

# The Daily Tar Heel

Parking stickers

Applications for 1976-77 University parking permits are available today from the Traffic Office, the Union desk, and resident advisors.

**Weather**  
Cloudy, windy and colder Monday. High in the mid 50's; low in the 30's. Chance of precipitation is 0 per cent through Monday night.

Serving the students and the University community since 1893  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Monday, April 12, 1976

Volume No. 83

Issue No. 129



Face painting is always a favorite attraction at Appie Chill Fair. Despite chilly winds and gray skies, thousands turned out Sunday for the annual event on Franklin Street.

## '6.4' beer—is it a myth? Out-of-state beer popular at UNC

by Dan Fesperman  
Features Editor

It was not a very busy night at Ma Collins' South Main Produce. Two elderly men wandered around the small brick and glass store just inside Danville, Va., on Va. 86, while employee Kenneth Dooley stood in the doorway of the back room, slowly and silently pulling fryers out of an ice-filled box and separating their parts.

A man, apparently in his late 20s, wearing a dark gray business suit, walked into the store and announced, "I'd like 10 cases of Stroh's Beer."

It was very likely a big deal for him. Stroh's is not sold in North Carolina, and he had driven 50 miles from Burlington just to buy Stroh's, turn around and drive home.

It was not at all a big deal for Dooley, who finished separating a few more fryers before dragging out ten cases of "America's only fire-brewed beer" from the back of the store.

"We must sell about 100 cases a week of it," he said. "I don't really see why, it doesn't taste any different to me."

Whether the taste is worthy of the 100-mile round trip from Burlington, or the 120-mile trip from Chapel Hill is debatable, as are several other beer-related questions some North Carolinians have been asking off and on since Prohibition.

"Is '6.4' beer sold in North Carolina? or in Virginia? anywhere?"

"Is it illegal to transport more than a case of beer per person across the state line?"

"And if it is and you get caught, will you be fined outrageously by the State Alcoholic Beverage Control Board?"

Any of these questions usually elicits an abundance of answers, and no one seems to have a completely accurate answer for all of the questions.

As for the taste of Stroh's, many different people will give you just as many opinions on the matter. Stroh's is, next to Coors, perhaps the most legendary domestic beer in North Carolina.

Both of these beers have attained most of their mystique through their unavailability. The closest place where Coors is sold is Washington, D.C., (and only there within the past year), and the closest distributors for Stroh's are in Virginia.

Dan Hayes, a UNC sophomore from Bethesda, Md., who has sampled over 75 brands of domestic beer, has made the trip to Danville, as many North Carolinians have, and says that every mile is worth it. "Stroh's is light, but has a fuller taste than Coors, and no after-taste. I'd say it's the best domestic beer I've tasted."

Armand Guignon, a sophomore from Rocky Mount, was even more enthusiastic. "I'm not gonna buy any other beer. I tasted it once when a friend brought it down and I'm gonna stick with it."

Others aren't so enchanted. Warren Maupin, enforcement director of the State ABC Board, said, "I've had Coors and I've had Stroh's, and I really don't see any difference between them and most other beers. They all taste the same after two or three anyway."

Maupin was unaware of the growing number of North Carolinians willing to travel long distances for out-of-state beers.

Even the management of the Stroh Brewing Co., located in Detroit, is not aware of the beer's magical spell in North Carolina.

"Is that right? Well, I'm glad to hear it," said Jack Lahanne, vice president of Stroh's, when told of the phenomenon.

Lahanne offered a bit of hope for those already tiring of trips to Virginia. "We'll be down there (in North Carolina) eventually. We're in 15 states right now, and we're expanding at a measured pace."

"I hope nobody gets in trouble for taking too much over the state line, though," Lahanne's worry is shared by most who make the beer runs of Coors and Stroh's.

Such fears were fanned last summer when a Charlotte Lum's Restaurant was caught red-handed with its own truckload of Coors. The sale of Coors in North Carolina is illegal, and rumors were flying concerning possible fines of \$100 per confiscated can.

But the case was thrown out of court when no criminal violation could be proven, and beer runners across the state were still in the dark concerning the possible consequences of their hobby.

According to Maupin at the ABC office, their fears are overblown. First of all, the limit for interstate beer transport is not one case per person, as some people think. It is 20 gallons per vehicle, which comes out to eight cases and 21 cans of 12 oz. beers.

Violation of the limit is a misdemeanor, and Maupin said that even exaggerated violations such as the one by the Charlotte Lum's would only result in fines from \$25 to \$50 plus court costs. "But, of course, we take away all of the beer and pour it down the drain," Maupin added.

In the case of marginal violations such as the Burlington man's, Maupin said the punishment "depends on the judge. In less liberal areas than Chapel Hill and Charlotte you might get a harsher punishment. But we wouldn't try to bust anyone for just being a little over the limit. I would hope for every man we have (the State ABC Board)

that some states limit the percentage to 3.2, and North Carolina "could very well be one of those states."

