

# The Daily Tar Heel

89 Years Of Editorial Freedom

Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Thursday, September 27, 1973

Founded February 23, 1893

Vol. 82, No. 24



After a hard, long morning toiling for the University, this workman is looking for a nice, shady spot for some lunch. While the rest of us are being bored to death in class, this man is learning to make the middle of the day the best part. (Staff photo by John Locher)

## Debate team funds released by CGC

by Bill Welch  
Staff Writer

The Campus Governing Council approved a bill Tuesday night allowing the debate team immediate access to a portion of their Student Government (SG) funds and passed a resolution calling for an extension of the drop-add period next spring.

The bill allows the student body treasurer to give the debate team up to \$500 of their Student Government appropriation immediately.

The appropriation for the debate team, like that of 11 other campus organizations, has been frozen by the SG budget. The budget stipulates that none of the SG appropriation is to be released until the University Administration funds the groups.

Council member Bill Snodgrass said the

immediate release of part of the debate team's budget was necessary because the team has several tournaments to attend this month, and they must have their money soon.

He said the stipulation in the budget that freezes the funds is an attempt by the CGC to make the administration assume some of the financial burden for many narrow-interest campus organizations.

The resolution passed by the CGC calls the University's registration system "grossly inadequate" and says the drop-add period should be extended by two days next spring, "for students to assess their academic needs."

Steve Coggins, an administrative assistant to the speaker of the CGC, said the extension is intended as a temporary relief measure. He said he is currently negotiating with University officials for a reform of the entire registration process.

"We are aiming towards wholesale reform of the system, but right now, we'll be lucky to get two more days for next spring," Coggins said.

Coggins said that 500 drop-add transactions took place on the day after drop-add this semester, and cited as evidence that the administration might be receptive to such an extension.

The council also approved three minor changes in the SG budget. Two were changes to make bookkeeping easier, and one provides for the new Residence Unit Grant and Loan Fund which was set up at the last CGC meeting.

In other action, the CGC appointed Geoffrey Mitchell and Peter Gilmore to the North Carolina Student Legislature selection committee and swore in three newly elected council members.

The new members are Marilyn Harrington, Dennis Horn and Janice Mills.

## Affirmative Action Plan not acceptable to HEW

by Cherin Chewning  
and  
Janet Langston  
Staff Writers

The University's Affirmative Action Plan has not been accepted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW).

In a letter to an official of the University Women for Affirmative Action (UWAA) dated Sept. 21, Louis O. Bryson of HEW said that the plan has "been deemed unacceptable" by his office.

In a statement released Wednesday, Chancellor N. Ferebee Taylor said, "I have received no official comment from HEW concerning the Affirmative Action Plan for the University of North Carolina at Chapel

Hill. Accordingly, I have no way of knowing HEW's assessment of our plan — whether they consider it any better or any worse than the ones submitted by other institutions."

"I do know that a lot of time and effort went into the development of plan, and I believe it is a good one," Taylor commented. Richard H. Robinson Jr., assistant to President William Friday of the General Administration, has been the "point of contact" with the five representatives of HEW, said Taylor.

A five-member delegation of three men and two women, three of whom were black, met with UWAA members Tuesday night and discussed UWAA's critique of the plan which was sent to HEW Aug. 14.

A HEW spokesman said that the group

had come to Chapel Hill to work with the University to make the plan more acceptable.

HEW officials indicated that they would like to use the UNC-CH plan as a model for other UNC campuses.

In a telephone interview Wednesday afternoon, Robinson implied that if UNC's plan did not pass the first inspection, it would not be as serious as others would like to think.

"My understanding is that no first draft ever submitted by an educational institution to HEW has been accepted in the United States," he asserted.

"There is no such thing as a 'final stamp of approval' as most people expect," Robinson

said. "We were led to understand that the Affirmative Action Plan is an involving, continuing document."

"As we experience the matter, he applied the plan and see the results," he continued, officials will make changes as needed.

The five-member group said they had not been previously informed by the University of Douglass Hunt's appointment as Affirmative Action officer and indicated that they were dissatisfied with the appointment.

Bryson said he had no comment on HEW's indicated disapproval of Hunt's appointment. He also said that HEW knew Chancellor Taylor would be appointing an Affirmative Action officer but that they had not been previously informed of the specific individual.

According to reliable sources, the HEW officials felt that the Affirmative Action officer should be a full-time post and that the person holding that post should not have any other responsibilities.

