

Today promises to be sunny, with a high of 57. Last night was cold and cloudy, the low temperature in the mid-20s. There is no chance of rain.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893
Monday, November 22, 1976, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Fund the CGA?

The CGA says yes, Bob King says no. Catch all the arguing and term tossing on page 6.

Please call us: 933-0245

Bowl bound:

Saturday everything came up peaches 'n' cream for UNC

by Grant Vosburgh
Sports Editor

The yellow Peach Bowl emblem stitched on the left pocket blended well with the Carolina blue jacket. Although the colors might have clashed slightly earlier in the day, by game's end they seemed perfectly suited.

And according to Frank Spain, the man inside the jacket, the Peach Bowl and the Carolina football team were tailored for each other as well.

"We were going to give a bid to UNC win, lose or draw," the selection committee member said following the Tar Heels' 39-38 win over Duke Saturday. "North Carolina was in whether or not they won."

UNC's victory, which was not assured until Francis Winters intercepted a Blue Devil pass with 20 seconds left, ended a 9-2 season for the team and a remarkable career for tailback Mike Voight.

The senior from Chesapeake, Va. finished with a career high 261 yards on 47 carries for a 5.5 yard average. It gave him a season total of 1,407 yards. His 3,971 career yards place him fifth on the all-time collegiate rushing list. He also scored four touchdowns to break Charlie "Choo-Choo" Justice's career mark of 39. Voight would up with 42 touchdowns.

Bowl tickets on sale

Tickets for the Peach Bowl with North Carolina vs. Kentucky are available beginning at 9 a.m. today at the ticket office in Carmichael Auditorium, Sports Information Director Rick Brewer said Sunday.

All tickets are \$9. Mail-order tickets are available but must be accompanied by an additional \$1.

UNC has been allotted 20,000 tickets for distribution. When the Tar Heels went to the Peach Bowl in 1971, more than 16,000 tickets were sold.

The Peach Bowl game is set for 2:30 p.m. Dec. 13 in Atlanta.

But it was Voight's crucial two-point conversion with 37 seconds remaining that gave UNC the lead for good. The play was an option to the right side of the line, and the Tar Heel quarterback Matt Kupec executed it perfectly, flipping the ball to the railing tailback as the defensive end closed in. Voight went in untouched.

Kupec, who completed seven of 11 passes, engineered the drive that set up the conversion as he moved Carolina 79 yards in only seven plays. The touchdown came on a pass to fellow freshman Billy Johnson from eight yards out.

Head Coach Bill Dooley calmly sipped a soft drink as the victorious locker room celebration rocked the Kenan Field House. Dooley, who has been a much-maligned figure by fans—win or lose, gave all the credit to the Tar Heel players.

"I've not bragged on this team very much this season," he began, "but I'm going to brag on them now."

"I couldn't be prouder of them," he said. "Duke is one heckuva football team, but we've got one heckuva football team."

He praised the play of the Blue Devils' quarterback, Mike Dunn, who rushed for 130 yards on only 17 carries, including four touchdown runs. He also completed seven of 13 passes for 109 yards.

Following the game, Voight said Dunn's sleight-of-hand and fluid running reminded him of a magician.

"Dunn's kind of a magic man," Voight said. "He was making moves that I didn't think were possible for a human being to make. He was working magic out there."

Dunn and tailback Art Gore kept the Carolina offense off balance for most of the game. Their smooth execution of the option offset the Heels' potent ground attack as both teams gained over 370 yards on the ground. Duke finished the game with 460 yards total offense to Carolina's 454.

"It got to be like a footrace," Voight said of the two teams' wide-open rushing war. "Dunn and (Mike) Barney (three carries—for 70 yards) were cracking off those 40 yard runs."



Staff photo by Rouse Wilson

Senior tailback Mike Voight gained 261 yards, scored four touchdowns and added a crucial two-point conversion to lead Carolina to a 39-38 victory over Duke Saturday.

It was Gore, however, who cracked the first such run when he returned the opening kickoff 47 yards to midfield. Within four minutes, the Blue Devils had a 7-0 lead.

Carolina countered with a drive of its own before Voight fumbled on the Duke eight-yard line. The next possession ended with a second Voight fumble on the Blue Devil 23.

"I felt really bad about the fumbles," Voight said. "When it's the last time you're doing something, you want to do it right. And a lot of eyes in the stadium were on me. I just knew I couldn't let the two fumbles get me down."

And they didn't. Voight and the UNC offense racked up 21 second-period points to lead at halftime 21-19. A third-quarter field goal by Duke's Vince Fusco, however, put the Heels down by one going in to the fourth period.

Tom Biddle started off the scoring with a 19-yard chip shot to make the score 24-22, UNC. But a Dunn touchdown again

put the Tar Heels behind. A nine-yard burst by Voight with 5:20 remaining held up until Dunn scored at 2:53. At that juncture, Kupec took over.