Dooley, back at "Ma Collins," said flatly that Virginia has "6.4" and North Carolina has "3.2."

Maupin, at the ABC office in Raleigh, settled the question of North Carolina's percentage when he said that state law limits N.C. beer to 5 per cent alcohol. But he still couldn't solve the ultimate question about extra-punch beer from elsewhere. "I don't know, I think Virginia has '6.4' beer, but I'm really not sure."

A call to the Schlitz brewery in Winston-Salem produced only a reluctance to talk about the matter.

"Joseph Schlitz Brewing Company."

"Yes, I'm from the *Daily Tar Heel* and I'd like to know about the alcohol content of Schlitz brewed for North Carolina and other states."

"Just a minute sir." Pause... "Sir? I'm sorry, you'll have to write a letter to Mr. Bach, that's our policy."

"But I only have a few questions. The story isn't just about Schlitz either."


"Just a minute sir." Pause... "Sir? I'm sorry, Mr. Bach says you'll have to write him a letter."

A spokesman for Lamb (beer) Distributing Company in Durham was not much help either. "I don't know, I think it's stronger in other states."

Finally, Anita Isley, an employee of Ace (beer) Distributing Co. in Durham, apparently solved the big mystery.

"The state law limits beer to 5 per cent alcohol," she said. "Only military beer is 3.2 per cent, and it is marked on the can. There isn't any 6.4 beer. People in Virginia like to tell you that story, but it's not true. We get a lot of our beer from Virginia, Ohio and Florida—all over—but it's all the same."

*Editor's note: For those of you who are too lazy to drive to Danville for Stroh's or to Washington for Coors, the Daily Tar Heel has conducted a price survey of the standard beers at 14 stores in Chapel Hill, which will appear Tuesday.*



UNC student Dan Hayes evidently did most of his beer collecting outside of North Carolina.

would be reasonable."

Perhaps the most debated issue among N.C. beer drinkers is the question of "6.4" beer. Most in-staters, today and in the past, think the beer they drink is 3.2 per cent alcohol, while their friends from the north slap them on the back and tell them about the "high octane stuff" from across the state line, supposedly 6.4 per cent alcohol.

While tracking down the truth of this matter, one encounters countless variations and twists on the theme of North Carolina's "weak beer."

Lahanne, from the Stroh's office in Detroit, said that most beers are about 6 per cent alcohol, but

## New Carmichael seating raises capacity by 900

by Jim Thomas  
Sports Editor

Plans calling for the addition of 900 seats to Carmichael Auditorium have been approved, with construction scheduled to begin June 5. Moyer Smith, assistant athletic director of business, said Friday.

"It was a critical situation with the number of seats in Carmichael Auditorium," Smith said of the decision to replace 6,617 permanent seats with contour, aluminum, back-supported seats, similar to those in the upper deck of the south side of Kenan Stadium.

Carmichael holds 8,200 people and has faced a demand for twice that number of tickets to most home basketball games since its construction in 1965.

"There was a need for immediate relief for both the students and members of the Educational Foundation who contribute to make scholarships available," Smith said.

The additional 900 seats will increase the capacity of Carmichael to a "legitimate 9,100," according to Smith, with a two-inch reduction of space per seat accounting for the difference.

"It doesn't seem like that much but the result is you pick up about two seats per row," Smith explained. "We plan to give one-half to students and the other half will be divided up between faculty and contributors." The new seats will raise the student total from 3,200 to 3,650.

The replacement of the permanent seats, which was recommended by Smith and approved by a joint committee of athletic, faculty, student and general administration representatives in early March, will cost \$62,000. Financing will be provided by that portion of the athletic budget designated for "Planning Improvements and Facility Repairs."

South Carolina Seating Co. was selected to handle the construction after the committee received bids from several different companies. Work will begin in the first week of June after the Olympic basketball trials, and will be completed by August 20 before students return for the fall semester.

"Some people have expressed concern about the looks and the effect on recruiting," Smith said, "but when you can give 900 more people a chance to watch Carolina basketball that justifies the decision."

"This is in keeping with our philosophy of serving the student interest. When you have 450 more students for 10 (home) games that makes a big difference."

Smith added that "two years from now no one will remember what type of seats we had. There

won't be that much difference in comfort other than not having an arm rest. No one has complained about the seats in Kenan Stadium and they have to sit over there for about three hours...in hot and cold weather. A basketball game is only 60 per cent as long."

Asked about the possibility of enlarging Carmichael to accommodate the increasing demand for basketball tickets, Smith said, "We have been exploring that for a long time but we don't know structurally if it can be done or to what extent. It's still in the thought stage."

