

Warm and cloudy

It will be getting cloudy and warmer through Wednesday night with the high in the 60s and the low in the mid-30s. Chance of rain is 30 percent Wednesday.

The Daily Tar Heel

All-America

C.D. Mock was named All-America last weekend after placing third in the NCAA's. See page 3.

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 86, Issue No. 137 (09)

Tuesday, March 13, 1979, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Please call us: 933-0245

Carter may come home minus treaty

JERUSALEM (AP)—President Carter extended his peace gamble by one day Monday amid increasing signs that he will return home without a peace treaty between Egypt and Israel.

En route home today, Carter will stop at the Cairo airport to talk with Egyptian President Anwar Sadat about the negotiations in Israel.

"We can't close the door on a breakthrough, but as of now we have not achieved what we set out to do," an American official said.

U.S. Secretary of State Cyrus R. Vance, who originally planned to remain in the Middle East to continue mediating, was preparing to fly home with Carter instead, officials said.

The White House made no effort to claim success for Carter's six-day mission to Egypt and Israel. White House press secretary Jody Powell said the decision to end the talks here was mutual. He said he did not know where the peace process would go next.

It was understood the Israelis flatly turned down several suggestions to resolve the major three issues remaining: Palestinians in the Gaza Strip, oil in the Sinai and exchange of ambassadors.

At one point, American officials said they were out of suggestions and asked the Israelis whether they had any. The answer was no, and the two sides agreed there was nothing more to discuss.

Carter informed Sadat of the stopover in a telephone call Monday, a Sadat spokesman said. The American president also had a long telephone conversation with Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin.

Vance met with Begin and Israeli cabinet officials in a last-minute mediation effort while Carter awaited word at the King David Hotel.

"We made great progress in solving the outstanding issues," Begin said afterward. But he said problems remained "which will be negotiated continuously until we find a solution for them."

Vance reported on the session to Carter, and then attended an evening meeting with Israeli Foreign Minister Moshe Dayan.

A joint U.S.-Israel statement was expected before Carter's departure.

The president spent the evening viewing the Dead Sea Scrolls. Begin will meet Carter for breakfast, and the president will leave Israel at noon Tuesday, 5 a.m. EST. He had planned to leave Monday.

Earlier, in a speech to the Israeli parliament, the Knesset, Carter said "we still fall short" of a treaty.

The major obstacle involved self-rule for Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza, officials said. A compromise calls for moving more quickly on creating Palestinian autonomy in the Gaza Strip than on the occupied West Bank. But officials said the compromise contained no timetable for autonomy, a concession to Israel.

The other unsettled issues concerned when ambassadors should be exchanged; plans for Egypt to sell oil from Sinai oilwells to Israel, and whether diplomatic ties should hinge on the speed with which self-rule for Palestinians is implemented.

Begin told the Knesset a compromise was reached on another point: whether the treaty would take precedence over Egypt's defense pacts with other Arab states. He gave no details.

Sadat prayed at a small mosque near the pyramids Monday while awaiting the outcome of the Israeli negotiations.

In his Knesset speech, Carter said: "We have not yet fully met our challenge."

He said the people of Egypt and Israel were ready for peace but, "The leaders have not yet proven that we are also ready for peace, enough to take a chance. We must persevere."

In 'DTH' contest

Court to hear appeal Friday

By BEN ESTES
Staff Writer

The Student Supreme Court will meet at 3 p.m. Friday in Room 217 Carolina Union to hear an appeal which could determine the editorship of the *Daily Tar Heel*, Student Supreme Court Chief Justice Roy Cooper said Monday.

"This was the earliest time we could have it to insure a fair hearing," Cooper said. The members of the court will meet sometime during the week to decide how the hearing will be conducted, he said.

The hearing was required because candidate Allen Jernigan is appealing an Elections Board decision which certified the Feb. 21 runoff election in which he lost to David Stacks. The board originally refused to certify the election but then reversed its decision Feb. 28.

The Supreme Court has not heard a case since January 1976. Cooper said the court has been preparing for the hearing by reading old cases. Jernigan will submit a brief concerning his appeal by Wednesday, Cooper said.



At last, we've found the stairway to heaven, methinks ...or at least the ones to the second floor

Longer drop period considered Friday

By DINITA JAMES
Staff Writer

Chances look dim for student hopes of an extended drop period as the Faculty Council prepares for its final vote on the issue Friday.

Harvey Lehman, member of the Faculty Council's Educational Policy Committee, and supporter of the drop-period extension, said he thinks the council will follow EPC's recommendation to leave the drop period at four weeks instead of extending it to six.

"If the committee is representative of the council, the council will follow the committee's recommendation," he said. "The case was discussed well in committee, the report is well balanced, and I do not feel any points relevant to the issue were left out. Therefore, I feel the council will go along with the recommendation."

EPC Jan 29, voted 5-4 against extending the drop period.

