

Increasing cloudiness

It will be cloudy today with a high of 46. The overnight low was 28. There is a chance of snow tonight.

The Daily Tar Heel

Reeves and Dieter

Emy Reeves and Frank Dieter perform in Deep Jonah at 8:30 tonight. Cover charge, \$1.

Volume No. 84, Issue No. 84

Serving the students and the University community since 1893
Thursday, January 27, 1977, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Please call us: 933-0245

Deacs' rally stuns Heels in 67-66 win

by Gene Upchurch
Assistant Sports Editor

What can you say? With nine seconds left in its basketball game against Wake Forest Wednesday night in Carmichael Auditorium, North Carolina had a chance to win. With no seconds left, North Carolina had lost the game by one point, 67-66.

A last-second shot by Carolina's Walter Davis that would have made Carolina the victor bounced off the rim as the clock ran out and sent the Tar Heels to the lockerroom very frustrated and the Wake Demon Deacons back to Winston-Salem very elated. Carolina was ranked third in the nation before the game. Carolina's record now drops to 13-3, 5-2 in the Atlantic Coast conference, while Wake moves to 15-2 and 5-1 and into the conference lead.

Carolina led the entire game—by as much as 15 points early in the second half—until Wake caught up and jumped ahead 61-60 with four minutes to go in the game. Carolina had gone to the four-corners offense with nine minutes to go, and Wake had slowly chipped away at the Tar Heels' seemingly safe lead. Carolina had a chance to put the game out of reach of the persistent Deacons when UNC reserve forward Bruce Buckley was fouled with 36 seconds to go. But, he missed two free throws and Wake took control.


Carolina's Phil Ford fouled out when he was called for blocking Wake's Jerry Schellenberg after Schellenberg collided with him on a drive. Schellenberg connected on both free shots, and all that remained was Davis' missed attempt.

"We have had similar comebacks," Wake Coach Carl Tacy said after the game, "but I don't think any of them can compare with this. We beat a great team. I don't know of many that can come in here and beat Carolina. We did all we wanted to do to defend the four corners and contain it."

Wake forward Rod Griffin led all scorers with 21 points. Ford led Carolina scorers with 19 points while Davis put in 18 and Tommy LaGarde 16. Griffin also led the rebounding with 12 while LaGarde pulled down 11.

Smith said Wake must be favored to win the conference now, and said he was impressed with Wake's almost flawless second half.

"They weren't throwing the ball away as much as they did in the first half against our pressure," Smith said.



Staff photo by Allen Jennings

The game's big men battle under the boards for a rebound during first-half action Wednesday night in Carmichael Auditorium. Wake Forest's Larry Harrison (52) and UNC's Tommy LaGarde (45) and Bruce Buckley (40) fight for the

ball while Wake's Rod Griffin (32) watches. The Demon Deacons edged the Tar Heels 67-66 for the victory and sole possession of the conference lead.

Board considers adding Carrboro to bus system

by Mary Anne Rhyne
Staff Writer

The Carrboro Board of Aldermen voted Tuesday to set up a committee for studying the extension of bus service to Carrboro.

The proposal would involve joint funding by the towns of Carrboro and Chapel Hill and the University.

Alderman Ernest Patterson asked for a committee to look at the cost of such a system, and the level of service it could provide.

Patterson said he introduced the proposal because he had found several Chapel Hill and University representatives interested in discussing such a service.

"Carrboro has one-third the population and one-fifth the tax money of Chapel Hill, so it's not a rich town," Patterson said. "We can't spend as much total or per capita on the system in Carrboro."

Patterson said Tuesday the question will be whether the University and Chapel Hill will be willing to help pay for the bus service.

"With a united front I think we may be able to get it (extended service)," Patterson said.

He estimates that 3,000 to 5,000 University students live in Carrboro,

and that 80 to 85 per cent of the town's population is directly involved with the University as a student or employee.

"I don't think it's fair for the University to help support a system in Chapel Hill and not one in Carrboro. It's not fair," Patterson said.

UNC Vice Chancellor for Business and Finance Claiborne S. Jones said the University would have to consider what its resources would permit it to spend on such a system and then consider what advantage the extension would be to the University.

The school can only use parking fees to finance such a system. State funds cannot be used for public transportation costs.

Jones said the traffic and parking advisory committee which is composed of students, faculty members and nonfaculty employees will help him in negotiations.