A basketball facility in the Triangle Area with a seating capacity of 20-25,000 has also been discussed. The proposed coliseum would be a modified version of the air-supported stadium used by the National Football League's Detroit

Lions in Pontiac, Mich. "It's really big now," said Smith, who attended a facilities conference last week sponsored by the National Collegiate Athletic Association. "It's made great strides and saves a whole lot of money. Conventional construction is too prohibitive." Conventional construction costs \$20 million; an air-supported structure cost \$10 million.

But the biggest single need is for a new dressing facility and weight room for the football team. Smith said, "Our fieldhouse is the poorest facility in the Atlantic Coast Conference." Construction of a \$3 million, 5,000-seat addition to Kenan Stadium, which will include an equipment room, locker rooms, a training room, a steam room and football lecture rooms underneath the structure will begin this summer.

## Trustees OK plans for women's facility

by Merton Vance  
Staff Writer

The UNC Board of Trustees gave its approval Friday to building plans for a \$5.3 million women's gym and intramural sports facility on the site of the Tin Can.

The Tin Can will probably be moved to another location.

Board Chairman Henry A. Foscoe said that the Trustees' action only approved the site of the building. The Trustees approved interim plans for the facility prepared by the Raleigh architectural firm of Dodge and Beckwith. Foscoe said that when final plans for the building are completed, the Board of Trustees will have to approve them before construction plans could begin.

Previous estimates indicate that construction of the new building could begin sometime in 1977.

The new building would be financed out of a \$43.2 million UNC bond package approved by state voters in a March 23 referendum vote.

The Trustees are not sure what to do with the Tin Can, which contains an indoor track and basketball courts. They have considered moving the Tin Can to the east end of Fetzer Field, but they want to see cost estimates on such a plan before they make a final decision concerning the

Tin Can's fate.

If moving the Tin Can is not feasible, the Trustees may decide to construct a new building on Fetzer Field to replace it.

The new gym facility which will be built on the site of the Tin Can will be a 152,000 square-foot structure.

The building is designed to ease severe overcrowding. Woolen Gym was built in 1937-38 and was designed for 5,000 students. The existing women's gym, built in 1942, is designed for only 200 women students. Today there are more than 18,000 students at UNC.

The 1974 N.C. General Assembly authorized \$165,000 in planning funds to design the building, but because of economic conditions the General Assembly was unable to budget enough money to finance construction of the gym and other projects on 13 of the 16 campuses in the University system.

Because money could not be budgeted for the new buildings, the legislature voted to hold the bond referendum.

The new gym will be connected to Woolen Gym by a bridge that will pass over the driveway between the two buildings. By connecting the buildings with an overhead bridge, present parking facilities in the area will be preserved.

## Yoder notes privacy problems

by Chip Pearsall  
Staff Writer

Washington Star columnist Ed Yoder said Friday night that the course of publicity and privacy in American life will be affected by the standards adopted by journalists in the future.

"Never before have we demanded so much publicity about the government and privacy for the individual," Yoder said. "As a result, questions of free press vs. fair trial, newsman's privilege and national security have arisen, and since many of these are questions of prudence, there are no fixed answers."

Speaking at the initiation ceremony for the Order of the Golden Fleece in Gerrard Hall, Yoder said that neither privacy nor publicity is absolute. He cited the secret drafting of the United States Constitution as "a suggestion that secrecy is not always unwholesome."

"Today our attitude is that any secret planning by the executive without congressional or public knowledge is out of order, dangerous and should be exposed," Yoder said. The public's view of publicity and privacy tends to be inconsistent, and nobody feels this more than the journalist does, he added.

"Journalism trades in the invasion of privacy. We are a nation of reading and listening, if not



Ed Yoder, Associate Editor of the *Washington Star* speaks at the Order of the Golden Fleece induction ceremony.

peeping toms, and journalism satisfied this need." The journalist's desire to know creates problems for the journalist, however, he said.

"We see it as our duty to throw the spotlight on dark corners of the government, but we also decry the invasion of individual privacy by the

government," Yoder said, and this apparent inconsistency raises uncomfortable ethical questions for journalists.

He referred to Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein's *The Final Days*, an account of former President Richard Nixon's last weeks in office, as a case in which questions of invasion of privacy must be raised.

"*The Final Days* is an extraordinary milestone of journalism but it explores territory that has never been explored before. We have no way of knowing what is fact, fiction or a blend of both, since the authors do not footnote their material. Suppose the material is inaccurate?"

"This book isn't history, it's gossip, and the authority of gossip is the person who passes it along. We have nobody's word but the authors," Yoder said. "There is the lurking sense of intrusions of privacy."

He said that recent charges of predatory journalism—the idea that good journalism knows no privacy—by authors such as Jane Morris may be alarmist, but raise disturbing questions nevertheless.

