

Today will be cloudy and cold, with the high near 44 and the low near 20. There is a slight chance of snow Tuesday, with temperatures dropping to the upper 30s.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

A public forum will be held tonight to discuss a thoroughfare plan which calls for the expansion of some Chapel Hill streets and the destruction of at least one fraternity house. See story on page 4.

Carolina rises from dead to trounce Virginia, 71-54

Ford paces second-half rampage

By GENE UPCHURCH
Sports Editor

Carolina's defeating Virginia Saturday in another one of those big ACC basketball games wasn't exactly like Leon Spinks' defeating Muhammad Ali in a world heavyweight fight, but the implications are similar.

The Tar Heels, with all the odds against them because of injuries and NCAA rulings, rallied behind Phil Ford to stun the comatose Cavaliers 71-54. Carolina now is 8-2 in the ACC, ahead of Duke at 7-3.

The Tar Heels overcame pregame suggestions that they were a has-been, that the season for them was over. Mike O'Koren and Rich Yonakor were out with injuries for the Virginia game; O'Koren should be back for N.C. State Thursday while Yonakor is out for the season. Big Geoff Crompton is sidelined until the ACC tournament because he violated an NCAA rule while he was out of school in 1976 when he played in four recreation league games.

But, as Virginia discovered Saturday, Carolina did anything but drop back

into a corner and try to go the distance. The Tar Heels combined an intense defense with an offense that went from passive to intensive.

Virginia opened the game in a zone defense, the kind that has bothered the Tar Heels all season. The first 12 minutes of the game were deliberate and low-scoring, with both teams taking sure shots and trying to work the ball inside. Then, with 7:24 left in the first half and Carolina ahead 18-15, the Tar Heels went into its four corner stall to try to pull the Cavs out of the zone. Virginia's slower squad decided not to try to force the action and concentrated on preventing an easy shot off the stall. Carolina jumped ahead as Jeff Wolf missed two free throws with four seconds left and Ford threw in the loose ball as time ran out.

"I didn't see any need in chasing them at that point," Virginia coach Terry Holland said. "Down by three, we didn't want to give them anything easy."

The slowdown apparently lulled the Cavaliers to sleep. The Cavs lapsed into a six-minute scoring drought soon after

the second-half opened, while the Tar Heels ran off 10 straight. Ford began an unrelenting second-half scoring attack that included 22 of his 30 points, gliding through the Cavalier defense like they were third graders on the school yard.

"You have just witnessed one of the finest performances by an individual ever," UNC coach Dean Smith said of the senior's game. "Offense, defense, leadership and passing. He did everything."

The Tar Heels poured it on as the game progressed, trying to convince themselves that, despite injuries and hardship, they could be effective and eventually led by as much as 20 points late in the game.

The absence of O'Koren and Yonakor on the Tar Heel frontline and Crompton backing them up with his imposing 6-foot-11, 300-pound influence was not obvious, considering the play of two-thirds of Virginia's frontline. Marc Iavaroni and Steve Castellani, one starting forward and the center, didn't score, despite Iavaroni's playing 35 minutes and Castellani eight. Forwards Al Wood and Dudley Bradley and center Jeff Wolf were charged with making up the difference on the frontline for Carolina. The three combined for 15 points, and Wolf had

VIRGINIA	M	FG	FT	R	A	TP
Owens	38	3-9	6-10	6	1	12
Iavaroni	35	0-3	0-0	3	0	0
Castellani	8	0-0	0-0	2	0	0
Lamp	39	10-19	2-7	2	22	22
Stokes	31	0-0	1-2	3	1	1
Raker	26	3-7	1-2	1	1	7
Hicks	12	3-3	2-2	2	2	8
Briscoe	3	0-0	0-0	0	0	0
Jefferson	4	2-3	0-0	0	0	4
Schettick	4	0-1	0-0	0	0	0
Totals	200	21-45	12-18	9	54	

CAROLINA	M	FG	FT	R	A	TP
Wood	23	2-4	0-0	7	1	4
Bradley	23	2-3	0-0	3	0	4
Wolf	36	2-3	3-6	10	0	7
Zallagiris	34	4-10	3-6	5	2	11
Ford	37	9-17	12-14	3	30	30
Virgil	13	3-3	3-5	1	1	9
Stokes	16	1-1	0-0	1	0	2
Colascott	12	0-1	2-2	0	0	2
Doughton	3	0-0	2-2	0	0	2
Wiel	2	0-1	0-0	1	1	0
Pepper	1	0-0	0-0	0	0	0
Totals	200	23-42	25-36	30	8	71

VIRGINIA	15-39	-54
UNC	20-51	-71
Fouled out: Owens, Iavaroni (Va.)		
A - 10,000.		