HEW officials indicated dissatisfaction with several areas of the University's plan including:

- Lack of student involvement on the Affirmative Action Committee;
- Lack of availability of data on which the report was based;
- Lack of documentation for certain tables and statistics presented in the plan.

HEW officials requested that UWAA compile a list of area women's and minority groups. Area groups could be more effectively publicize vacancies in the University system, HEW said.

Bryson said that the group met with administration officials yesterday, but declined to comment on the meeting.

The current meetings between HEW representatives and Robinson's are to clarify the role of the University of North Carolina to determine the exact responsibilities of the administration, Robinson said. He is receiving background information to insure that the General Administration understands HEW's desires and to enable Robinson to guide the other 16 universities in writing their plans to meet HEW expectations.

Even after preliminary approval, Robinson acknowledged they "can't say in advance that the plan does it. We have to wait and see."

He explained the process for chancellors to receive official letters of deficiencies from HEW. The General Administration "adopted early on that each campus do its own plan." Therefore, he said, the letters will be sent to each individual chancellor.

Robinson reported responses to him from 10 of 16 chancellors, stating they have received communication from HEW.

After each chancellor receives the letter, Robinson said, he has a given period of time to "get his plan in line" for a second inspection.

The meetings are information sharing sessions to "achieve a better understanding of what is required", Robinson said.

## Weather

**TODAY: Partial cloudiness yet near zero per cent chance of precipitation. The high is expected to reach the upper 70's and the low tonight is expected in the low 50's. Outlook: clear and cool.**

## Conference 'helpful'

## HEW meets UWAA

by Cherin Chewning  
Staff Writer

University Women for Affirmative Action (UWAA) coordinator Barbara Schnorrenberg Wednesday termed Tuesday night's meeting with HEW officials as "interesting and helpful one."

"The HEW officials couldn't be as specific with us as we would have liked them to be," Schnorrenberg said. "However it was clear to us that some things that HEW objected to in the Affirmative Action Plan were the same that we in UWAA had objected to."

Schnorrenberg said that HEW gave UWAA "sketchy" ideas of areas they objected to.

She also said that UWAA would cooperate with HEW in compiling a list of area women's minority groups for use in publicizing job vacancies.

According to Schnorrenberg, HEW asked UWAA why they thought the goals of the proposed plan were too modest.

"We told them that we thought everybody had been too conservative in their estimates of turnover, hiring, etc.," she said.

"We also felt that the goals and timetables were too arbitrary—they weren't based on statistics," Schnorrenberg said.

Association of Women Students (AWS) chairperson Amelia Bellows said that she talked with the HEW officials about a complaint recently filed by AWS concerning the UNC athletic department.

"They already knew about our intramural program here through other sources, whatever that means," Bellows said, "and they wanted more information on athletics."

"They are certainly interested in student's complaints," Bellows said.

## SCAU cites phone gripes

by Linda Livengood  
Staff Writer

Ted Claghorn, president of the Student Consumer Action Union (SCAU), said Tuesday that SCAU has received complaints similar to those charged in the suit against the Chapel Hill Telephone Company filed by Howard T. Chatterton.

The SCAU president said, "We urge all students to save their telephone bills and

check their calls. If you feel that calls you have not made have been billed to your account, please call SCAU at 933-8313."

The \$100,000 class action suit brought against the Chapel Hill Telephone Company was filed by Howard T. Chatterton, a UNC medical student. He is not a law student at UNC as was erroneously reported Wednesday in *The Daily Tar Heel*. Chatterton is represented by Chapel Hill attorney Robert Epting.

Chatterton's suit alleges that Robert Peak of the Chapel Hill Telephone Company informed Chatterton that the company has "at the end of each month a substantial pool of long distance calls with no subscriber to be billed because of mechanical error or human error or contrivance."

According to Chatterton's account, Peak explained that "these calls were assigned at random to various subscribers' accounts solely on the basis of their previous long distance calling patterns."

Chatterton filed a class action suit because he feels that others "have been misled by Defendant's (telephone company) billing practices and/or coerced by Defendant's bureaucratic inefficiency and have paid charges for which they were under no legal obligation."

According to Chatterton's complaint, the action was filed on behalf of those who are now subscribing or who have subscribed as customers to the telephone services of the Chapel Hill Telephone Company at any time since Sept. 10, 1970.

Claghorn said, "If you think that you have paid charges for calls you did not make in the past, you are entitled to see the Telephone company's microfilmed records of past bills."