"It was a desperate situation," Kupec said. "We needed a couple of big plays because there wasn't much time and we had a lot of yardage to go. When Voight ran in for the extra point—that was a great moment. I went up to the line, and Duke was in a strange defense. But we went ahead and ran the play."

The big play in the drive was questionable pass interference call on Duke's Kirt May when he and Tar Heel split end Walker Lee lunged for a Kupec pass. The penalty covered 34 yards and placed the ball on the Blue Devils' 12-yard line.

"It was a bad pass on my part," Kupec said. "Lee had to come back and get it."

The Tar Heels had been eyed by four bowl committees—the Liberty, the Gator, the Tangerine and the Peach. Only the latter extended an invitation.

Faculty Council tables resolution for bus system

by Laura Scism
Staff Writer

The Faculty Council voted Friday to table a resolution that would have expressed support for continuation of the town bus system.

The resolution, proposed by Faculty Chairperson E. Maynard Adams, stated, "It is the sense of the Faculty Council that the bus system of Chapel Hill should be continued. We would encourage the University administration to work with the city toward that end."

But council member Tom Hall said the council lacked sufficient information to make such a recommendation and moved that the motion be tabled until concerned faculty and students could present specific proposals to the faculty.

He said, however, "This is not a motion for tabling it indefinitely."

Although the voice vote was close, a show of hands revealed that those against tabling the motion were outnumbered by more than 2 to 1.

Adams' resolution amended one proposed earlier by Profs. Earl Siegel and Karl Bauman urging the University administration to develop additional sources of revenue for the town bus system.

Adams said he offered his resolution "in the absence of detailed knowledge on the financial situation of the bus system."

Student Body President Billy Richardson told the council that adoption of Adams' resolution would represent an

acknowledgment of the transportation problem and a desire for its solution.

He said later that a Faculty Council resolution was not necessary to solve the problem, "but I feel it would be very helpful if the faculty did endorse the bus system."

Richardson said he preferred the first resolution but knew the council would not pass it. "They didn't have the information, and in good conscience, they couldn't look at it," he said.

Richardson said Student Government would present findings and suggestions on the transportation problem to the council as soon as possible.

In other business, the council approved a proposal for a new degree program in the School of Public Health. The proposal for a Doctor of Public Health program in nutrition was sent to the Board of Governors for final approval.

The new program would provide advanced training in nutrition, emphasizing community nutrition, and would prepare students to work with agencies such as health departments or nursing homes and also to teach at academic institutions.

Total enrollment in the program would be 12 students each year, and no new faculty would have to be hired.

Adams also announced that one-sixth of the faculty participated in the University Day procession last month. The Faculty Council had passed a resolution in September calling for participation by at least one-third of all faculty.

Archives agency okays law to preserve historic district

by Pete Masterman
Staff Writer

The State Division of Archives and History approved Chapel Hill's historic conservation ordinance, which will now go before the Board of Aldermen for a final decision.

In a letter to the town manager, dated Nov. 9, the state agency approved the ordinance and commended the Chapel Hill Planning Department for its efforts.

The Board of Aldermen is scheduled to review the ordinance Dec. 13.

If approved, it will create an Historic Conservation District following property lines along East Rosemary, East Franklin, Hillsborough, Boundary and North streets.

A nine-member Historic District Commission would be organized to regulate construction within the area to ensure that alterations to property would be harmonious with the character of the district.

Property owners would be prohibited from altering the exterior of structures in the district without acquiring a Certificate of Appropriateness from the

commission. The ordinance would not prohibit maintenance of existing buildings, provided that no major changes were made.

The State Division of Archives and History requested the aldermen to amend the ordinance to allow the commission at least 45 days to act on applications for building changes within the district.

The original ordinance set a 90-day limit for the Historic District Commission to act on requests for Certificates of Appropriateness.

According to Alderman R. D. Smith, the board believed that 90 days would be a burden to home owners in the district and reduced the time limit to 30 days before sending the plan to the state Division of Archives and History for review.

Smith said that he believed 45 days was an appropriate time limit. He said that he did not want to second guess the board's actions but said he believed the ordinance would be approved.

Aldermen Ed Vickery and Shirley Marshall said they would vote against the ordinance if the time limit exceeded 30 days.

Aldermen may cut funds from transit budget

by Jeff Cohen
Staff Writer

The Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen may decide to cut \$186,720 of the town's revenue sharing funds from the transit system budget, Transportation Board Chairperson Terry Lathrop said Sunday.

In the 1976-77 budget, the \$186,720 represented 43 per cent of the town's total revenue sharing of \$425,100.

Lathrop said the decision to cut the federal funds from the transit system budget would force the board either to find new sources of revenue or decrease service.

He added that the board will be forced to decrease service unless the University decides to appropriate more money to the transit system.