Ford soars

Phil Ford gets two of his game-high 30 points on this layup Saturday against Virginia. The injury-riddled Tar Heels picked up a crucial ACC win, 71-54. Staff photo by Scott Johnston.

Council reverses 'ratting' position

By JACI HUGHES
Staff Writer

The Faculty Council reversed itself Friday and voted to remove the so-called rat clause from the Instrument of Student Judicial Governance.

By a vote of 29 to 20, the council accepted a proposal striking from the Instrument the rat clause phrase, "and which requires the student to report any violations of which he has knowledge."

The proposal added the phrase "to support the enforcement of the Honor Code." Under the proposed change, the Instrument would state "It shall be the responsibility of every student... to obey and to support the enforcement of the Honor Code, which prohibits lying, cheating, or stealing when these actions involve academic processes or University, student or academic personnel acting in an official capacity."

E. Maynard Adams, faculty chairperson, introduced the amendment. "I am a bit disturbed by the kind of stance we find ourselves in after our action in January," he said.

In January the council voted down a proposed amendment recommended by the Committee on Student Conduct and the Educational Policy Committee to strike the rat clause from the Instrument.

The Campus Governing Council, however, voted in favor of the amendment removing the rat clause on Jan. 23.

"The question I ask us to consider now is whether given the opinion of the leaders of the student body, whether a faculty code can be made to work on campus in the lives of students," Adams said at the Faculty Council meeting. The proposal is seeking a community effort at trying to revitalize the honor system and make it work."

Changes in the Instrument must be approved by the Faculty Council, the CGC and the chancellor.

The proposal to strike the rat clause is one of eight recommended by COSC. CGC has approved all the changes, which include stiffer sanctions for academically related offenses and the creation of the position of an Honor Code counselor, who would hold mandatory meetings with students placed on probation by the Honor Court.

Gus Lehouck, chairperson of the CGC Rules and Judiciary Committee, said CGC sent Faculty Council members a resolution asking them to reconsider their January stand on Proposal No. 1 — elimination of the rate clause — after CGC voted to accept it.

Lehouck said the new proposal striking the rat clause passed for three reasons. "First, the CGC had voted and taken a stand. Second, this proposal is more positively worded than Proposal No. 1. That proposal specifically listed that failure to report violations would not be a chargeable offense. This proposal had no negative wording along those lines," Lehouck said.

"Another reason it passed is that this time the students who were speaking spoke in favor of the proposal. At the other meetings almost all students there spoke against it."

"What is new in this situation that we have today and did not have in January is that the student body has now addressed this issue and taken its stand just as categorically as we stated ours," Adams said.

"I am thoroughly convinced that the major stumbling block in the Honor Code is the continued existence of this as a chargeable offense," Steve Parry, a

former student member of COSC, said at the meeting.

Lehouck also spoke before the council. "With the exception of the Faculty Council, every group involved (COSC, EPC, CGC) has acted in favor of Proposal No. 1. If the council fails to act favorably on Proposal No. 1 or an amendment of similar intent, the work of EPC and COSC will never get to the chancellor's desk for approval," he said.

Professor T.L. Isenhour, chairperson of the chemistry department, spoke against Adams' proposal. "I don't think we will save it (the Honor Code) by weakening it. The question is, are we going to prosecute this particular class of violators (those who fail to report the violations of others which they observe)," he said.

Isenhour also spoke in support of the honor system at the University of Virginia. Students convicted of cheating at that school are expelled for the first offense. Isenhour said the UVa student body recently voted to keep the stiff system rather than going to one in which students would only be suspended for their first offense.

Professor Henry A. Landsberger objected to Isenhour's presentation of the UVa system. "We know nothing about how it works," he said. "Unless 50 students are dismissed every year, then it isn't working."

"My own feeling is that in the face of evidence that it (the rat clause) is not working here, let us try a new system for a period of time. We can always change it."

