

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893
Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Friday, October 31, 1975

Vol. 83, No. 48

Weather: cool and fair



Chapel Hill became Capitol Hill Thursday as the sports department played politics, replete with resignation requests, saber-rattling, and threats which finally resulted in the Delmar Compromise. Sports Information Director Rick Brewer, above, played as Henry Kissinger.

Homecoming King?

by Tim Pittman
Staff Writer

Delmar Williams, male candidate for Homecoming Queen, reached an apparent compromise with Sports Information Director Rick Brewer late Tuesday night which could salvage the appearance of the Homecoming Court at Saturday's football game and make Williams Homecoming King.

Reacting to pressure from Brewer that a Williams victory might deny the court the right to take the field Saturday, Williams agreed that if he wins he will be crowned as Homecoming King.

The girl with the highest vote total would be announced as Honorary Homecoming Queen.

Williams, Brewer may compromise

The agreement is tentative, however, and will be finalized tomorrow after approval by Athletic Director Homer Rice. Williams said he wants to see the agreement in writing before he accepts.

Williams and Brewer will meet tomorrow at 11 a.m. to conclude the compromise.

Earlier Thursday Williams said Brewer asked him to resign from the court and said that if Williams remained, the court might not be allowed on the field at halftime.

The Homecoming ballots were counted and a winner determined Thursday night, but the Elections Board would not release

the results. According to informed sources, voter turnout was very high compared to past Homecoming balloting.

Prior to the tentative compromise, Brewer said the Athletic Department was considering eliminating the Homecoming ceremony due to Williams' presence on the court.

"There is a feeling among Athletic Department officials that Delmar Williams has made a mockery of the Homecoming ceremony," Brewer said. "These officials are not going to let Mr. Williams make a mockery of the Athletic Department."

Brewer said the final decision on the Homecoming Court's future would be made by Athletic Director Homer Rice.

Rice said, "I will make no comment. I've already made the comment that this person is only seeking publicity."

Williams said he was concerned earlier with his rights under Title IX, the federal statute which prohibits sex discrimination at state supported institutions.

He also noted the possibility of filing a suit charging that Title IX stipulations allow him to run for Homecoming Queen and thus should be given all the privileges of a female candidate.

Brewer, however, said Title IX was not relevant to the Homecoming ceremony.

"I'm not looking at this thing from a Title IX standpoint," Brewer said. "The Athletic Department has no obligation to go through with the ceremony; it is simply tradition."

Brewer said that earlier developments in this year's Homecoming such as the decision to allow all the candidates to be on the court had already departed from tradition. In the past a homecoming selection committee limited the number to six through an interviewing process.



Uhhh, Delmar! UNC's first male candidate for Homecoming Queen, addresses students gathered in the Pit. DTH election observers predict a sweeping victory for Williams.

Smith presents letter to committee from Monroe Comptroller bill could be unconstitutional

by Chris Fuller
Staff Writer

Former Campus Governing Council clerk Barry Smith presented to a CGC committee Thursday a letter from Student Attorney General Andromeda Monroe indicating that a proposed bill to establish a student body comptroller may be unconstitutional.

Smith charged during a CGC Rules and Judiciary Committee hearing that the bill is unconstitutional.

Because the bill would allow the comptroller to sign requisitions, Smith said it violates the Student Government Constitution which states that only the student body treasurer has the power to disburse funds.

Smith backed up this interpretation by presenting an Oct. 30 letter from Monroe to Student Body President Bill Bates which read in part, "... (The) sole power of the authority to pay out or expend monies appropriated by the CGC resides with the Treasurer ..."

"No other person, therefore, may aid the treasurer in the actual disbursement of funds, unless the Treasurer is accountable for his acts; nor may anyone other than the

Treasurer ... disburse funds, unless he be in violation of the Student Constitution."

In a related action, the CGC Administration Committee tabled a bill introduced by Bates to establish the office of assistant student body treasurer.

Bates proposed the bill as an alternative to the comptroller bill at Wednesday's Finance Committee meeting. The Finance Committee refused to consider the bill and referred it to the Administration Committee.

However, when the bill reached the Administration Committee floor, Chairperson Dave Rittenhouse ruled that the bill should have gone instead to the Rules and Judiciary Committee.

CGC Speaker Dan Besse subsequently overruled Rittenhouse, stating that the Administration Committee could consider the bill and the committee voted to table it for further discussion.

The assistant treasurer bill is similar to the comptroller bill except that the assistant treasurer would be appointed by the president of the student body with CGC approval and could be dismissed by the president.