He told the *Chapel Hill Newspaper* Wednesday that, "If Chapel Hill comes to us with a proposal that has a price, and if we think the proposal is sound and in the interest, we may consider it."

The city of Carrboro turned down a bond referendum last April to finance a bus system in that town. Patterson said its failure was due to the absence of student voters. The election was held during spring break.

CGC to get plan giving DTH quasi-financial independence

by Toni Gilbert
and Karen Millers
Staff Writers

A proposal that would grant the *Daily Tar Heel* quasi-independence from budget control by Student Government (SG) will be presented to the Campus Governing Council (CGC) today.

If the proposal is passed by the CGC, it will be submitted for approval by the student body in the Feb. 9 elections.

Under the provisions of the proposal, the *Daily Tar Heel* would be funded by at least 16 per cent of student activities fees. The 16 per cent figure is based on the 1976-77 appropriation by CGC. While the *Daily Tar Heel* would not have to submit a yearly budget to the CGC for approval, it would remain responsible to SG and to the Student Activities Fund Office.

Alan Murray, editor of the *Daily Tar Heel*, explained that the change would result in a separation of press and government that does not exist now.

"Under the present system the *Tar Heel* cannot comment on the budget proceedings of Student Government because it is involved in them," Murray said. "The budget is the most important

activity of Student Government. As a result, the *Tar Heel* is unable to evaluate Student Government and serve as an independent paper."

Murray also said that this system of financing would not affect student input to the paper because the editor is elected by the students, nor would it result in increased student fees.

He added that since the *Daily Tar Heel* is a large business, unlike most organizations funded by SG, it requires a different budgeting system.

Student Body President Billy Richardson said he firmly supports the change.

"The proposal will prevent the *Daily Tar Heel* from being over-influenced by Student Government," Richardson said.

He added that the proposal would help the paper financially and would

give it "a stronger sense of editorial freedom that the paper hasn't enjoyed in years."

In addition to new budgeting procedures, the proposal would establish a new board of directors for the *Daily Tar Heel*, removing it from the jurisdiction of the Media Board.

"The Media Board is ineffective as a board of directors," Murray said. He explained that the *Daily Tar Heel* is too big a business for the already overloaded Media Board to handle.

The responsibilities of the new board of directors would include:

- making business policy decisions and establishing operating procedures;
- approving the *Daily Tar Heel's* budget;
- providing annual reports to SG; and

• answering formal SG inquiries concerning operation policies, exclusive of editorial policy.

The board would consist of seven members initially appointed by the Media Board. Additional appointments would be made by the board itself. The board would include:

- three students appointed for one-year terms, one each by the *Daily Tar Heel* editor, the student body president and the Media Board chairperson;
- one graduate student from the business school appointed for a two-year term;
- two faculty members, one from the journalism school and one from the business school, appointed for staggered three-year terms; and
- one individual from the community appointed for a two-year term.

Opponents lambast ERA

by Charlene Havnaer
and Laura Scism
Staff Writers

RALEIGH—Opponents of the Equal Rights Amendment (ERA) stressed its negative effects on the traditional family, the military and the powers of state government as they addressed members of the N.C. House Constitutional Amendments Committee Wednesday.

They said they feared the amendment because they did not know how future Supreme Courts would interpret its provisions.

Anti-ERA forces spoke at the first of two public hearings on ERA. Proponents will speak today at 3:30 p.m. in the legislative auditorium.

Thirty-eight states must ratify the amendment by 1979 for it to become law. Indiana became the 35th state by approving it last week.

ERA critics said passage of the amendment would threaten the family unit by forcing mothers to work outside the home. "If a man decides he doesn't want to work, this men's liberation amendment would force women to put their children in a day care center and go to work," Mrs. D. L. Anderson of Raleigh said. "Women need their labor laws."

Andrew J. Gatsis of Rocky Mount, a

retired brigadier general, emphasized the effects of women in the military on both the women and the nation's security. He said women were not physically capable of combat duty.

"We have the welfare of our country to think about as well as the welfare of our women," Gatsis said. "Don't do this to our women and our national security."

Other ERA opponents criticized Section 2 of the amendment, which gives Congress "power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article."

"It is a surrender of state rights to the federal government," said Dorothy M. Slade, chairperson of N.C. Stop ERA. "It is a blank check on the Constitution."

