

Desegregation plan conservative, unspecific

by Kevin McCarthy
Managing Editor

The third and final version of the University of North Carolina's desegregation plan is a conservative, unspecific document, lacking significant impact, critics say.

The 600-page plan also implies reluctance by University administrators to comply with the request of the civil rights office of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) in Washington to eliminate remaining racial discrimination in the post-secondary education system.

HEW finally approved the twice-rejected document June 21 and will, therefore, not sue UNC for racial discrimination or withhold

federal money from North Carolina colleges and universities.

The plan governs procedures for the "further elimination of racial duality" at the 16 campuses of the Consolidated University of North Carolina and the state's 57 community colleges and technical institutes.

will be changed and almost each will tell you it won't directly affect them."

Undergraduate Admissions Director Richard Cashwell said last week that the plan will have little, if any, impact on admissions.

"It doesn't really appear that we will have to change anything too radically," he said.

at each university.

UNC-CH officials are aiming for a two per cent increase in the proportion of black students from 5.1 per cent in 1973 to 7.1 per cent by 1977.

Cashwell said that, speaking from what he knew about the plan, it would require only "some intensification of programs already on the way."

Heightened recruiting of blacks and increased counseling, he said, would probably attract the necessary numbers of blacks, approximately 100 each year until 1977.

The plan also proposes that the UNC Board of Governors request an appropriation from the General Administration for providing \$300,000 in financial aid to encourage white students to attend predominantly black institutions and black students to attend predominantly white

institutions for 1975-76. Thereafter, the aid's impact would be evaluated to determine whether it helped increase "minority presence."

Specific goals for the elimination of racial duality are difficult to find in the document.

The document calls mainly for studies to be made to increase numbers of minority students on campuses.

The University President is requested, for example, to evaluate the fairness and reliability of admissions methods to insure equal access to all North Carolina students. What such a study will specifically accomplish is not stated.

The plan does not specify exactly how many minority faculty members the University intends to recruit. The document merely promises

Please see 'Desegregation,' page 4

News analysis

"I think it was a waste of the taxpayers' money to print it," Student Body President Marcus Williams said about the plan. "It's that worthless."

"Ask any major department head in this University or at other universities what policies

On Aug. 21, a full two months after HEW's approval, Cashwell said he hadn't read the plan nor did admissions have a copy.

One of the few specific requests outlined in the plan directly affects admissions. The document calls for a "goal of increased minority presence"



Staff photo by Gary Lobraico

Workmen have removed a row of booths, a step in remodeling the Union Snack Bar for "the new Servomation"

Atty. Gen. Morgan accused of perjury

by Joel Brinkley
News Editor

© 1974 The Daily Tar Heel

James R. Ladd has confirmed a report in the Aug. 2 *Tar Heel* that he was fired by state Attorney General Robert Morgan because he failed to contribute money to Morgan's U.S. Senate campaign. He said Morgan committed perjury Aug. 9 when he denied the charge under oath.

Ladd, former director of the North Carolina Justice Academy, is appealing his dismissal before the State Personnel Board. During his Aug. 9 hearing, he testified under oath that Morgan twice solicited campaign contributions from him before firing him. He also testified he thought his refusal to comply with Morgan's request was "a major component of why I was fired."

Morgan is the democratic candidate for Sam Ervin's U.S. Senate seat. He is resigning as Attorney General today to devote his full time to the campaign.

At Ladd's hearing, he testified under oath he never solicited money from Ladd or any other justice department employee. "In fact," he said, "I pointedly avoided it."

Morgan charges blackmail

Morgan said in June he fired Ladd for his failure to properly administer the Justice Academy, a proposed police training school in Salemburg, which Ladd directed. Many of his specific charges, however, were sharply contradicted by public records presented at the hearing.

At the hearing, Morgan said for the first time that he fired Ladd for "an attempt to intimidate and blackmail me."

Ladd said during an Aug. 19 interview that Morgan committed perjury several times during his 30-minute testimony.

"At the next hearing," Ladd said, "I'm going to present evidence that will prove he lied." He would not say what evidence he intends to present. Ladd's hearing was not complete Aug. 9 and is scheduled to be continued Friday.

During his first hearing, Ladd recounted a conversation he said he had with Morgan in Morgan's office last May.

"I want to talk to you about loyalty," Ladd says Morgan told him. "I don't think you've been entirely loyal to me. You haven't contributed to my campaign and neither has anyone at the Academy. Now, I worked my ass off to get you these high salaries and I haven't seen any of it come back."