In addition to being an administrative aide to the treasurer, the assistant would

represent the treasurer at any meeting the treasurer could not attend.

George Blackburn, a third-year law student, spoke in favor of the comptroller bill, saying, "I do not believe that CGC can successfully acquire its fiscal duties without the assistance of an administrative officer who is directly responsible to CGC and is exclusively devoted to financial administration."

Blackburn said the comptroller would be beneficial in that it would assist CGC by providing it with immediate access to Student Government financial information upon request, assist in long-term policy research and help enforce treasury laws and business procedures.

He maintained that the student body treasurer is burdened with the day-to-day process of handling requisitions while business procedures and treasury laws have been left undeveloped.

Former CGC member Brooke Bynum said, "The comptroller bill is not what CGC needs." She added that the Finance Committee chairperson and student body treasurer have several duties taken away from them by the bill.

Arguing for the bill, Rep. Dick Pope said it would not take any power away from the

Finance Committee chairperson.

Carl Fox, former CGC Finance Committee chairperson, said the comptroller bill would create another useless position. He said the bill came up too quickly and was reactionary.

Fox opposed the bill, he said, because he felt it is unclear in that it does not set any qualifications for the comptroller, such as a business or accounting background, does not mention payment of the comptroller and does not clearly define the duties of either the treasurer or comptroller.

If the comptroller bill passes CGC, the comptroller would act as an administrative aide to CGC and the Finance Committee. The comptroller would have access to all records of Student Government-funded organizations and would report on these organizations and the status of the Unappropriated Balance and General Surplus to the Finance Committee.

The comptroller would be appointed by a majority CGC vote and could be dismissed only by a majority vote of the council.

Brought up at two previous CGC meetings and postponed both times, the comptroller bill is scheduled to be discussed again at Tuesday's CGC meeting.

Doctor says reverse for male sterilization not general practice

by Laura Scism
Staff Writer

Although research is now being conducted by the UNC medical school to reverse male sterilization, the procedures developed will probably not become common hospital practices, Dr. Jaroslav Hulka, reversible sterilization faculty consultant, said recently.

Hulka said that while there is a need for reversible male sterilization, the need is small. Maybe one per cent of all men who are sterilized when they decide their family is complete will later remarry and want more children, he said.

Successful experiments in reversible male sterilization have been conducted at the medical school with guinea pigs and monkeys, Hulka said. The experiments involve inserting plugs into the vas, a vessel one-sixteenth of an inch in diameter, which the sperm pass through. The plugs block the vas, preventing passage of sperm.

Results of semen analyses showed that the test animals were infertile when the plugs were inserted. Semen analyses taken after the plugs were removed showed the animals to be fertile.

Mating between a female monkey and a male monkey that had undergone reversible sterilization resulted in one pregnancy.

These experiments indicate that reversible male sterilization is possible, Hulka said. But research now centers on finding the right material to use in making the plug.

The ultimate goal of the experiments is to develop a system so that the valve can be turned off for sterilization or on to reverse the process, Hulka said. But the sterilization process could only be reversed once by this method, Hulka said.

Experiments in California which involve dividing the vas and then sewing it back together instead of plugging and unplugging it have been successful in 50 per cent of the human cases, Hulka said.

Sterilization research at the medical school is a cooperative effort with three other research centers, one in Boston and two in New York, Hulka said. The research is funded by the National Institutes of Health.

However, continued funding is in doubt because reversible sterilization is a low priority item in the national budget, Hulka said.

There is not a great need for reversible sterilization, he said, because only a small percentage of sterilized men decide to have more children, and reversible means of birth control in women, such as the pill and intrauterine device, are effective.

Vasectomy is also simple and effective as a means of birth control, but is a permanent sterilization technique.

Hulka predicted that a couple marrying in 1975 will probably choose a standard method of birth control, such as the pill, intrauterine device or condoms, until they decide their family is complete, and then one spouse will become sterilized.

Sterilization in women may be reversed if the method developed by Hulka is used. Hulka's method, called laparoscopy, involves clipping the fallopian tubes with a clamp. But no human sterilizations using this method have ever been reversed, he said.

More women than men seek permanent sterilization, Hulka said. During one period of time 2,000 female sterilizations were performed at North Carolina Memorial Hospital compared to only 275 male sterilizations, he added.

"It (sterilization) hurts their (the men's) libido," Hulka said.


"The older generation of men have always equated sex with reproduction. If you take away my reproductive ability, the natural psychological reaction is that you've taken away my sexual ability."

"Women were taught the same thing by their mothers, but after having kids they realized that there is a difference between sex and reproduction."