Lathrop also said that the Chapel Hill Chamber of Commerce has created an impartial five-man committee to study the town's transit system. The study, which will be completed this spring, will

assist the Chamber of Commerce in making a recommendation to the board about funding the bus system.

The Transportation Committee will meet Tuesday night with the intention of drafting a proposal to be presented to the Board of Aldermen, according to Alderman Jonathan Howes. At the

meeting, the committee will also discuss various methods of cutting service.

"It is fair to assume that some cuts in service will be proposed," Howes said.

Howes said that such alternatives include the elimination of certain routes, either partially or entirely, and the reduction of service during non-

peak hours.

Howes said he personally hoped to see the expansion of service to student apartment dwellers both in Carrboro and on Highway 54.

Such a move, according to Howes, would bring additional patronage and more fares.

Residents to sue if Tri-Delt permit okayed

Rosemary Street residents will take legal action against the town of Chapel Hill if a special-use permit for property at 407 E. Franklin St. is approved by the Board of Aldermen, a resident of the area said Sunday.

"We have no real desire to go to court, but if it's the last resort, we will," said Carolista Baum of 515 E. Rosemary St., who has directed efforts of residents opposed to granting the permit, requested by Delta Delta Delta (Tri-Delt) sorority.

Baum said she would speak against granting the permit at the board's meeting tonight.

Town Manager Kurt Jenne will recommend approval of the request at that meeting. The Planning Board voted earlier this month to recommend approval.

Tri-Delt, now located at 210 Pittsboro St., plans to convert the three houses on the Franklin Street property into a sorority residence for 45 members. The structures are now used as apartment and boarding houses.

Plans for the new property include the addition of a 3,000-square-foot dining room and kitchen facility. A 25-car parking lot would also be allowed if the aldermen approve the permit.

Some area residents have opposed the

special-use permit because they fear the sorority would increase traffic and change the character of the neighborhood. The property is located in the Chapel Hill Historic Conservation District.

Jenne said the planning staff believed traffic in the area would not increase significantly if the permit were approved. He also said the Appearance Commission did not oppose the permit.

—Laura Scism

Object to tree removal, traffic

Protesters picket steakhouse

by Chuck Alston
Staff Writer

A light drizzle Sunday night failed to thwart the efforts of 10 protesters picketing the new Western Sizzlin' Steakhouse at 324 W. Rosemary St.

The restaurant opened for business Friday, and area residents made good their promise to man picket lines in protest of the steakhouse's environmental impact on the area. The pickets are urging prospective patrons to boycott the restaurant.

The residents of the Rosemary Street-Amity Court location near the steakhouse are upset with the removal of several 100-year-old trees felled during construction and the traffic problems created by the restaurant's patrons.

Happy Thanksgiving

Today will be the final day of publication for the *Daily Tar Heel* before Thanksgiving break. Publication will resume Monday, Nov. 29, with a special basketball edition.

Oscar Cantrell, manager of the restaurant, said that eight to 10 people have manned the picket line during the lunch and supper hours since Friday.

"If anything, the pickets have helped business, because of the publicity," Cantrell said.

Jim Henderson, leaser and general contractor of the restaurant, said last month "There is a city ordinance which requires that a five-foot sidewalk accompany any new construction in the central business district where we are located. We had to cut the trees down to build the sidewalk."

Several pickets said that although the restaurant has complied with city ordinances, measures taken by the builders to screen their houses from car lights and traffic are inadequate.

Jesse Robertson, a resident of the area, said the town ordinance calls for builders to either construct a six-and-one-half-foot fence, or to plant bushes or trees which will attain this height within two years to screen residents from business areas.

Tom Shewey, one of the pickets, said, "The lights from the cars at night hit our houses. The bushes plante aren't enough to prevent it."

According to Robertson, the fire lane is

inadequate although it was approved by the fire inspector.

Robertson said that he felt that the children are not sufficiently protected from the traffic hazards created during rush hours.

"When the building inspector was out here for the hearing, he seemed very unsympathetic toward the children," Robertson said. "We need better city planning and a building inspector that looks out for the residents."

Pickets carrying signs, "Boycott Sizzlin'," "And the asphalt jungle grows," "Why can't we have business and trees" and other slogans, said that they believed that the protest was having an effect on some people. "Some people have left, and it also has an impact on people who drive by," Shewey said.

John Howell, a picket, said, "We're not out to get Western Sizzlin'. Our main purpose is to get publicity and to make people aware of the ideas that we support."

Literature distributed by the pickets read, "By boycotting this restaurant, you can serve notice to other prospective businesses that neglect of the town environment will not be condoned and will also be bad for such business."



Staff photo by Rouse Wilson

Residents of the Amity Court-Rosemary Street area braved the rain Sunday night to man picket lines in front of the new Western Sizzlin' Steakhouse. Upset by the removal of several

100-year old trees and by traffic problems, the pickets urged a boycott of the restaurant.