, seven miles north of Hillsborough, on N.C. 86, is a predominantly black area.

At a meeting two weeks ago, the Elections Board authorized registrars for Orange County High School and Chapel Hill High School. The Chapel Hill registration took place last Wednesday, although the Orange High School registration was banned by the school board.

Weather

TODAY: Partly cloudy; high near 70, lows in the 40's; probability of precipitation 70 percent today, 20 percent tonight.



Pitt Dickey and crew race to beat the clock in yesterday's "NASCAR" tire-changing contest as Sage views the action from a safe distance. The contest was sponsored by the

Carolina Symposium as part of this week's "Soul of the South" presentation.

(Staff Photo by Leslie Todd)

For Fall '73

CURL backs coed hall

by Winston Cavin
and
Susan Miller
Staff Writers

The Committee on University Residence Life (CURL) Monday announced its support of the living-learning concept and established a subcommittee to develop a plan for a coed dorm on North Campus for fall 1973.

CURL's decision, in effect, ended all efforts of the committee to protest the refusal of the New Learning Center (NLC) to set up a coed North Campus dorm for fall 1972.

The protest committee was organized after the proposal for the NLC was rejected by the College of Arts and Sciences early in March. The proposal was deemed economically unfeasible for fall 1972.

Dean Raymond Dawson of the College of Arts and Sciences, in refusing the plan, cited the increased student-faculty ratio,

from 13.6-1 to 14.5-1, as the main reason for the decision.

Dawson said in a letter to Director of Residence Life Robert Kepner that a pilot project, similar to the Academic Residence Area (ARA) in Morrison dormitory, may be approved in the future, but that such a program cannot be endorsed for next fall because of the limitations of money and personnel.

The ARA program, on fourth floor Morrison is a semi-formal academic community and is not officially tied to the University.

CURL's decision, passed unanimously, stated: "CURL, recognizing the desire among students for a strong academic living experience, supports the establishment in academic year 1973-1974 on North Campus a coed residence hall with academic emphasis."

"A subcommittee of CURL with students, faculty and administrative officials interested in this proposal will be established to develop the program."

In other action, chairman Kepner said

he plans to establish a subcommittee to study the idea of "alternate suite coed housing in a South Campus graduate coed dorm (Craig)." Committee member Warren Galke proposed the idea, saying he hoped it would increase interaction and decrease loneliness in Craig.

CURL also established a subcommittee to study coed housing on North Campus for next year. Committee members Edith Davis, Steve Saunders and Galke were named to the subcommittee.

CURL has asked previously that coed housing be implemented on North Campus in fall 1973. The committee will decide which dorms should be coed so plans for conversion may be begun.

The decision Monday night was the second time that CURL turned down the possibility of a coed North Campus dorm for fall 1972. In a meeting in early March, CURL decided not to assign a coed North Campus dorm in accordance with the decision of the College of Arts and Sciences not to give academic support to the NLC.