The EPC report to the Faculty Council recommending that the length of the drop period remain four weeks includes a strong minority opinion in favor of the drop-period extension.

The report, written by Mark Applebaum, EPC secretary, said the majority and minority agree that the

primary issue is whether it is necessary for a student to receive a major graded assignment prior to the last day for dropping a course.

The majority of the committee said they did not feel the anticipated grade in a course should be of primary consideration. The report said the primary consideration should be the academic value *per se* of a course and an assessment of that value can be made in the first four weeks on the bases of syllabi, lectures and reading lists.

The majority opinion cited the negative aspects of disruption and increased financial costs. The report said the negative aspects outweighed any potential benefit.

The minority opinion said students should have at least one grade on a substantial unit of each course before the end of the drop period. It cited an EPC survey of 500 faculty members of which 46 percent said four weeks is insufficient time to provide substantial graded material as evidence that the four-week drop period is too short.

The report's concluding statement said although a four-week drop period is somewhat arbitrary, there is no evidence to suggest the educational needs of students would be better served by lengthening the period.

Lehman suggested Student Government prepare a presentation for the Faculty Council meeting to be held at 3 p.m. Friday in Hamilton 100.

"I think Student Government presented its case very well and has been very effective in persuading some members of this committee," he said. "One year ago this committee rejected the proposal unanimously. This year the presentation was effective enough to result in a 5-4 split. Two committee members changed their vote from last year. Although the result has not changed, I think the students have been tremendously effective in presenting their views."

In other business, EPC discussed placing students in voting or non-voting positions on the EPC. The committee tabled discussion until its April 2 meeting.

EPC also discussed changing preregistration procedures so students could sign up for a maximum of five courses. Some committee members said they felt over-registration by students intending to take only five courses caused many students to be unnecessarily closed out of certain courses. Pruetz asked Applebaum to study this "shopping and dropping" and report to the committee at its next meeting.

Aldermen look at bus fare; increase seems inevitable

By PAM KELLEY
Staff Writer

The Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen will consider two separate recommendations tonight—one from the town's Transportation Board and one from the town staff—both of which call for higher bus-fare prices.

The town staff's recommendation for bus-pass rates calls for higher prices than the Transportation Board's, but both are proposing a regular bus-fare hike from 25 cents to 30 cents. If either plan is approved, the new bus prices would become effective July 1. Fares have been 25 cents since 1974.

In the meeting tonight, the board also will consider a zoning-ordinance change which would require a special-use permit for auditoriums and coliseums.

The Transportation Board is recommending yearly bus-pass prices be raised from \$40 to \$48. Nine-month passes would be sold for \$40, six-month passes for \$30 and three-month passes for \$23. A 40-ride ticket would cost \$9.50.

UNC sells bus passes to students at a \$4 discount, so the bus-pass hike would mean the price of a student nine-month academic year pass would be raised from \$32 to \$36.

Under the town staff's plan, a yearly pass would be \$54, a nine-month pass \$50, a six-month pass \$40.50 and a three-month pass \$23.50. Like the

Transportation Board, the staff is recommending a 40-ride ticket be raised to \$9.50.

If the staff's plan is approved, with the \$4 discount a student academic-year bus pass would cost \$46. "The price increase may deter some students from buying passes, but we must maximize our operating revenue," Chapel Hill Transportation Director William Godding said Sunday.

Terry Lathrope, Transportation Board chairman, said the board feels the staff's fare prices are set too high. "We had understood that the University was going to increase parking-permit prices, and it didn't. If we raise our prices too much, we'll be in direct competition with the University, and that's not what we want," he said.

In the zoning-ordinance change matter, if the aldermen vote to approve the change, UNC would have to be granted a special-use permit before it could build a proposed \$21 million athletic complex on the Baity property south of Hinton James Dormitory.

After months of delays, the board voted last fall to rezone the Baity property from residential to University use. The proposed zoning-ordinance change would put restrictions on what the University could do without the town's consent, since UNC would have to follow guidelines set by the special-use permit.

Search for Springfest bands still on

By DINITA JAMES
Staff Writer

With Springfest only six weeks away, Student Government is still looking for two more bands to play with Jimmy Buffett in the Kenan Stadium extravaganza April 21, President-elect J.B. Kelly said Monday.

Promoter Wilson Howard contacted Firefall to fill one of the slots but the Top 40 band won't come because of a commitment to play in Chicago.

Jay Turvo, Springfest committee chairperson, said Howard also has contacted

Evelyn "Champagne" King, but she has not responded.

Turvo said no other bands will be named until a decision is final. "We're not going to talk about any of the bands under consideration now. We're going to wait until we sign them and the committee agrees before we release any names."

Turvo said the committee is looking for help with the concert. "We've got a lot to do in the time remaining, and we need help from anyone who's willing," he said.

The committee hopefully will reach a decision by the end of the week so the concert can be publicized well in advance, Turvo said.



