

It will be partly cloudy and breezy today with the high in the 60s. Low will be in the mid-to-upper 30s. There is a 30 percent chance of rain.

There will be an open hearing on the report of the Committee on Undergraduate Curriculum Reform at 3 p.m. today in room 217 Wilson.

Questions create complications for fee increase

By KERRY DEROGHI
Staff Writer

Although the Campus Governing Council will be voting tonight on whether to petition the UNC Board of Trustees for an increase in the student activities fee, many questions about the need for an increase have not been answered.

An analysis

These questions have resulted in proposals that have turned a relatively simple fee increase into a complicated and multifaceted endeavor.

The council first raised the question of a fee increase in September. This was followed by studies of last year's budgeting process and hearings for student opinion. In the budgeting process, several organizations did not receive any allocations and others were not funded adequately due to the lack of available funds.

Now, because of rising inflation rates, student organizations will have to petition for even more money. Almost everyone agreed that these organizations would need more money to operate at the same level, but no one was sure where it should come from.

Almost as confusing as the question of whether an increase is needed, is the process that it must go through in order to be approved. The council will vote tonight on a fee increase of \$2.50 a year, coupled with the deferral of the proposed increase in fees for summer student activities. If approved, the decision then will be handed to Vice Chancellor of Business and Finance John Temple and to the Board of Trustees and then to the Board of Governors. If the increase is approved the student body will have a chance to vote on it in a campuswide referendum in February. The Board of Trustees and the Board of Governors would have to give final approval.

This process has been complicated by the self-

imposed deadlines of the trustees' and the governors' boards as well as the council's own delays. Any fee increase must go to the trustees by Dec. 12 and to the Board of Governors by Feb. 1, which means the council must petition now if an increase is to become effective next year.

The Board of Trustees could remedy the problem by delaying the deliberation on the student activities fee until its February meeting after the student vote and then pass it along to the Board of Governors.

Student Body President Bob Saunders said he would propose another alternative which would include Student Government having the right to withdraw the request of a fee increase any time before the referendum. This way Student Government would petition the trustees to approve a fee increase depending on the vote of the student body but would reserve the right to cancel the petition at any time. This, Saunders

said, would allow the council more time to study the increase.

To add to the confusion concerning the process, council members had said they were still not sure there was even a need for a fee increase. A factor to be considered will be the current surplus of \$96,467 in Student Government as well as \$11,625 in unappropriated funds. There is also \$21,233 left over from organizations that did not use all their allocated money last year.

Now another problem has arisen to further complicate matters; the question of the Chapel Thrill concert. The council has not given final approval to the concert and several Student Government officials have said the decision about Chapel Thrill also would decide their position on the student activities fee. Because of the timetable, unfortunately, the council will vote on the fee increase before it will vote on the concert next semester.

The confusion was added to by the realization

on the part of Student Government officials that there were alternative ways of raising money available such as investment spending. However, this was not realized until a couple of weeks ago and Saunders said he and CGC Speaker Cynthia Currin would try to have specific figures tonight at the meeting, before the vote.

"It was my mistake for not looking at investment income," Saunders said.

By investing the money, Student Government could put money in moneymarket funds or checking accounts that carried interest. Saunders said that would mean the council could vote to invest the general surplus funds but still be able to withdraw the money for other purposes.

Because of all these unanswered questions, the CGC has backed itself into a corner as it faces the old problem of having too much to do and too little time to do it in. The council now must consider all aspects of this confusing issue and vote responsibly tonight.

Second war a possibility for Mideast

DAMASCUS, Syria (AP)—Fears of a second war in the Middle East heightened Monday with reports that Syria had 50,000 troops and 1,200 tanks on Jordan's border. Jordan moved up tanks and troops and said it would not shoot first but would defend the country against attack.

A top Soviet official arrived in Damascus to ratify a Syrian-Soviet friendship treaty, and the United States was considering a Jordanian request for arms.

Tension between the two Arab neighbors built up as a result of their conflicting positions on the Iran-Iraq war and Syrian charges that Jordan was supporting Islamic fundamentalists trying to overthrow the government in Damascus.

In Washington, the Carter administration was considering a shipment of military spare parts and ammunition to Jordan. One U.S. official rated the chances of a conflict at better than 50-50.

