Any Carolina coed interested in being a batgirl for the UNC baseball team in the spring should come to Boshamer Stadium from 4-6 p.m. on either Tuesday or Wednesday of this week for an interview.

Volume 87, Issue No. 48/

Monday, October 29, 1979, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 933-0245 Business/Advertising 933-1163

A tie is...

something you give your dad for Chistmas



Heel receiver Wayne Tucker, going going



...as last ditch pass fails. Pirate cornerback Willie Holley downs Tucker

By REID TUVIM Sports Editor

To say that disappointment was the aura surrounding Kenan Stadium Saturday afternoon would be an

After UNC chose to knot the score at 24 against East Carolina, everyone left the stands slowly, shaking his or her head. The players from both teams walked off the field stunned, muttering obscenities about doing something other than kissing their sisters. Both head coaches said it was a shame the game had to end tied.

"Nobody likes a tie," North Carolina's Dick Crum said after the game. "I don't like it, and I'm sure East Carolina doesn't like it. But that's the way it turned out."

"I'm glad we didn't lose," East Carolina's Pat Dye told reporters, "but we didn't come to tie. You won't see any celebrating in our lockerroom today.

"Our players are heartbroken. It's mighty disappointing." There were few smiles in the Tar Heel lockerroom, also. About the only player who had anything positive to discuss was Jeff Hayes, who booted a 47-yard field goal to tie the game with 18 seconds left in the game. "I thought we would go for the first down or the

touchdown," Haves said. But Crum said he had decided to go for a field goal if the game came down to a fourth-and-long situation. "I didn't have any question about going for the field goal if we got into that particular situation. When the drive started, the decision was already made."

"I was right there beside him (Crum) the whole time," Hayes said. "All the coaches told me was to be ready. I'm glad he didn't tell me (about the decision to kick). It was a spur-ofthe-moment thing."

"It was a real pressure thing for Jeff," Crum said. "I wanted to call time out and just talk to him, but we didn't get it." "I'm glad he didn't call timeout," Hayes said. "I didn't have time to get nervous. I just told myself to follow through. I

Hayes had missed on a 35-yard field goal attempt in the second quarter. "All through the game I thought about the miss," he said. "Especially when we were down by three points."

don't think I followed through on the first one."

When Hayes missed the try, probably not too many of the 49,700 people in Kenan thought those points would matter. The Tar Heels had a 14-3 lead and were running over the Pirates at will. ECU came back to make the score 14-10, but with 42

seconds left in the half, the Heels went 51 yards in four plays-and 33 seconds-to jump up 21-10. The 18-yard touchdown pass was Matt Kupec's 13th of the

See TAR HEELS on page 6

Cut is only temporary

\$5 chopped off spring payment of health fees

By PAM HILDEBRAN Staff Writer

The UNC Board of Trustees voted Friday to temporarily reduce the \$40-per-year student health fee increase to \$35. The reduction, based on a proposal by Student Body President J.B. Kelly, calls for students to pay \$15 next semester, compared

with the \$20 charged this semester. Although Kelly wanted rebates of \$2.50 to be credited to students' accounts each semester, the board decided such a measure would be too costly to implement. The health fee increase will be reinstated at \$20 for fall 1980 and spring 1981.

The \$20 increase was approved July 20 by the UNC Board of Governors with the stipulation that it could be reviewed by the Board of Trustees. The trustees' Committee on Student Affairs was created to study the increase, but it will continue to function to study other issues.

The proposal to reduce the increase was made after Committee Chairman Thomas Lambeth presented a report recommending that the entire \$20 increase be accepted. The increase consists of \$15.01 to fund services in the new Student Health Services building to be opened in December, \$1.23 to fund new positions required to staff the new building as designed, \$2.44 to provide additional staff to meet existing needs which cannot be met until the new building opens and 67 cents for additional new services.

Lambeth reported that the committee felt most of the \$20 increase was necessary to maintain the existing level of services in the new building and that a substantial part of this is allocated to state-mandated increases in wages and salaries and incremental costs, such as utilities. But the committee was not sure that \$162,000 for professional fees was justified, he said.

Dr. James A. Taylor, director of Student Health Services, said the \$162,000 figure covers a "grabbag" of medical expenses which do not fall under other headings.