Riders face price hike ...board to decide amount

Kicked out?

Housing tight; waiting list long

By MARTHA WAGGONER
Staff Writer

Students whose number on the housing waiting list is greater than 25 should seriously consider looking for off-campus housing, said Peggy Gibbs, associate director for housing contracts.

Since Feb. 21, 86 men and 88 women on the waiting list have canceled their contracts.

Approximately the first 150 cancellations will be filled by freshmen, Gibbs said. She said Undergraduate Admissions has told the housing department to expect a freshmen class of 3,200, 58 percent of which will be female.

"We could house 75 men and 75 women from the waiting list if the ratio remains the same, if cancellations remain as in the past. But all those things aren't going to happen," Gibbs said. Gibbs said the ratio is running 71 percent female, but that women usually turn in their forms sooner than men.

April 15 is the deadline for freshmen to pay enrollment fees. But students are accepted after that date, Gibbs said. It will be after July 1 before students on the waiting list are given assignments.

In the middle of July, students on the waiting list will receive one of three letters from the housing department. The letter will tell them if their chances of getting on-campus housing are good, only fair or very unfavorable.

"At this point, you must sign and return a card saying you'll accept housing anywhere on campus, including temporary housing," Gibbs said. If a student does not return the card, his name will be taken off the waiting list.

Temporary housing includes living in a study room for a while. People who are assigned to study rooms are usually freshmen women, people on the waiting list or students who have applied for financial hardship status.

Gibbs said students are notified of on-campus housing "right down the line." As the time for dorms to open draws closer, the housing department begins calling students about rooms rather than writing to them. No one on the waiting list is given on-campus housing after the dorms open until the people living in study rooms and in forced triples are moved into permanent housing.

In 'DTH' contest

Court to hear appeal Friday

By BEN ESTES
Staff Writer

The Student Supreme Court will meet at 3 p.m. Friday in Room 217 Carolina Union to hear an appeal which could determine the editorship of the *Daily Tar Heel*, Student Supreme Court Chief Justice Roy Cooper said Monday.

"This was the earliest time we could have it to insure a fair hearing," Cooper said. The members of the court will meet sometime during the week to decide how the hearing will be conducted, he said.

The hearing was required because candidate Allen Jernigan is appealing an Elections Board decision which certified the Feb. 21 runoff election in which he lost to David Stacks. The board originally refused to certify the election but then reversed its decision Feb. 28.

The Supreme Court has not heard a case since January 1976. Cooper said the court has been preparing for the hearing by reading old cases. Jernigan will submit a brief concerning his appeal by Wednesday, Cooper said.

Jernigan will be represented at the hearing by David McKinnon, former *DTH* associate editor. Cooper said McKinnon will make the opening statement. Student Attorney General Suzie Mitchell, counsel for the Elections Board, will then make her statement and McKinnon will be given a chance for rebuttal.

"Supreme Court members get to ask questions at any time," Cooper said.

The court will make a decision immediately after the hearing, Cooper said. Due to the circumstances of the case, the court will override a rule which prevents decisions from being announced until one day after the hearing, he said.

"The Supreme Court will immediately set an election date if an election needs to be held," Cooper said. If a new election does not need to be held, Stacks will immediately become *DTH* editor, Cooper said.

Cooper said he has issued a temporary injunction staying the Elections Board decision to certify the election. This will prevent Stacks from taking office until the court can resolve the issue.



David McKinnon ...will represent Jernigan

Commissioner reconsiders, press building gets new life

By CAROL HANNER
Staff Writer

The proposed UNC Press Building gained new life last week with Chapel Hill Historic District Commission member Diane Lea's request to rescind the motion that defeated the building certificate application Feb. 22.

Lea voted Feb. 22 against granting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the University's revised press building plans. The 5-4 vote defeated UNC's second attempt to receive the commission's approval for the building design. The commission had voted 7-2 to deny the certificate last October. Objections centered on the building's size in relation to the surrounding residential neighborhood.

In a Feb. 28 letter to HDC members, Lea asked to change her opposing vote and to reconsider the certificate request at the commission's Mar. 22 meeting.

Lea's letter said she changed her mind after walking the 1.3-acre site at Boundary Street and Hooper Lane with University Planning Director Gordon Rutherford.

Lea said she found the revised design adequate to take advantage of the site and conceal its bulk, while screening it from view in the neighborhood.

Lea said she thinks the 11,000-square-foot building is an inappropriate land use in the Historic District, but she added, "to defeat the building on that basis...is to subvert the uses of a Certificate of Appropriateness."

John L. Temple, vice chancellor for business and finance, said University officials will delay a decision on whether to use legal action to clear the way for construction until after the Mar. 22 HDC meeting. Meanwhile the press building faces another hurdle at Tuesday night's Board of Aldermen meeting. The

See PRESS on page 2