With tension mounting, Jordan submitted lists of arms and spare parts it wanted from the United States; a decision was expected soon on the requests.

Informed sources said Syria had 50,000 troops and 1,200 tanks on the border, with three divisions massed along the stretch that commands the main highway linking Jordan and Syria. The initial buildup of 25,000 men and

See MIDEAST on page 2



Yahoo

Shades of Gilley's. The urban cowboy craze has come at last to Chapel Hill. Jasper's on East Franklin Street introduced 'The Urban Bull' Monday night. For a small fee, patrons could take a turn at riding a mechanical bull just like the one John Travolta made

famous in the movie 'The Urban Cowboy.' A small but enthusiastic crowd was on hand to cheer this cowgirl as she attempted to conquer the urban bull. Jasper's will have the bull through Wednesday for all you closet cowboys who might want to try your luck.

High court will decide draft issue

WASHINGTON (AP)—The Supreme Court said Monday it would decide whether any U.S. military draft, or draft registration, must include women.

In a case likely to become the most closely watched controversy now before the high court, the justices will decide whether a male-only draft unlawfully discriminates against men.

The court's decision conceivably could have an effect on the future of the proposed Equal Rights Amendment. Opponents of the amendment, designed to outlaw discrimination based on sex, have pointed to the drafting of women as one of the amendment's evils. Such an obligation now could come about without the ERA.

Historically, young American men have been required to register for possible military service; young women face no such obligations.

A three-judge federal court panel in Philadelphia last July struck down the system, citing unconstitutional sex bias. If the Supreme Court were to uphold that ruling, Congress would have to amend the Military Selective Service Act to include women as potential draftees.

Despite the lower court's ruling, some 4 million men were required to register for the draft last July. If registration and the draft are ruled unconstitutional, the government might have to destroy all records of that registration.

There has been no military draft since 1973. Even if the male-only registration is upheld, Congress still would have to pass a new law before call-ups could be resumed.

While arguments before the Supreme Court are likely to be limited to the validity of draft registration, the three-judge panel's decision struck down the entire Military Selective Service Act, which includes induction as well as registration.

The case does not involve the separate policy of not assigning female enlistees to combat duty.

The court's eventual decision probably will not be announced until next May or June. Oral arguments probably will be held sometime in March.

In striking down the 1949 law, the lower court said, "The principal reason the government proffers for a male-only registration is that provides military flexibility.

"The record here, however, reveals that women do serve a useful role in the military and provide important skills....Flexibility is

See COURT on page 2



DTW/Andy James

Al Wood had 14 points against Arkansas...the Heels beat the Razorbacks 64-58

Great Alaska Shootout

Heels defeat Arkansas for title

From staff and wire reports

ANCHORAGE, Alaska—North Carolina's basketball team came into the Great Alaska Shootout here this weekend as a team with promise, but one with a lack of experience and a lot of question marks.

They came out as the tournament champions.

The 13th-ranked Tar Heels defeated Arkansas Sunday, 64-58, to win the title, as Al Wood and James Worthy combined for 22 second-half points.

The game was either tied or the lead changed hands 15 times in the first 10 minutes of the second half as Carolina battled the Razorbacks for the championship. Arkansas had held a 27-26 halftime lead.

But with 10:23 remaining, Arkansas center Scott Hastings fouled out of the game. Hastings, named the Most Valuable Player in the event, had scored 20 points before going to the bench.

Hastings' departure left the Razorbacks with no player on the floor taller than 6-6 and Carolina's front line of Worthy, Pete Budko and freshman Sam Perkins took control of the boards for the rest of the game.

"If we had another big man, we'd be a great team," Arkansas coach Eddie Sutton said.

Carolina opened up a 10-point lead, but the Razorbacks sliced the lead down to as few as three late in the game. Carolina, though, hit several key free throws and made some big defensive plays to hold off the Razorbacks.

"Arkansas didn't shoot as well, but I'd like to think our defense had something to do with that," Dean Smith said. He praised the shot-blocking of Worthy, Perkins and Budko and also lauded the play of freshman Matt Doherty and that of point guard Jimmy Black.

"Doherty had outstanding poise on offense and defense," Smith said. "And, you have to give Black credit for running the show."