Morgan denied this conversation took place.

Ladd said Morgan also perjured himself

during part of his sworn testimony about Dr. John G. Kozy. Kozy is a philosophy professor at East Carolina University who was appointed by Morgan to be Ladd's consultant.

Ladd and others have said Kozy spent much of his time writing campaign speeches for Morgan while being paid as Ladd's consultant. Ladd recounted one occasion, May 28, when he says Morgan called Kozy to Raleigh while Kozy was being paid as an Academy consultant.

"Mr. Morgan was going to Wisconsin to give a speech," Ladd said, "and wanted Dr. Kozy to come to Raleigh and write the speech for him."

He said Kozy received full pay from the Academy that day while actually working for Morgan's campaign.

Morgan denied calling Kozy to Raleigh that day.

Jo Ruddock, Ladd's former secretary at the Academy, said Aug. 22 she answered the phone May 28 when Morgan's secretary called Kozy. She said she recalls he left for Raleigh to write a speech.

Kozy could not be reached for comment, but said Aug. 1, "It was very difficult for me to determine when I was really working for the Academy and when I was writing speeches for the Attorney General."

State Personnel Director Al Boyles said Aug. 22 that if a personnel board determined a witness committed perjury while testifying under oath, he could and probably would be prosecuted by the courts. The maximum penalty for perjury is a ten-year jail term.

Ladd said he was willing to take a polygraph (lie-detector) test to substantiate his statements. Polygraph test results are not admissible as evidence in courts of law, but Boyles said that, at the discretion of the board chairman, these test results could be used before the less formal personnel board.

Firing taped

Morgan's charge that Ladd blackmailed him centers around the conversation he had with Ladd when he fired him June 3. The conversation was taped by Morgan and when Ladd later asked Morgan to specify the charges for which he was fired, Morgan simply gave him a copy of this tape.

On the tape, Morgan tells Ladd he is concerned that Ladd doesn't have a close working relationship with Kozy, his consultant.

Ladd said at the hearing he could not work closely with Kozy because the philosophy professor had no criminology experience.

Please see 'Morgan,' page 2



Robert Morgan

Parking—towing enforced

by Jim Roberts
Staff Writer

Fewer and more expensive parking permits, new zones and more towing are some of the changes brought by the University's new parking plan in an attempt to relieve the campus's congested streets and lots.

Under the plan, which went into effect this summer in conjunction with the town's new bus system, towing will be a commonplace occurrence. T.W. Marvin, director of security services, said,

"When a vehicle is found in a zone without a permit or with the wrong permit for the parking area, it will be towed on the first offense." Marvin said. "During the first week of enforcement we will concentrate on removing vehicles with no permit at all."

On-campus parking permits cost \$54 and are good for both fall and spring semesters. Permits for employees cost \$72 and are good from July 1, 1974 to June 30, 1975. Bus passes, good for the duration of the parking permit, are included with the permits.

To insure permit holders a place to park, the number of permits available to students and employees has decreased 50 per cent from last year. In past years, the University has registered more than 13,000 vehicles for approximately 8,500 spaces.

This year, however, the University will distribute less than 9,000 permits—10 per cent more than the number of available spaces, Marvin said. Last year permits were oversold by about 60 per cent.

The Department of Traffic and Motor Vehicle Registration planned to commit 85 per cent of the available student parking areas and allow Student Government to distribute the remaining 15 per cent.

According to the bill passed by SG April 16, five per cent of SG's permits will be distributed primarily to new students in the fall.

Student permits issued by the traffic office were distributed along a priority classification set up by Student Government. Residents have priority over commuters and among residents, handicapped students have first priority.

Graduate and professional students and Please see 'Parking,' page 6

Servomation to improve Or face cancelled contract

by Ted Mellink
Staff Writer

Servomation Mathias Inc., known and criticized by the University community since 1970, must change its ways this fall or face a cancelled contract, according to the UNC Food Service Advisory Committee.

The committee, composed of six students and four University administration officials, renewed the contract on the condition that Servomation make several changes in policy and service.

The contract contains a new, strongly worded addendum setting standards of menu variety, sanitation and prices.

Servomation came under close scrutiny last spring when negotiations for renewal of its contract began. The last contract terminated May 31.

The committee sent a letter to all new and returning students stating that significant improvements would be made in campus food service.