"To cite examples, the heading includes salaries of North Carolina Memorial residents who work there, the head of the mental health section, charges for radiology, the orthopedic clinic, dermatology and the dental program," Taylor said. "Indeed, there have been significant increases in all of these items the last few years."

Kelly said students have been paying \$12 per semester since 1976 to pay for the new health services building, but since the opening date has continually been moved back, only the \$15 increase can be justified at this time.

"Students are, in effect, paying for a facility for future students to use," Kelly said. "The new building will be an excellent facility once it's finished, but it seems a little unjust not to move that \$5 not be charged for one of the semesters."

The committee's report also recommends that Chancellor N. Ferebee Taylor arrange for an independent review of student health services on the Chapel Hill campus and make a comparison with other institutions both inside and outside the

Taylor said he has talked with John Temple, vice chancellor for business and finance, about the study. A national entity, the Accreditation Association of the American College Health Association, has been formed and recommended that the new UNC health services building seek such an accreditation, he said.

The committee's recommendations will now go to the Board of Governors for final approval.

In other business, the trustees voted to approve the discretionary use of endowment income. The board also approved the real property study committee's recommendations to select architects for planned University renovations.

Park shooting now called assassination

SEOUL, South Korea (AP)-President Park Chung-hee and five bodyguards were gunned down deliberately in an assassination planned and executed by the head of the South Korean Central Intelligence Agency, the government said Sunday.

The official report said KCIA chief Kim Jae-kyu killed Park Friday night because he was out of favor and feared he would be fired. It did not explain why the government first reported that Kim killed the president accidentally during an argument with chief presidential body guard Cha Chi-shul.

"Kim was reprimanded by Park on several occasions for incompetence in carrying out his duties. There was recently talk of a reshuffle of top posts. So Kim, worried about his dismissal, committed the crime," chief investigator Chon Doo-hwan told reporters. He said Kim shot Park and Cha three times each during a dinner at a KClA building 500 yards from the presidential palace.

By prior arrangement with Kim, five KCIA agents waiting in an adjoining room killed four presidential bodyguards and seriously wounded another as soon as they heard Kim's shots, Gen. Chon said. Kim, his five agents and many other KCIA agents have been arrested and were being interrogated, he said.

The government has denied that Kim

See PARK on page 2



Park Chung-hee

Differences in candidates are methods, not issues

By ANNE-MARIE DOWNEY Staff Writer

Chapel Hill mayoral and Town Council candidates voiced some differing opinions on the issues of transporation, housing and energy in a forum sponsored by the People's Alliance Thursday

Few major differences have surfaced among the candidates during their Nov. 6 election campaigns thus far. But some subtle differences, both in substance and in style, became evident at the forum.

Jane Stein, a member of the town Planning Board and an Alliance panelist, focused on the shortage of low-cost housing in Chapel Hill and asked the mayoral candidates what they intend to do to prevent Chapel Hill from becoming "a high price bedroom community" for the Research Triangle.

Harold Foster, a former civil right activist who says he is the mayoral candidate most representative of low income-groups, said the solution to the high cost of housing lies in encouraging low income people to participate in town government. Such a policy would force the town to serve their needs,

Foster said. Mayoral candidate Joe Nassif, former chairman of the Orange County Board of Elections, said the cost of housing is a problem controlled by the financial world. But he said the town could help alleviate the shortage by bringing higher density development to

the town. Town Council member and mayoral candidate Gerry Cohen said the town has already taken some

steps to encourage the development of rental "Students bid up the rent," Cohen said. "We have to have more rental housing so the demand won't

outstrip the supply." "The limited supply of housing has been bid out of sight," said candidate Robert Epting, who also is a Town Council member.

Epting said the housing situation is controlled by the market, but he said the town could take some active steps to guarantee low cost housing. He suggested giving developers zoning benefits if they agreed to build some low cost units.

While all the mayoral candidates said they opposed construction of a proposed Interstate-40 connector near Chapel Hill, they differed on how the town should proceed on the issue. Last spring the council voted to withdraw from its joint suit with Carrboro and Orange County against the state Department of Transportation to block the

"There is very little we can do about it now," Foster said. "There are powerful state voices that want it there. They'll get it eventually."