## Runge charges delay

Student Body President Ford Runge charged the Carrboro Board of Aldermen with purposely delaying the town's bus referendum last spring to exclude students from voting.

In his press release, Runge urged persons representing student needs and supporting the establishment of public transportation to run for the Carrboro board this November.

"Students and other apartment dwellers do not seem to be considered true citizens by the people of Carrboro," Runge stated. He claimed the Board of Aldermen enforced this attitude by holding the transportation

referendum "supported by students" after UNC classes had ended, to lessen support for the transportation system.

Runge questioned the cooperation among Carrboro, Chapel Hill and UNC in solving common problems. He said mutual support of students' needs would come only through the efforts of all three.

"The Carrboro town government must exhibit a greater willingness to work with others to solve the joint problems of the greater University community," Runge said.

Carrboro Mayor Robert J. Wells Jr. could not be contacted at home for comment.

## University holds campus organizations' funds

by Bill Welch  
Staff Writer

Twelve student organizations that were appropriated funds in this year's Student Government (SG) budget have found that they are unable to receive any of their money now.

The groups have discovered that, because of a stipulation buried in the back pages of the budget approved by the Campus Governing Council (CGC) in the final days of the semester last spring, their funds have been frozen.

The clause, preventing the release of the funds and halting the operation of organizations, was placed in the University budget in an attempt by the CGC to force the University Administration into assuming some of the financial burden of these groups.

The Special Revenue Sharing clause means that certain organizations cannot receive their SC funds until first receiving a specified amount from the University.

The allocation for each organization is listed

in the budget, but Dean of Student Affairs Donald A. Boulton says they don't have the money.

So while SC cannot fund the groups until the University does, 12 campus groups are caught in the dilemma of having no money with which to operate.

CGC member Bill Snodgrass said Tuesday that the University benefits from the groups, and they should foot some of the bill. He also said he expects the administration to come up with the needed money.

The University currently has a \$15,000 donation from the Alumni Association that is earmarked for cultural affairs, and Snodgrass said he is meeting with Boulton and other University officials on Monday to discuss the possibility of using that money for the 12 organizations.

"This is a form of coercion that I think isn't going to be very effective," Boulton told the DTH.

"I feel very strongly that this is not the way to move ahead. The revenue must come from many

different sources, and it may take several years to get gifts," he said.

Several of the organizations that cannot get their money are athletic clubs — and Boulton said he thought the Athletic Association will give them some money. He also said the administration could help some of the other groups with operating expenses, but he did not know where the rest of the money will come from.

"I think better cooperation might have resulted in a better method," Boulton said.

"We had no part in the decision. We were told what to do. It's funny, because students always complain that they have no input into the administration, and now we have none with them," he added.

Student Body Treasurer Steve Jones said he has had requests for money from most of the groups. He said he is unable to give the organizations any of their appropriations, even though it means some cannot operate.

He cited the crew team as an example of one

group that needs money immediately. The team's only boat leaks and it must be fixed before they can begin fall practice, he said.

"The CGC is making these little groups fight their own battle for them, and that's unfair," said Jones.

Jones said that there is a contingency fund in the budget so that if none of the groups receive money from the University by Nov. 30, SG will give them half of the administration's share. But he added that the end of Nov. is too late for all the groups.

The contingency fund contains \$5,899, the University budget calls for the University to give over \$11,000 to the 12 groups.

While signing a bill passed by the CGC releasing funds to the debate team, Student Body President Ford Runge said yesterday he disagreed with the use of pressure on the university.

"The purpose of a student fee is to support student organizations. These fees were not meant to be, and never should be, construed as political

tools," Runge said. "Such use is improper and not in keeping with a responsible Student Government operation," he added.

"Student Government, rather than helping an organization, has put a road block in our way," he said.

"They are trying to put the administration under pressure to give money, but the clubs are getting caught in the middle," Cox said.

In explaining why the administration cannot finance all the clubs, Boulton denied that he has access to any "slush funds" from which to draw the money. In the budget hearings last fall, some CGC members said the administration could get the money from such resources.

"The biggest slush fund on campus is the CGC," he said, adding, "All our money is earmarked—we have no slush fund."

Some of the other groups which have not been able to get their funds are: The Concert Band, the Individual Events Team, the International Student Center, the Men's Glee Club, and the Parachute, Rugby and Sailing Clubs.