The letter also contained a postscript from Student Body President Marcus Williams which, despite the strong criticism Servomation received last spring, urged students to participate in Servomation's program.

"What I was trying to do was encourage people to give them support," Williams said. "If you have more people subscribing to the food program, then all people using it will benefit."

"My guess is that we'll know by the first of October if Servomation can make the changes we want," said Auxiliary Enterprises Executive Director James Branch, a member of the Food Service Advisory Committee.

The committee will then begin recruiting a replacement if the changes are not made, Branch said.

"The basic reason for the changes is in part we have received from the University community asking us to offer higher cost items as entrees," Food Service Director Robert Greer said.

Servomation officials have always felt students prefer a greater quantity of food at lower cost and have made their major appeal to students of low and moderate income. The administration has indicated that students prefer higher quality food at higher prices.

"We have always served quality food," Greer said, "but it has been lower priced food. The need always exists for improved services, and, over the course of

Servomation's tenure, vast improvements have been accomplished. This progress can and must continue.

"One of the best tools available to management is to have constructive criticism. We will read and implement criticism with the aim of providing the excellence of food service desired by the University."

A study of food services at UNC was made in March 1974 at the request of the Food Service Advisory Committee. Written by an area food service expert, the report detailed criticisms and assets of Servomation.

"The present level of food service offered in the campus dining halls run by Servomation Mathias Inc. is not consistent with the academic excellence the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, has attained and which it strives to exceed," the report stated.

"To permit the current quality of food service to continue would, in my opinion, be an obvious and embarrassing contradiction of UNC's goals."

The report indicated that almost all customers of Servomation felt they get a fair deal financially. "However," the author

Please see 'Servomation,' page 5

Williams' aide threatened, abducted, beaten

by Art Eisenstadt
Staff Writer

Shortly after the student elections last February, Marcus Williams' campaign manager John Pegram received an anonymous phone call:

"I'm speaking for a concerned group of citizens," the caller said. "We don't like white people helping niggers."

Since that time, Pegram has been abducted and beaten by masked assailants, slugged on the head with a shovel, and harassed by threatening notes and phone calls.

Several days after the first phone call, Pegram found a Williams campaign poster tacked to his door with a black "X" scrawled across it.

Pegram's two roommates soon started receiving phone calls threatening Pegram's life. The calls would always come minutes after Pegram left his room.

"They were watching me extremely closely," Pegram recalled during a recent DTH interview. Certain that he was being

followed, he began having friends accompany him to class. Another friend, Tim Dugan, who is now Student Government treasurer and Pegram's roommate, moved into Grimes.

Pegram told only his closest friends and

received one last phone call from the cabal: "Well, there's nothing we can do now. We just want you to know we'll be watching you the next few years."

Having had no further contact with the harassers for several weeks, Pegram told

in Grimes, one resident there was extremely hostile towards him.

"He had said something like, 'Why do the niggers insist on being called Afro-Americans? It was the black Arabs that sold them as slaves,'" Pegram said. But he added that he had seen this same person in the lobby of the dorm at the time of one of the phone calls, leading him and the police to rule the student out as a suspect.

In addition, one of the later calls warned a roommate. "There's no need to get friends involved in this. We're going to get him alone," indicating that the caller knew that Dugan had moved into the room.

Lt. Charles Mauer, a UNC campus police investigator, said, "We figured there was somebody in the dorm who was watching him. We questioned everyone on the floor, but we didn't get any information. The group that was behind this was really tight."

After Williams' inauguration, Pegram thought the trouble was behind him, but two bizarre incidents in late March warned him the group was still active.

Besides threatening him physically, the

BLACK Work Proves Fatal

Note left under Pegram's windshield wipers

the campus police of his harassment. He told neither Williams, his parents, nor the press.

Because Pegram has been receiving more threats during the past month, he and Williams agreed to publicly disclose the threats. "Perhaps getting this out in the open will show these people that we're wise to them," Pegram said.

After Williams' opponent in the runoff election, a Marxist candidate who called himself "El Libre," withdrew and Williams was inaugurated as president, Pegram

Williams of the incidents. "Marcus became angry," he said, "and wanted to know why I had not told him before. I told him that if I had, he would have become just as angry then, and possibly have hurt his chances for election."

"I was somewhat disappointed that he didn't tell me about it earlier," Williams said.

Pegram first suspected someone within his dorm was involved. He noted the callers knew exactly when he left his room, and also recalled that while campaigning for Williams