Mrs. Jane Fonville of Wilmington agreed. "We tried it with the schools, honey, and now the federal government is running our schools for us."

But future interpretations of the amendment worried many of its opponents. "The amendment is so comprehensive and nondefinitive," Mrs. Shaula Thomas of Durham said. "Can you or anyone determine what would happen if we open this Pandora's Box, as it's been called?"

She noted that the only way to reverse the amendment would be to pass another one, a process that has been completed only once in U.S. history.

A few years ago candidates in student elections could spend an unlimited amount of money on their campaigns. And those who had more could plaster the campus with posters and flyers, buying a decided edge over their opponents.

The Campus Governing Council changed things in Dec. 1974 by adding a spending limit act to the General Elections Law. The act held maximum campaign expenditures for the offices of student body president and *Daily Tar Heel* editor to \$250.

Candidates for other offices were limited to lesser amounts.

Two years later, the limits are the same for editor and president, as are most other limits. But local printers say that inflation in the printing industry has

lowered the buying power of those limits by as much as 20 per cent.

"The latest word was that a major portion of the printing industry raised their prices effective Jan. 3, 1977, by 6 to 8 per cent," said Steve Gould, general manager of Student Graphics.

He explained that this increase is in the actual cost of the paper, which is transferred immediately to the retail customer. This means that an order which cost \$50 last year now costs \$54. Coupling this with the usual profit increase, Gould said, the inflation rate has been pushed much higher.

"If Student Graphics itself were to keep pace with the inflationary trend over the past year, we would probably have raised our rates by 21 per cent," he said.

Gould said Student Graphics has not passed on costs at this rate because it essentially has a non-profit motive.

He said Student Graphics has been subsidizing the candidates in such things as consultation fees and charges based on the time spent on a job. They have handled the printing for about half the candidates in the spring elections.

"Given the limit that they have, most candidates cannot afford to do what they want to do," Gould said. "Sometimes the price limitation hampers the effectiveness of the campaign."

Gould suggested that another \$50 might make the difference in creating a successful campaign.

"You don't have any alternative other than to build your own printing mill," he said.

Lawrence said that the \$250 limit should perhaps be doubled.

In spite of this, the Elections Board has not

Please turn to page 3.

When a body needs a body

Abra cadaver; few grateful dead

by Will Jones
Staff Writer

Myths and jokes about medical school cadavers are perennial, but the task of acquiring them is no laughing matter.

Schools like UNC depend upon people bequeathing their bodies for study after death. That means med schools rarely have a surplus of cadavers, for many potential donors disavow the idea of being studied...ever. Families may oppose the idea, or the donor may change his mind on his deathbed.

Recently, the University of Virginia experienced a shortage of cadavers, and the news was carried by the wire services. What did the school do? Drag in corpses of skid row bums? Organize senior citizen marathon contests with grand prizes if they would just sign a little form?

Such are the jokes that pervade any

discussion of bequeathals, possibly revealing the unease felt by anyone who considers the idea. That points to one reason there are shortages of cadavers—people put off such decisions the same way they put off making wills.

Most schools keep cadavers for approximately one year. At the end of that period the bodies are cremated, and the ashes are sent back to the family upon request.

The North Carolina General Statutes cover the process of bequeathal under the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act. The act states, "Any individual of sound mind and 18 years of age or more may give all or any part of his body for any purpose specified...the gift to take effect upon death."


A person's body may be bequeathed in a will or on a form provided by a medical school. Any last wishes of the deceased will be respected in regards to the uses of organs and body.

UNC's medical school recommends that the family of the donor be consulted before bequeathing the body. A family's objection is not legally binding, but considerable grief may arise in such a situation.

A funeral service may be held if the body is bequeathed, and the medical school will pay the costs of transporting the body after the service. If the body is to be removed directly after death, the medical school will also pay the cost of embalming.

Myths are common about the process of acquiring cadavers, and a prime one is that medical schools will pay a person to bequeath his body. This is false, as is the belief that a medical student can work on a cadaver from his hometown.

"We don't want a situation where some student would work on a person's body from his hometown," a UNC med school spokesperson said. "You could see him go back home and say, 'Hey, you remember Old Man Brown? He's my cadaver at school.'"



Cadavers are the mainstay of instruction at most medical schools. This example, though staged, could easily be

mistaken for a kindly donor.

Staff photo by Bruce Clark