The CGC will reconsider Proposal No. 1 as amended by the Faculty Council at its meeting Feb. 28. The Faculty Council will consider other proposed Honor Code changes at its March meeting.

TET Symposium

The following activities are scheduled today as part of the Tet Offensive Symposium sponsored by the curriculum in peace, war and defense.

- 3 p.m. in 100 Hamilton Hall: "The U.S. Build-up in Vietnam and the 1968 Tet Crisis." A paper presented by Col. Herbert Y. Schindler (Ret.), author of *The Unmaking of a President: Lyndon Johnson and Vietnam*. Richard Betts, former National Security Council staff member, and Morton H. Halperin, former White House and Defense Department staff member, will respond.
- 8 p.m. in 100 Hamilton Hall: "The Pacification Experience: U.S. and South Vietnamese Performances." A paper presented by William Colby, former CIA director, Gareth Porter, author of *A Peace Denied*, and Richard Hunt, the U.S. Army's official historian of the pacification program, will respond.

HEW official to meet with Friday, will discuss UNC desegregation

David Tatel, director of the Office of Civil Rights, is scheduled to talk with UNC President William Friday this morning about the UNC desegregation plan.

Tatel's visit to UNC General Administration is the first in months of negotiations between the UNC system and the federal government. Tatel is in the process of visiting each of the three states whose plans to eliminate segregation were rejected by the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

Tatel's office is the branch of HEW that has been directly handling negotiations with North Carolina.

The main issues in the discussion today will be major disagreements between the

10 rebounds. Wood added 7 boards.

"I don't think we played that badly today," said Holland, who received a less than warm welcome from the Carolina crowd which remembered published statements he had made about Smith. "We played a little better than we've been playing."

UNC system and the federal government over the state plan. If the differences are not resolved, the federal government could begin proceedings to cut off federal aid to the 16 campuses in the UNC system.

This visit is part of an attempt to resolve differences, such as the one over elimination of duplicated programs, before HEW begins to cut off federal funds. The major dispute may be that UNC has refused to eliminate programs offered at predominantly black and predominantly white universities, as HEW requires.

HEW also wants North Carolina to recruit more black students to traditionally white campuses and upgrade five traditionally black campuses.

Black recruiting problem requires more than money, UNC official says

By DAVID STACKS
Staff Writer

Increased federal and state student aid grants for black students would not provide an incentive for increasing black enrollment at the University, an administration official said last week.

"Our student aid program awards students what they need," said Claiborne S. Jones, executive assistant to Chancellor N. Ferebee Taylor. "In that sense, we don't need any more money. But some other schools award a flat sum of money."

"A student aid award is going to make it pretty tempting for a student to enroll in another school if the award is more than he needs."

Money is not the only criterion black students use to decide where to go to school, said Richard Cashwell, director of the University Office of Undergraduate Admissions.

"Those things that are important to

undergraduates cross racial lines," Cashwell said. "Blacks and whites both accept or reject Chapel Hill because we do have a journalism school or don't have an engineering program, or whatever program they are looking for."

Different universities have different social standards affecting black students' decisions to attend one college or another, the admissions director said.

"Sometimes a student goes where he believes he can be a big fish in a little pond," Cashwell said. "Suppose a student doesn't make the Carolina football team. He may decide to go to a smaller school with a less choosy football coach, where he would more likely be on the starting squad."

Black enrollment is a key issue in the continuing controversy between the 16-campus UNC system and the federal government over racial barriers and duality in state universities.

Jones acknowledged the number of

different theories explaining why black freshman enrollment fluctuates are as great and varied as the number of administrators who propose the explanations.

"I don't believe anybody knows the real answers," the chancellor's aide said. "We can reflect back and talk about what we see, but no one really knows for sure."

More money is not the cure-all for the college administrator charged with increasing black enrollment, according to Harold Wallace, director of special programs with the Division of Student Affairs.

"More money in a few areas might help," Wallace said. "But by and large, what we are doing requires a high quality of commitment rather than just a large quantity of money."

Wallace said a summer enrichment program, combined with Project Uplift and other existing pre-enrollment programs,

See ADMISSIONS on page 2.

Candidates still campaigning as runoff elections approach

With runoff elections only two days away, candidates for student body president, Carolina Athletic Association president and several Campus Governing Council seats are as busy as last week campaigning.