"The habit of respecting privacy in the future will depend on the standards adopted by journalism concerning itself," Yoder concluded. "It is a complicated vision that we have only begun to explore."

Yoder, a 1956 graduate of UNC, was co-editor of the *Daily Tar Heel* and a member of the Order of the Golden Fleece.

## Finance committee sends budget for CGC approval

Includes \$24,631 balance

by Mary Anne Rhyme  
Staff Writer

The Campus Governing Council Finance Committee has completed compiling its 1976-77 budget recommendations and will submit the figures to the entire CGC for approval Thursday.

The recommendation offers \$186,694 in funding to 46 organizations. There is also a recommended unappropriated balance of \$24,631. These suggestions come after a \$111,000 cut from the budgets originally proposed by the clubs.

"A majority of people got less money than they requested this year," Barry Smith, chairman of the committee, said.

"We were unable to fund a lot of worthy organizations because of lack of funds, Smith said. The unappropriated balance was increased from \$9,883 to \$24,631 to help cover expenses the organizations incur and cannot meet during the year.

Smith said there were requests made in 1975-76 for more money than was available. The increased balance was set aside to alleviate this problem in the future.

Many organizations will receive less money than they did last year. Student Government

received \$45,154 in 1975-76 and is recommended to receive \$41,025 this year. Summer programs got \$6,300 last year and may get \$2,352 in 1976-77.

Organizations funded jointly by Student Government and the University got \$29,743 last year and are recommended to receive \$17,519; organizations jointly sponsored by Student Government and the Athletic Department got \$4,983 and are recommended to receive \$3,017; semi-independent organizations got \$79,087 last year and may get \$59,410 this year.

Only the media received an increase from \$47,822 to \$63,371.

Recommendations made to Student Government were: Executive Branch, \$29,185 (requested \$30,038); Legislative Branch, \$1,145 (\$1,155); Judicial Branch, \$2,385 (\$2,755); Resident University Grant and Loan Foundation, \$7,000 (\$14,254); Elections Board, \$660 (\$910); Student Transportation Commission, \$150 (\$150); Speaker's Commission, \$0 (\$3,000); and Central Purchasing and Disbursing, \$500 (\$500).

Organizations sponsored jointly by Student Government and athletics are: Sports Club, \$537 (requested \$902); Carolina Godiva Track Club, \$255 (\$310); Crew Club, \$340 (\$670); Football Club, \$498 (\$770); Ice Hockey Club, \$305 (\$650); Outing Club, \$230 (\$400); Sailing Club, \$190 (\$290); Scuba Club, \$162 (\$314); Surf Club, \$300 (\$455); Volleyball Club, \$200 (\$200); and Shooting Club, \$0 (\$345).

ORGANIZATION	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION		
Student Government	\$49,762	\$41,025	Carolina Gay Association	\$925 \$650
<i>The Alchemist</i>	\$500	\$500	Carolina Indian Circle	\$8,300 \$150
Media Board	\$559	\$544	Fine Arts Festival	\$18,000 \$6,000
Carolina Quarterly	\$3,800	\$2,500	Graduate and Professional	
Cellar Door	\$1,700	\$1,700	Students Federation	\$38,512 \$18,000
<i>Daily Tar Heel</i>	\$85,400	\$53,534	Human Sexuality Information	
WCAR/WXCY	\$6,550	\$5,525	and Counseling Service	\$5,875 \$1,950
<i>Yackety Yack</i>	\$11,032	\$9,068	National Achievement	
Summer YM/YWCA	\$3,375	\$2,352	and Project Uplift	\$2,329 \$1,750
Summer Concerts	\$2,800	\$0	North Carolina Student Legislature	\$1,395 \$1,050
Academic Action Group	\$8,935	\$8,670	Odum Village Board of Aldermen	\$2,825 \$140
Association of International Students	\$1,750	\$500	Resident Housing Association	\$4,700 \$2,500
AIS Exchange Program	\$6,682	\$3,374	Student Consumer Action Union	\$16,582 \$12,475
Individuals Events Team	\$5,000	\$750	Toronto Exchange	\$1,150 \$500
Orientation Commission	\$982	\$750	Victory Village Day Care Center	\$3,278 \$2,175
UNC Debate Team	\$4,200	\$3,475	UNC Folklore Club	\$4,486 \$0
Astronomy Club	\$275	\$0	Student International	
Senior Class	\$20,350	\$0	Meditation Society	\$780 \$0
Joint Student Government/Athletics	\$5,817	\$3,017	4-H	\$285 \$0
Association for Women Students	\$5,800	\$3,150	School of Nursing class of 1977	\$180 \$0
Assertive Leadership Training Program	\$420	\$170	Unappropriated Balance	\$24,631
Black Student Movement	\$28,350	\$8,750		