

Segregating the students

Bill Bayliss, a member of the Chapel Hill Planning Board, has proposed that the town reword its zoning ordinance to define a family as "one person, two unrelated persons or two or more persons related by blood, marriage, legal adoption or guardianship and occupying a single family dwelling."

Webster would undoubtedly quarrel with this definition. University students should quarrel with it as well. Its effect is to keep groups of students from renting houses in areas zoned for "single family dwellings." Bayliss says that he is concerned that groups of students who can afford to divide rent among themselves will take over housing needed by low-income families. What he fails to recognize is that students are also frequently "low-income," and are affected by the trend of higher rent just as other segments of the community.

If Bayliss' proposal is accepted, it might interfere not only with students, but also with families desiring to rent out rooms in their houses to unrelated persons. Bayliss suggests that a special provision could be put into the ordinance to allow such families to rent rooms. But in Bayliss' proposal, a single student qualifies as a "family," and therefore could, under such a provision, rent a house and then sublet it to friends. Any such provision would probably be exceedingly difficult to enforce.

Segregating the students from the rest of the town with exclusionary zoning law will not serve the interests of the town or the students. We certainly hope the town will decide against using its zoning ordinance to achieve this segregation.

The Daily Tar Heel

84th Year of Editorial Freedom

Joni Peters
Managing Editor

Gregory Nye
Associate Editor

Dan Fesperman
News Editor

Merrill Rose
Arts and Entertainment

Thomas Ward
Features and Freelance

Grant Vosburgh
Sports Editor

Charles Hardy
Photography Editor

Rob Rosiello
Wire Editor

Alan Murray
Editor

Greg Porter
Editor-Elect

letters to the editor

'Chairperson' violates parliamentary law?

To the editor:

I plead with my favorite campus newspaper to give up the usage "chairperson" and go back the the first principles so well stated by the National Association of Parliamentarians:

"Whereas, Parliamentary Law has a language all its own; and

"Whereas, In olden times the one presiding was the only person provided with a chair, while other sat on benches, hence he was called the Chairman; and

"Whereas, No parliamentary law authority or dictionary recognizes the word "Chairperson"; and

"Whereas, Since time immemorial the term "Mister Chairman" or "Madam Chairman" has always been employed to differentiate between sexes; and

"Whereas, Further effort toward sex differentiation is redundant and contrived; now therefore be it

Resolved: That organizations and parliamentarians of the National Association of Parliamentarians must use the term *Chairman* instead of "Chairperson" and be it **Resolved:** That all N.A.P. members should habitually stress the principle that the work Chairman belongs to the title of the office the same as the title of President or Secretary."

—From *The Trident*
(of Delta, Delta, Delta sorority,
Winter, 1977)

Roy R. Kuebler, Jr.
Professor Emeritus

Tar Heel fans praised

To the editor:

As an alumnus of UNC, I was interested in a mimeographed memo that was distributed to the Wake Forest crowd at the Wake Forest-N.C. State basketball game in Winston-Salem Saturday night. The letter read as follows:

"What is the difference between an ACC basketball game in Winston-Salem as opposed to one in Chapel Hill? This question can be answered in two words: 'The Fans.' Granted, Carmichael holds more than the Winston-Salem Coliseum. Why though is the average Carolina fan more vocal than the average WFU student? Could this be due to the success of the team? In past years you could have used this as an



"PARDON ME, IS THIS THE OFFICES OF THE ... NICE SHOT ... HOUSE ASSASSINATIONS COMMITTEE?"

excuse, but this year you cannot! The fans in Chapel Hill are true blue, but fans at Wake Forest cannot truthfully claim such loyalty. The vocal support of the fans can be both an offensive and defensive weapon, but during the games this year in Winston-Salem, this has not been the case. The past Clemson game was a perfect example. If Carolina was down by three at the half, their fans would make it a point to get their team fired up. The Deacon fans didn't attempt to show any emotion until their team finally tied the score. Simple clapping of the hands and the chanting of "defense" motivates a team. These have been nonexistent at Wake games whether the fans realize it or not. Also, if Carolina had lost such a game, it would have been "We lost" instead of "They lost" (the attitude that most Wake fans take after such a loss.)

The Deacs are No. 1 in the ACC, but their fans are No. 7. Let's face it, you aren't deserving of a team of such quality! You may think we are too harsh comparing you to Carolina fans. We don't think so. If we can beat Carolina in basketball, surely we can beat them in

support! Deacon Fans, you have three more chances to prove us wrong. Do it!"

The letter was signed by "a group of concerned Deacon fans." Looks like word gets around about Tar Heel fans. Congratulations.

George Howard
382 I Country Club Rd.
Winston-Salem

It's good to give it away

To the editor:

To the three mimes in the Pit on Tuesday: thanks once again for bringing some wonder into my day. We are each a wonder, and it is good to give it away, a gift to whomever will accept it.

Mike Newton
210 Weaver Rd., Apt. 3

Give us a replay

To the editor:

A petty beef—there are no replays given on pinball machines in Chapel

Hill, only extra balls. Some bars say it's because of a local ordinance against activities akin to gambling. City Hall says they know of none. Others say it's a state law, but replays are given in other parts of the state. Does the distributor set the machines? He never answered.

The poor variety of machines in this town is one thing to contend with. But replays? Is it too much to ask to have that small, sporadic thrill of accomplishment with a glass of beer?

P. R. Raiford
325 Barclay Rd.

The *Daily Tar Heel* welcomes letters to the editor. Letters must be typed, double spaced, on a 60-space line and are subject to editing for libelous content or bad taste.

Letters that run over 25 lines (150 words) are subject to condensation. Letters should be mailed to the editor, *Daily Tar Heel*, Carolina Union.

Unsigned or initialed columns on this page represent the opinion of the *Daily Tar Heel*. Signed columns or cartoons represent the opinion of the individual contributor only.

HAPPY BIRTHDAY BLIMPIE!



Four years old today! To celebrate, we offer today's special coupon. To celebrate further look for weekly coupons in the TAR HEEL every Monday.

NEW HOURS

Sun., Mon. - 11:00 a.m.-9:30 p.m.
Tues.-Thurs. 11 a.m. to Midnight
Fri. and Sat. 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m.

Sun., Mon. 11 a.m. to 9:30 p.m.

Coupon

Good through Sun., Feb. 20

BLIMPIE **50¢** off

any purchase of a sandwich/beverage combination

dth 2/17

Good only in Chapel Hill