The Tar Heel back court held Arkansas' tandem of U.S. Reed and Darrell Walker in check in the final game. Walker did not score in the first 28 minutes and finished the game with nine points. Reed had five for the game. Walker and Reed had averaged a combined 34.5 points a game in the first two rounds of the tournament.

"For a young team like we have, it's important to win against good competition," Smith said. "This should give us confidence."

Hastings was the only Arkansas player to score in double figures in the finals. Worthy

led Carolina with 16 points and Wood had 14. Black scored 13 points.

The Tar Heels made it to the finals by beating the host Alaska-Anchorage Seawolves 69-50 Friday, then defeating No. 16 Georgetown Saturday 83-71.

Arkansas had to defeat two ranked teams to get to the championship game. The 20th-rated Razorbacks beat 10th-ranked Missouri and 13th-ranked LSU in the first two rounds.

Wood, Worthy and Perkins were named to the 11-man all-tournament team, joining Hastings, Reed and Walker from Arkansas. Others named included Mike Ferrara of Colgate, Eric "Sleepy" Floyd and Eric Smith of Georgetown, John Sundvold of Missouri and Leonard Mitchell of LSU.

LSU beat Georgetown for third place in the field of eight teams. Missouri scored on a last-second shot by Steve Stipanovich to beat Alaska-Anchorage 54-53. Colgate beat Nichols State 94-77 in the final game of the event.

The Tar Heels won't have long to savor the win, as they play host to the Mercer Bears in a game tonight at 7:30 in Carmichael Auditorium. The Tar Heels will have to fight off a case of jet lag after making the long trip back, arriving last night.

Afternoon bar scene one of relaxation

By TERESA CURRY
Staff Writer

Have you ever wondered if the atmosphere, the conversations or the people in a bar are any different during the afternoons from those at nights? Probably so. But, since most bar-hopping is saved until the wee hours of weekend nights, not many people will ever get the chance to compare.

Recently, *The Daily Tar Heel* took a look at bars in the daytime. Several of Chapel Hill's (and Franklin Street's) most popular night spots were visited during afternoon hours to examine the whos, the whys and the whats of daytime bar action.

Is there much of a difference? Certainly. The often noisy and crowded weekend nights usually highlighted by blaring rock music, roaring laughter and the sounds of beer mugs pounding on tables are a sharp contrast to the soft whispers and gentle background music that penetrates afternoon bar silence.

It all began on a Tuesday afternoon with three women at Harrison's who were having lunch together. Kylene Hilliard was having a beer with her lunch. She was there with two friends, Sarah Linn and Lisa Gettinger.

The lights were dim and the music was playing. Besides Hilliard and her friends, only a couple of other people were present.

"It's quiet. You don't have to fight the noise," Gettinger explained about the afternoon bar atmosphere. "You can talk about things that have happened during the day."

Linn thinks afternoons at bars are less social and much more relaxed because night patrons "come to get drunk."

Not a bad assessment. Nevertheless, Tuesday afternoon at the bar is about like Tuesday afternoons at other business establishments — pretty slow. That's why a trip to some other bars later on in the week proved a bit more fruitful.

At Papagayo's one Friday around 4 o'clock, the atmosphere was relaxed and casual, a you-walk-in-and-seat-yourself type of casual. It was well lit and there were plenty of seats available. Easy rock music drifted through the air and blended with the slow, steady hum of conversation. Only occasionally did laughter rise above the steady hum.

A cocktail waitress who wished to remain anonymous strolled by and eventually offered her opinion

of the difference in day and night customers.

"People who come in (the afternoons) are different," she said. "Those in the afternoon are older and usually work near here. They come in after work. They're more relaxed and less obnoxious."

"In the evenings we usually have a younger crowd. It's more crowded and everybody stands. It's hard to move around and it gets a lot louder. We have a lot of people underage trying to get drinks."

For graduate students Helga Graff, Elka Segelcke and Susan Anderson, who had been talking quietly about school over mixed drinks when approached in Papagayo's, there was no difference in the atmosphere in a bar during the day.

"For me, coming to a bar is no different during the day than it is at night," Graff said. "I would come for the same reason at either time, to take a break or just to relax. I'd come with a group of people just when I felt the urge."

Segelcke explained that in Germany they do not make as big a deal about going out to a bar as students do here.

See BARS on page 2



Debrah Correll enjoys a quiet moment at Four Corners...bar atmosphere different during afternoon