Candidates for the student body president were so busy with last-minute campaigning, in fact, that attempts to track them down for their comments on the runoff election Wednesday proved fruitless.

Gordon Cureton and his campaign manager, Ty Braswell, campaigned all weekend and Cureton was still attending campaign meetings Sunday night.

Jim Phillips also was campaigning. His campaign manager, Tom Terrell, was reached, however.

Terrell said Phillips was working harder than ever. "Our campaign organization has not changed," he said.

Phillips received 1,349 or 29 percent of the 4,614 votes cast in the presidential election last week. Cureton captured 879 votes or 19 percent.

Terrell said the Phillips organization had studied results of the first election to determine where to concentrate in the runoff campaign.

Voter turnout for the election last week was light, and Bob Saunders, Elections Board chairperson, said a major factor in turnout for the runoff election would be the polling place for graduate students and off-campus residents at Carmichael Auditorium, where students will be waiting in line for basketball tickets for the Carolina-Duke game.

Others involved in runoff Wednesday are CAA presidential candidates Dan Heneghan and Pete Mitchell. Mitchell received 1,477 votes to Heneghan's 1,034 in the first race.

Involved in contests for CGC seats are Chris Capel and Steve Jacobson in District 15; Charles Carpenter and Lyndon Fuller in District 18; Preston Fogle, Weldon Jordan and Dru Scott in District 4; Del Kinlaw and Charlie Jafee in District 5; Greg Underwood and Trelawny Williams in District 17; and



Gordon Cureton

Mark Collins, Doug Davis and Brad Lamb in District 19.

— HOWARD TROXLER

Question students' right to vote Orange County citizens file suit in Wake

By RACHEL BROWN
Staff Writer

Ten Orange County residents filed a suit in Wake County Superior Court Thursday charging that UNC students are voting illegally in Orange County elections.

If the suit is successful, present county registration books could be voided, and all county residents eligible to vote would have to reregister.

The suit charges that the Orange County Board of Elections and the North Carolina Board of Elections have shown neglect of duty in determining whether students who have registered to vote are legal residents of Orange County.

According to the suit, 6,000 to 10,000 students are illegally listed in the county's voter registration books. The suit declares that the books are null and void.

A hearing on the suit will be held at 10 a.m. Feb. 27 in the Graham, N.C. Superior Court.

The North Carolina Supreme Court ruled in the case of *Hall v. the State Board of Elections*, involving a student at Peace College in Raleigh, that a student may register to vote in the city where he attends school if he considers himself a resident of that city. Otherwise, a North Carolina citizen

must establish residency by living in an area for at least 30 days.

Joseph Nassif, chairperson of the Orange County Board of Elections, said the purpose of the suit is to reverse the Supreme Court decision.

"I think the citizens are against the law more than anything else," he said.

The group backing the suit is the Orange County Democratic Party, whose members are politically active Democrats from Orange County. Members charge that a student should not be allowed to register to vote until he has established his intent to live in Orange County after graduation.

Lucius Cheshire, chairperson of the Orange County Committee, was unavailable for comment Sunday.

In February 1977, the committee took its complaints to the N.C. Board of Elections, but the board said the committee lacked sufficient evidence to prove that students were registering illegally.

Hugh Wilson, chairperson of the Orange County Democratic Party, said the majority of students who have registered in Orange County consider Chapel Hill their home.

"That's all that's necessary," he said. "I'm certain that if one used a microscope and a lie

detector, he could find that some students were too lazy to register at home and registered here instead."

A United Press International release quoted Charles Johnston of Chapel Hill, one of the plaintiffs in the suit, as saying student voters often saddle the county with programs for which they do not have to pay.

Although he denied the statement Sunday, he said that some county residents had complained about bond issues, which students vote for but do not pay for because they move after graduating. "I feel that bonds should not be voted on by any people but property owners, but that's not the law," Johnston said.

Wilson said that students do not participate widely in local issues, including bond votes. "The majority of people in the Orange County Committee have been misled about this."

Chapel Hill Alderman Gerry Cohen said the purpose of the suit is to intimidate students. The people who filed the suit, he said Sunday, are the same ones who tried to keep blacks from voting 10 years ago.

"The purposes of filing the suit now is to keep the students from registering to vote in the May 2 primary," Cohen said. "I would hope that students wouldn't pay any attention to it."