But Hulka said men now are beginning to accept this philosophy. "Men growing up with the new idea that sex is different from reproduction won't fear sterilization," he said. "The current crop of college men will probably choose vasectomy as a birth control method."

Worldwide, the real problem lies in finding a simpler method of female sterilization, Hulka said. Laparoscopy is too expensive and too complicated a procedure for the 40 per cent of the world population without access to large hospitals.

Vasectomy is one of the best birth control methods for use in these areas, but "these are the men who believe sex and reproduction are the same. The rural part of the world is resistant to vasectomy," Hulka said.



Leaflets urging a boycott of He's Not Here were not distributed by CGA members last night, but a few were posted around town.

Aldermanic, mayoral hopefuls sound off to students Candidates air views at forum

by Art Eisenstadt
Staff Writer

"After tomorrow, I'm gonna sit back and take it easy, except for some phone-calling on election day. Another two weeks of this, and we'd all go crazy."

The speaker was one of the 14 candidates for Chapel Hill alderman as he talked with one of the Chapel Hill mayoral candidates at a reception in Connor dormitory Wednesday night.

Shortly afterwards, the 16 candidates in next Tuesday's municipal elections delivered speeches again in the Union during a candidates forum jointly sponsored by Student Government, the Union Current Affairs Committee, the Young Democrats and the Young Republicans clubs.

After winning a coin flip with James C. "Jimmy" Wallace, mayoral candidate Gerry Cohen started the proceedings by briefly describing his appeal. "I did not receive all the votes for alderman (when elected two years ago), but I have not slighted any citizens," Cohen said.

He said he believes he has the knowledge and experience to deal with the town's major issues, which he cited as transportation, environment, recycling, growth and neighborhood rehabilitation.

Cohen urged that the town lobby against unpopular state programs, such as the Chapel Hill thoroughfare plan. He said he and others would go to Raleigh to "convince the state people that their plan will (1) destroy the old neighborhoods and (2) give in to the automobile."

Wallace, after apologizing for being an N.C. State faculty member, picked up on the theme of representing the town to other governments.

When officially representing the town, Wallace said "the mayor becomes Chapel Hill, and the image the mayor purveys in Raleigh, Durham, Washington and Research Triangle is of vital importance to us."

"It is in this vital role of representing Chapel Hill before the federal agencies—that I can do the most good for Chapel Hill," he added.

After both candidates mentioned the importance of representing Chapel Hill before others, each candidate was asked why he thought he could do it better.

Wallace, 52, described his background of having spent over 35 years in the town, and said, "We are an irregular town; other people use different adjectives. I will pass as regular an image of Chapel Hill before those with

whom we must deal as we have to."

The 25-year-old Cohen said, "It's quite obvious I can't have the same number of years doing anything as Mr. Wallace." He said government should not automatically close off the opinions and work of younger people, and added, "My openness, candor and willingness to work qualify me as much as Mr. Wallace."

Throughout the evening, the 14 alderman candidates stopped by the forum after climbing the hill from a marathon interview by local radio station WCHL. (Cyclist Leigh Beadle, who vowed not to use a motor vehicle during the campaign, said he almost bowed to temptation.)

Each candidate gave a one-to-three-minute speech, sometimes ignoring the Union official signaling time in the back of the room. Summarized, their major points were:

William Bayliss—said his experience on the Kalamazoo City council would be beneficial in Chapel Hill. "I've been struck by the similarity of the problems. I have worked with the town manager form of government before."

Leigh Beadle—"I support fiscal responsibility, bikeways, preservation of the village atmosphere and definitely bikeways and recreation facilities."

our findings to it."

The faculty committee meeting had been called previously after Unks received an anonymous phone call and an unsigned letter complaining of personnel trouble at the Inn.

At its meeting, the personnel committee will also examine the grievance committee report in light of the University's Affirmative Action plan, which was submitted to the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare in February.

Unks said his committee is interested in whether the non-faculty employees are accorded equal treatment by all University components.

If his committee finds that the statements in the Affirmative Action plan regarding non-faculty staff do not extend to Inn employees, Unks said, the committee will "raise the question as to why those statements, which purportedly represent University-wide policy, do not apply to employees of the Carolina Inn."

The faculty committee does not have executive power but makes recommendations to the Faculty Council. If these recommendations are accepted by the council, they are forwarded to the University administration.

Baldwin filed his grievance with the Inn management earlier this year after having been left off the list of workers to receive merit increase raises. Baldwin, who has worked at the Inn since April 1970, has never received a merit increase.

Please turn to page 2.