Nassif said he has always opposed the highway link being built close to town. He also criticized the town council for withdrawing from the suit. Epting said simply: "I think it ought not be built." But Epting did vote for withdrawal from the DOT

Cohen also said he opposed the I-40 link and that he objects to "spending \$100 million to subsidize private automobiles." As a Town Council member he

opposed the town's withdrawal from the suit. When the council did vote to withdraw from the suit, council members hoped the move would encourage the DOT to approve Chapel Hill's request for a southern loop which would divert traffic from town. The southern bypass was another source of

contention among the candidates. "I disagreed strongly with other people on the council," said Cohen, who opposes the loop. Epting said he voted to study the loop, but he said

he is not necessarily in favor of it. "I have not voted for the bypass and will not unless

the study indicates it is necessary," he said. Foster said he objected to the council's failure to consult residents of Chatham County, before it made its request. Part of the loop would be in Chatham


"I'm not for Chapel Hill using a club on these areas-a club with nails to drive its message home,"

It was bad enough the council voted to withdraw from the suit, Nassif said, but the request for the loop made the situation even worse. "This is not the way for the council to act," he said.

The Town Council candidates-Mayor James Wallace, incumbents Jonathan Howes and R.D. Smith, transportation board member Bruce Tindall, University professor Joseph Straley and Historic District Commission Chairman Joe Herzenberg-

also split on several key issues. The council candidates were also questioned about

See CAMPAIGN on page 2



Pouring concrete for addition ...Union expansion foundation

By GEORGE JETER If you're anxious to see steel beams and mortar rise out of the new central library construction site you still have a few months to wait.

It will be at least early spring before the new library and the Carolina Union addition really "come out of the ground," Selwyn Bryrant, UNC director of engineering and construction, said last week.

Bryant said most of the winter will be spent excavating the sites and laying foundations. Currently workers are digging out the area where the library's partial basement will go. Recent blasting on the Union addition site has

been required to accommodate an elevator shaft that must by sunk through underground rock, Bryant said. No rock formations have been found yet on the library site. The dirt that is excavated will be stored on a site

near the airport. Much of the dirt will be brought back to fill in around the buildings' foundations and for landscaping.

Leftover dirt will be saved for future University grading and landscaping projects. "You never have

enough dirt when you need it," Bryant said. Although Bryant said both projects are on schedule, a wet winter could delay construction. Concrete cannot be poured while ice and water are

Renovations originally scheduled for the existing Union building have been changed. Plans for a restaurant in the Union basement have been canceled or at least delayed, said Howard Henry, Union director. Construction bids for the restaurant were all too high, Henry said.

However, the Student International Center will be moved from Bynum Hall to the Union's South Gallery sometime in the future as planned, Henry

The Daily Tar Heel offices will be moved probably next summer, as that part of the Union becomes a new gallery walkway into the union addition. The DTH offices will be housed in the addition when it is

Ted Marvin, director of UNC security services, said that, so far, parking around the area has gone fairly smoothly even with the loss of the two parking lots where the library and addition are now being

Headed for a second crash...

'Son of Black Tuesday' unlikely?

By JONATHAN RICH Staff Writer

On Oct. 29, 1929, the New York stock market crashed. After more than four days of havoc frantic businessmen had unloaded more than 16 million shares of stock. In one day, a paper-based economy built with easy credit and rampant speculation had collapsed.

It was that great year, 1920, and the old American dream of boundless prosperity shone brighter than ever before. Profits were up, stocks rose steadily, fortunes were made overnight. Thousands of investors-housewives, stockclerks, butchers and bakers-plunged naively into the market.

But the conspicuous wealth of the successful prodded the rest to gamble. Credit, for buying or speculating, was strained to the breaking point. Finally, speculation could carry the market no higher, and the bubble burst.

On Wall Street, "They roared like a lot of lions and tigers. They

hollered and screamed. They clawed at one another's collars," one witness at the New York Stock Exchange related.

The crash touched off the greatest selling wave in history, eventually destroying \$30 billion in paper wealth. Thousands of Americans saw their fortunes vanish in worthless stock.

Black Tuesday, as the day has been tagged in the history books, signaled the beginning of a decade of high unemployment and economic stagnation, righted only by a global war.

Today, many economists are concerned about the possibility of another economic crisis. They point to a sluggish economy characterized by spiraling inflation, declining productivity and a sagging stock market.

Despite these discouraging indications, UNC economics professor Bill Pfouts believes another depression is unlikely. "I don't see any major depression or serious economic problems as long as something is done about inflation," Pfouts said. "There are so many automatic stabilizers that tend to

See MARKET on page 2